

publish a notice of court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT’s June 12, 2025, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce’s *Final Determination*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Determination and CVD Order

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Determination and Order* with respect to Ancientree and all other companies as follows:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent)
The Ancientree Cabinet Co., Ltd. ¹³	5.06
All Others	18.17

Cash Deposit Requirements

Because Ancientree has a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been final results published in a subsequent administrative review, this notice will not affect the current cash deposit rate for Ancientree. For the all-others rate, Commerce will issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

At this time, Commerce remains enjoined by CIT order from liquidating entries of subject merchandise that were exported by Ancientree, and that were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, during the period August 12, 2019, through December 31, 2022, excluding the period from December 10, 2019, to April 16, 2020.

Next, Commerce remains enjoined from liquidating entries produced and/or exported by Meisen which were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, during the period August 12, 2019, through December 31, 2020, excluding the period from December 10, 2019, to April 16, 2020.

Commerce also remains enjoined from liquidating entries produced and/or exported by Qingdao Haiyan Drouot Household Co., Ltd., Xuzhou Yihe Wood Co., Ltd., Kunshan Baiyulan Furniture Co., Ltd., or Jiangsu Beichen Wood Co., Ltd., and imported by Cabinets to Go, LLC which were

entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, during the period August 12, 2019, through December 31, 2020, excluding the period from December 10, 2019, to April 16, 2020.¹⁴

These entries will remain enjoined pursuant to the terms of the injunctions during the pendency of any appeals process.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 27, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2025–12373 Filed 7–1–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–929]

Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on small diameter graphite electrodes (electrodes) from the People’s Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable July 2, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Luke Engan, Trade Agreements Policy and Negotiations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1745.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 26, 2009, Commerce published the AD order on electrodes from China in the **Federal Register**.¹ On March 3, 2025, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the third sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).²

On March 18, 2025, Commerce received a timely and complete notice of intent to participate in the sunset review from Tokai Carbon GE LLC and GrafTech International Ltd. (collectively, the domestic interested parties) within the deadline specified in the 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status within the meaning of section 771(9)(C) of the Act as manufacturers, producers, or wholesalers in the United States of a domestic like product.⁴ On March 20, 2025, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it had received a notice of intent to participate from the domestic interested parties.⁵

On April 2, 2025, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i), domestic interested parties filed a timely and adequate substantive response.⁶ Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party. On April 22, 2025, Commerce notified the ITC that it did not receive substantive response from any respondent interested parties.⁷ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce is conducting an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

¹ See *Antidumping Duty Order: Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People’s Republic of China*, 74 FR 8775 (February 26, 2009) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 90 FR 11039 (March 3, 2025).

³ See Domestic Interested Parties’ Letter, “Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People’s Republic of China—Five-Year (3rd Sunset) Review of Antidumping Duty Order—Notice of Intent to Participate,” dated March 18, 2025.

⁴ *Id.* at 2.

⁵ See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on March 3, 2025,” dated March 20, 2025.

⁶ See Domestic Interested Parties’ Letter, “Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People’s Republic of China—Five-Year (3rd Sunset) Review of Antidumping Duty Order—Domestic Industry’s Substantive Response,” dated April 2, 2025.

⁷ See Commerce’s Letter, “Sunset Reviews Initiated on March 3, 2025,” dated April 22, 2025.

¹³ In the investigation, Commerce found the following companies to be cross-owned with Ancientree: Jiangsu Hongjia Wood Co., Ltd., Shanghai Branch, and Shanghai Hongjia Wood Co., Ltd.

¹⁴ Although Cabinets to Go, LLC’s injunction also covered entries from Senke Manufacturing Company (Senke), Senke was a participant in the 2019–2020 administrative review and received a subsidy rate. See *Wooden Cabinets and Vanities and Components Thereof from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 87 FR 51967 (August 24, 2022). Accordingly, the future disposition of entries of merchandise produced and/or exported by Senke, and entered during the 2019–2020 review period, is not covered by Commerce’s remand redetermination, and the applicable rate for entries from this company that were imported by Cabinets to Go, LLC is based on the outcome of the 2019–2020 review.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this *Order* is electrodes from China. For the full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decisions Memorandum.⁸

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation of the *Order* and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Order* were to be revoked, is provided in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁹ A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be directly accessed at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Final Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1), and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 159.64 percent.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

⁸ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

⁹ *Id.*

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218 and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: June 27, 2025.

Christopher Abbott,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
 2. Magnitude of the Margins of Dumping Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2025–12372 Filed 7–1–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–107]

Wooden Cabinets and Vanities and Components Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on wooden cabinets and vanities and components thereof (wooden cabinets) from the People's Republic of China (China) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies, at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable July 2, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew Shea, Trade Agreements Policy and Negotiations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–2583.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 3, 2025, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the five-year review of the *Order*,¹ pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² On March 18, 2025, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate in this review from the American Kitchen Cabinet Alliance (AKCA) and MasterBrand Cabinets, LLC (MasterBrand) (collectively, the petitioner), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ The petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) and (E) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic like product in the United States. On April 2, 2025, the petitioners provided complete substantive responses for this review within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(ii).⁴ Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party, nor was a hearing requested.

On April 22, 2025, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from the Government of China (GOC) respondent interested parties.⁵ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the order.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is wooden cabinets from China. For the full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decisions Memorandum.⁶

¹ See *Wooden Cabinets and Vanities and Components Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Countervailing Duty Order*, 74 FR 22134 (April 21, 2020) (*Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 90 FR 11039 (March 3, 2025).

³ See AKCA's Letter, "Notice of Intent to Participate in the First Five-Year Review," dated March 18, 2025; see also MasterBrand's Letter, "Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," dated March 18, 2025.

⁴ See AKCA's Letter, "Domestic Interested Party's Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation," dated April 2, 2025 (AKCA's Substantive Response); see also MasterBrand's Letter, "Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation of Sunset Review," April 2, 2025 (MasterBrand's Substantive Response).

⁵ See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on March 3, 2025," dated April 22, 2025.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memo for the Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Wooden Cabinets and Vanities and Components Thereof from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).