

INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Public Proceeding(s)
- III. Summary Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

Pursuant to 39 CFR 3041.405, the Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to Competitive negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition of a negotiated service agreement from the Competitive product list or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the Competitive product list.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<https://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.¹

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, if any, that will be reviewed in a public proceeding as defined by 39 CFR 3010.101(p), the title of each such request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each such request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 and 39 CFR 3000.114 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each such request.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) identified in Section II, if any, are consistent with the policies of title 39. Applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3041. Comment deadline(s) for each such request, if any, appear in Section II.

Section III identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, if any, to add a standardized distinct product to the Competitive product list or to amend a

standardized distinct product, the title of each such request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. Standardized distinct products are negotiated service agreements that are variations of one or more Competitive products, and for which financial models, minimum rates, and classification criteria have undergone advance Commission review. *See* 39 CFR 3041.110(n); 39 CFR 3041.205(a). Such requests are reviewed in summary proceedings pursuant to 39 CFR 3041.325(c)(2) and 39 CFR 3041.505(f)(1). Pursuant to 39 CFR 3041.405(c)-(d), the Commission does not appoint a Public Representative or request public comment in proceedings to review such requests.

II. Public Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*: MC2025-1218 and K2025-1217; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Contract 58 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: March 13, 2025; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3035.105, and 39 CFR 3041.310; *Public Representative*: Katalin Clendenin; *Comments Due*: March 21, 2025.

2. *Docket No(s)*: MC2025-1221 and K2025-1220; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Contract 59 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: March 13, 2025; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3035.105, and 39 CFR 3041.310; *Public Representative*: Samuel Robinson; *Comments Due*: March 21, 2025.

3. *Docket No(s)*: MC2025-1222 and K2025-1221; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Contract 60 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: March 13, 2025; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3035.105, and 39 CFR 3041.310; *Public Representative*: Maxine Bradley; *Comments Due*: March 21, 2025.

III. Summary Proceeding(s)

None. *See* Section II for public proceedings.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-04621 Filed 3-18-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-102651; File No. SR-CboeBZX-2025-039]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change To List and Trade Shares of the Franklin Solana ETF Under BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4), Commodity-Based Trust Shares

March 13, 2025.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 12, 2025, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. ("Exchange" or "BZX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. ("BZX" or the "Exchange") is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") a proposed rule change to list and trade shares of the Franklin Solana ETF (the "Fund"), a series of the Franklin Solana Trust (the "Trust"),³ under BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4), Commodity-Based Trust Shares.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange's website (http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/bzx/), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ The Trust was formed as a Delaware statutory trust on February 10, 2025. The Fund is operated as a grantor trust for U.S. federal tax purposes. The Trust and the Fund have no fixed termination date.

¹ *See* Docket No. RM2018-3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19-22 (Order No. 4679).

concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to list and trade the Shares under BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4),⁴ which governs the listing and trading of Commodity-Based Trust Shares on the Exchange.⁵ Franklin Holdings, LLC is the sponsor of the Fund (the "Sponsor"). The Shares will be registered with the Commission by means of the Trust's registration statement on Form S-1 (the "Registration Statement").⁶ According to the Registration Statement, the Trust is neither an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"),⁷ nor a commodity pool for purposes of the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA"), and neither the Trust, the Fund nor the Sponsor is subject to regulation as a commodity pool operator or a commodity trading adviser in connection with the Shares.

Since 2017, the Commission has approved or disapproved exchange filings to list and trade series of Trust Issued Receipts, including spot-based Commodity-Based Trust Shares, on the basis of whether the listing exchange has in place a comprehensive

surveillance sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size related to the underlying commodity to be held (the "Winklevoss Test").⁸ The Commission has also consistently recognized, however, that this is not the *exclusive* means by which an ETP listing exchange can meet this statutory obligation.⁹ A listing exchange could, alternatively, demonstrate that "other means to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices will be sufficient" to justify dispensing with a surveillance-sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size.¹⁰

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 78262 (July 8, 2016), 81 FR 78262 (July 14, 2016) (the "Winklevoss Proposal"). The Winklevoss Proposal was the first exchange rule filing proposing to list and trade shares of an ETP that would hold spot bitcoin (a "Spot Bitcoin ETP"). It was subsequently disapproved by the Commission. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83723 (July 26, 2018), 83 FR 37579 (August 1, 2018) (the "Winklevoss Order"); 99306 (January 10, 2024), 89 FR 3008 (January 17, 2024) (Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Changes, as Modified by Amendments Thereto, To List and Trade Bitcoin-Based Commodity-Based Trust Shares and Trust Units) (the "Spot Bitcoin ETP Approval Order"); 100224 (May 23, 2024), 89 FR 46937 (May 30, 2024) (Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Changes, as Modified by Amendments Thereto, To List and Trade Shares of Ether-Based Exchange-Traded Products) (the "Spot ETH ETP Approval Order").

⁹ See Winklevoss Order, 83 FR at 37580; see Spot Bitcoin ETP Approval Order, 89 FR at 3009; see Spot ETH ETP Approval Order 89 FR at 46938.

¹⁰ The Exchange notes that that the Winklevoss Test was first applied in 2017 in the Winklevoss Order, which was the first disapproval order related to an exchange proposal to list and trade a Spot Bitcoin ETP. All prior approval orders issued by the Commission approving the listing and trading of series of Trust Issued Receipts included no specific analysis related to a "regulated market of significant size." In the Winklevoss Order and the Commission's prior orders approving the listing and trading of series of Trust Issued Receipts have noted that the spot commodities and currency markets for which it has previously approved spot ETPs are generally unregulated and that the Commission relied on the underlying futures market as the regulated market of significant size that formed the basis for approving the series of Currency and Commodity-Based Trust Shares, including gold, silver, platinum, palladium, copper, and other commodities and currencies. The Commission specifically noted in the Winklevoss Order that the approval order issued related to the first spot gold ETP "was based on an assumption that the currency market and the spot gold market were largely unregulated." See Winklevoss Order at 37592. As such, the regulated market of significant size test does not require that the spot market be regulated in order for the Commission to approve this proposal, and precedent makes clear that an underlying market for a spot commodity or currency being a regulated market would actually be an exception to the norm. These largely unregulated currency and commodity markets do not provide the same protections as the markets that are subject to the Commission's oversight, but the Commission has consistently looked to surveillance

The Commission recently issued orders granting approval for proposals to list bitcoin- and ether-based commodity trust shares and bitcoin-based, ether-based, and a combination of bitcoin- and ether-based trust issued receipts (these proposed funds are nearly identical to the Fund, but proposed to hold bitcoin and/or ether, respectively, instead of Solana (also referred to as "SOL")) ("Spot Bitcoin ETPs" and "Spot ETH ETPs"). In both the Spot Bitcoin ETP Approval Order and Spot ETH ETP Approval Order, the Commission found that sufficient "other means" of preventing fraud and manipulation had been demonstrated that justified dispensing with a surveillance-sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size. Specifically, the Commission found that while the Chicago Mercantile Exchange ("CME") futures market for both bitcoin and ether were not of "significant size" related to the spot market, the Exchange demonstrated that other means could be reasonably expected to assist in surveilling for fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices in the specific context of the proposals.

As further discussed below, both the Exchange and the Sponsor believe that this proposal and the included analysis are sufficient to establish that the proposal is consistent with the Act itself and, additionally, that there are sufficient "other means" of preventing fraud and manipulation that warrant dispensing of the surveillance-sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size, as was done with both Spot Bitcoin ETPs and Spot ETH ETPs, and that this proposal should be approved.

Background

SOL is a digital asset that is created and transmitted through the operations of the peer-to-peer Solana Network, a decentralized network of computers that operates on cryptographic protocols. No single entity is known to own or operate the Solana Network, the infrastructure of which is understood to be collectively maintained by a decentralized user base. The Solana Network allows people to exchange tokens of value, called SOL, which are recorded on a public transaction ledger known as a blockchain. SOL can be used to pay for goods and services, including computational power on the Solana Network, or it can be converted to fiat currencies, such as the U.S. dollar, at rates determined on Digital

sharing agreements with the underlying futures market in order to determine whether such products were consistent with the Act.

⁴ The Commission approved BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4) in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 65225 (August 30, 2011), 76 FR 55148 (September 6, 2011) (SR-BATS-2011-018).

⁵ Any of the statements or representations regarding the index composition, the description of the portfolio or reference assets, limitations on portfolio holdings or reference assets, dissemination and availability of index, reference asset, and intraday indicative values, or the applicability of Exchange listing rules specified in this filing to list a series of Other Securities (collectively, "Continued Listing Representations") shall constitute continued listing requirements for the Shares listed on the Exchange.

⁶ On February 21, 2025, the Trust filed with the Commission the Registration Statement on Form S-1, submitted to the Commission by the Sponsor on behalf of the Trust (333-285121). The descriptions of the Trust, the Fund, the Shares, and the Index (as defined below) contained herein are based, in part, on information in the Registration Statement. The Registration Statement is not yet effective, and the Shares will not trade on the Exchange until such time that the Registration Statement is effective.

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 80a-1.

Asset Trading Platforms or in individual end-user-to-end-user transactions under a barter system. Furthermore, the Solana Network was designed to allow users to write and implement smart contracts—that is, general-purpose code that executes on every computer in the network and can instruct the transmission of information and value based on a sophisticated set of logical conditions. Using smart contracts, users can create markets, store registries of debts or promises, represent the ownership of property, move funds in accordance with conditional instructions and create digital assets other than SOL on the Solana Network. Smart contract operations are executed on the Solana blockchain in exchange for payment of SOL. Like the Ethereum network, the Solana Network is one of a number of projects intended to expand blockchain use beyond just a peer-to-peer money system.

The Solana protocol introduced the Proof-of-History (“PoH”) timestamping mechanism. PoH automatically orders on-chain transactions by creating a historical record that proves an event has occurred at a specific moment in time. PoH is intended to provide a transaction processing speed and capacity advantage over other blockchain networks like Bitcoin and Ethereum, which rely on sequential production of blocks and can lead to delays caused by validator confirmations. PoH is a new blockchain technology that is not widely used. PoH may not function as intended. For example, it may require more specialized equipment to participate in the network and fail to attract a significant number of users, or may be subject to outages or fail to function as intended. In addition, there may be flaws in the cryptography underlying PoH, including flaws that affect functionality of the Solana Network or make the network vulnerable to attack.

In addition to the PoH mechanism described above, the Solana Network uses a proof-of-stake consensus mechanism to incentivize SOL holders to validate transactions. Unlike proof-of-work, in which miners expend computational resources to compete to validate transactions and are rewarded coins in proportion to the amount of computational resources expended, in proof-of-stake, validators risk or “stake” coins to compete to be randomly selected to validate transactions and are rewarded coins in proportion to the amount of coins staked. Any malicious activity, such as disagreeing with the eventual consensus or otherwise violating protocol rules, results in the forfeiture or “slashing” of a portion of

the staked coins. Proof-of-stake is viewed as more energy efficient and scalable than proof-of-work and is sometimes referred to as “virtual mining”.

The Solana protocol was first conceived by Anatoly Yakovenko in a 2017 whitepaper. Development of the Solana Network is overseen by the Solana Foundation, a Swiss non-profit organization, and Solana Labs, Inc., a Delaware corporation, which administered the original network launch and token distribution.

Although Solana Labs, Inc. and the Solana Foundation continue to exert significant influence over the direction of the development of Solana, the Solana Network, like the Ethereum network, is believed to be decentralized and does not require governmental authorities or financial institution intermediaries to create, transmit or determine the value of SOL.

As noted above, this proposal is to list and trade shares of the Fund that would hold spot Solana. Neither the Trust, Fund, nor the Sponsor or any of their affiliates are affiliates of Solana Labs, Inc., the Solana Foundation, or any of their respective affiliates.

In light of these factors and consistent with applicable legal precedent, particularly as applied in *SEC v. Ripple Labs*, the Sponsor believes that it is applying the proper legal standards in making a good faith determination that it believes that SOL is not under these circumstances a security under federal law in light of the uncertainties inherent in applying the *Howey* and *Reves* tests.¹¹

¹¹ See *SEC v. Ripple Labs*, 2023 WL 4507900 at 15, (S.D.N.Y. July 13, 2023) (“(XRP, as a digital token, is not in and of itself a ‘contract, transaction[,] or scheme’ that embodies the *Howey* requirements of an investment contract.”) and 23 (“Ripple’s Programmatic Sales were blind bid/ask transactions, and Programmatic Buyers could not have known if their payments of money went to Ripple, or any other seller of XRP. Since 2017, Ripple’s Programmatic Sales represented less than 1% of the global XRP trading volume. Therefore, the vast majority of individuals who purchased XRP from digital asset exchanges did not invest their money in Ripple at all. An Institutional Buyer knowingly purchased XRP directly from Ripple pursuant to a contract, but the economic reality is that a Programmatic Buyer stood in the same shoes as a secondary market purchaser who did not know to whom or what it was paying its money.”) The Court specifically notes that the question of whether secondary market sales of XRP constitute offers and sales of investment contracts because it was not before the Court and therefore was not addressed. However, the general logic applied above in the Court’s finding that an investment contract did not exist seems to similarly indicate that purchases and sales on the secondary market where the purchaser “did not know to whom or what it was paying its money” would also not constitute an investment contract.

Section 6(b)(5) and the Applicable Standards

The Commission has approved numerous series of Trust Issued Receipts,¹² including Commodity-Based Trust Shares,¹³ to be listed on U.S. national securities exchanges. In order for any proposed rule change from an exchange to be approved, the Commission must determine that, among other things, the proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, specifically including: (i) the requirement that a national securities exchange’s rules are designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices;¹⁴ and (ii) the requirement that an exchange proposal be designed, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that this proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act and that this filing sufficiently demonstrates that potential policy concerns under the Act are sufficiently mitigated to the point that they are outweighed by quantifiable investor protection issues that would be resolved by approving this proposal.

More recently, the Commission has applied the Winklevoss Test while also recognizing that the “regulated market

¹² See Exchange Rule 14.11(f).

¹³ Commodity-Based Trust Shares, as described in Exchange Rule 14.11(e)(4), are a type of Trust Issued Receipt.

¹⁴ Much like bitcoin and ether, the Exchange believes that SOL is resistant to price manipulation and that “other means to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices” exist to justify dispensing with the requisite surveillance sharing agreement. The geographically diverse and continuous nature of SOL trading render it difficult and prohibitively costly to manipulate the price of SOL. The fragmentation across platforms and the capital necessary to maintain a significant presence on each trading platform make manipulation of SOL prices through continuous trading activity challenging. To the extent that there are trading platforms engaged in or allowing wash trading or other activity intended to manipulate the price of SOL on other markets, such pricing does not normally impact prices on other trading platforms because participants will generally ignore markets with quotes that they deem non-executable. Moreover, the linkage between SOL markets and the presence of arbitrageurs in those markets means that the manipulation of the price of SOL on any single venue would require manipulation of the global SOL price in order to be effective. Arbitrageurs must have funds distributed across multiple trading platforms in order to take advantage of temporary price dislocations, thereby making it unlikely that there will be strong concentration of funds on any particular trading platforms or OTC platform. Further, the speed and relatively inexpensive nature of transactions on the Solana network allow arbitrageurs to quickly move capital between trading platforms where price dislocations may occur. As a result, the potential for manipulation on a trading platform would require overcoming the liquidity supply of such arbitrageurs who are effectively eliminating any cross-market pricing differences.

of significant size” standard is not the only means for satisfying Section 6(b)(5) of the Act. In the specifically providing that a listing exchange could demonstrate that “other means to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices” are sufficient to justify dispensing with the requisite surveillance-sharing agreement.¹⁵ While there is currently no futures market for SOL, in the Spot Bitcoin ETF Approval Order and Spot ETH ETF Approval Order the Commission determined that the CME bitcoin futures market and CME ether futures market, respectively, were not of “significant size” related to the spot market. Instead, the Commission found that sufficient “other means” of preventing fraud and manipulation had been demonstrated that justified dispensing with a surveillance-sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size. The Exchange and Sponsor believe that this proposal provides for other means of preventing fraud and manipulation justify dispensing with a surveillance-sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size.

Over the past several years, U.S. investor exposure to SOL, through OTC SOL Funds and digital asset trading platforms, has grown into billions of dollars with a fully diluted market cap of greater than \$150 billion. The Exchange believes that approving this proposal (and comparable proposals) provides the Commission with the opportunity to allow U.S. investors with access to SOL in a regulated and transparent exchange-traded vehicle that would act to limit risk to U.S. investors by: (i) reducing premium and discount volatility; (ii) reducing management fees through meaningful competition; and (iii) providing an alternative to custodial spot SOL.

The policy concerns that the Exchange Act is designed to address are also otherwise mitigated by the fact that the size of the market for the underlying reference asset (approximately \$150 billion fully diluted value). The geographically diverse and continuous nature of SOL trading makes it difficult and prohibitively costly to manipulate the price of SOL and, in many instances, the SOL market can be less susceptible to manipulation than the equity, fixed income, and commodity futures

markets. There are a number of reasons this is the case, including that there is not inside information about revenue, earnings, corporate activities, or sources of supply; manipulation of the price on any single venue would require manipulation of the global SOL price in order to be effective; a substantial over-the-counter market provides liquidity and shock-absorbing capacity; SOL’s 24/7/365 nature provides constant arbitrage opportunities across all trading venues; and it is unlikely that any one actor could obtain a dominant market share.

Further, SOL is arguably less susceptible to manipulation than other commodities that underlie ETPs; there may be inside information relating to the supply of the physical commodity such as the discovery of new sources of supply or significant disruptions at mining facilities that supply the commodity that simply are inapplicable as it relates to certain cryptoassets, including SOL. Further, the Exchange believes that the fragmentation across SOL trading platforms and increased adoption of SOL, as displayed through increased user engagement and trading volumes on the Solana Network, make manipulation of SOL prices through continuous trading activity unlikely. Moreover, the linkage between the SOL markets and the presence of arbitrageurs in those markets means that the manipulation of the price of SOL price on any single venue would require manipulation of the global SOL price in order to be effective. Arbitrageurs must have funds distributed across multiple SOL trading platforms in order to take advantage of temporary price dislocations, thereby making it unlikely that there will be strong concentration of funds on any particular SOL trading platform. As a result, the potential for manipulation on a particular SOL trading platform would require overcoming the liquidity supply of such arbitrageurs who are effectively eliminating any cross-market pricing differences. For all of these reasons, SOL is not particularly susceptible to manipulation, especially as compared to other approved ETP reference assets.

The Exchange also believes this proposal is designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest because it would allow the Fund to stake its SOL on behalf of its investors. The Solana Network allows for staking of its native asset, SOL tokens, and permits validators who successfully stake SOL to receive rewards in the form of more SOL tokens. The net beneficiaries are not only validators, or those on behalf

of whom they stake SOL, but also the Solana blockchain itself which grows and is progressively made more secure through the validation of transactions. Staking permits validators to contribute to the network by staking their tokens to secure the blockchain, facilitating the creation of blocks, and helping process transactions. Validators are compensated for fulfilling this important role through transaction fees and consensus rewards paid by the blockchain itself.

Staking through mechanisms such as “point-and-click” staking can also permit the earning of rewards without certain additional risks to the tokens held by the Solana Custodian on behalf of the Fund. As such, not staking the Fund’s SOL would amount to waiving the Fund’s right to free additional SOL, an act analogous to an equity ETP refusing dividends from the companies it holds. Allowing the Fund to stake its SOL would benefit investors and help the Fund to better track the returns associated with holding SOL. This would improve the creation and redemption process for both authorized participants and the Fund, increase efficiency, and ultimately benefit the end investors in the Fund.

Franklin Solana ETF

CSC Delaware Trust Company, a subsidiary of the Corporation Service Company, is the trustee (“Trustee”). A third party will be the administrator (“Administrator”) and transfer agent (“Transfer Agent”) and will be responsible for the custody of the Fund’s cash and cash equivalents¹⁶ (the “Cash Custodian”). Coinbase Custody Trust Company, LLC (the “Solana Custodian”) will be responsible for custody of the Fund’s SOL.

According to the Registration Statement, each Share will represent a fractional undivided beneficial interest in the Fund’s net assets. The Fund’s assets will only consist of SOL, cash, and cash equivalents.

According to the Registration Statement, the Trust will be neither an investment company registered under the 1940 Act,¹⁷ nor a commodity pool for purposes of the CEA, and neither the Trust, the Fund nor the Sponsor is subject to regulation as a commodity pool operator or a commodity trading adviser in connection with the Shares.

The Fund will not acquire and will disclaim any incidental right (“IR”) or IR asset received, for example as a result of forks or airdrops, and such assets will

¹⁵ See Winklevoss Order at 37580. The Commission has also specifically noted that it “is not applying a ‘cannot be manipulated’ standard; instead, the Commission is examining whether the proposal meets the requirements of the Exchange Act and, pursuant to its Rules of Practice, places the burden on the listing exchange to demonstrate the validity of its contentions and to establish that the requirements of the Exchange Act have been met.” *Id.* at 37582.

¹⁶ Cash equivalents are short-term instruments with maturities of less than 3 months.

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 80a-1.

not be taken into account for purposes of determining the Fund's net asset value ("NAV").

When the Fund sells or redeems its Shares, it will do so in large blocks of 50,000 Shares (a "Creation Basket") based on the quantity of SOL attributable to each Share (net of the accrued but unpaid Sponsor's fee and any accrued but unpaid expenses or liabilities). Creation Baskets are issued and redeemed in exchange for SOL and/or cash. For cash creations, authorized participants will deliver, or facilitate the delivery of, cash to the Fund's account with the Cash Custodian in exchange for Shares. Upon receipt of an approved cash creation order, the Sponsor, on behalf of the Fund, will submit to one or more previously onboarded trading partners an order to buy the amount of SOL represented by a Creation Basket.¹⁸ For in-kind creations, authorized participants or their designee will deliver, or facilitate the delivery of, SOL to the Fund's account with the Solana Custodian in exchange for Shares.¹⁹ Authorized participants may then offer Shares to the public at prices that depend on various factors, including the supply and demand for Shares, the value of the Fund's assets, and market conditions at the time of a transaction. Shareholders who buy or sell Shares during the day from their broker may do so at a premium or discount relative to the NAV per Share of the Fund.

Investment Objective

According to the Registration Statement and as further described below, the Fund's investment objective is to seek to reflect generally the performance of the price of SOL before payment of the Fund's expenses and liabilities. In seeking to achieve its investment objective, the Fund will hold only SOL, cash, and cash equivalents. The Fund will value its Shares daily as of 4:00 p.m. ET based on the value of the SOL held by the Fund as reflected by the Index, as described below. All of the Fund's SOL will be held by the Solana Custodian.

¹⁸For cash redemptions, the process will occur in the reverse order. Upon receipt of an approved cash redemption order, the Sponsor, on behalf of the Fund, will submit an order to sell the amount of SOL represented by a Creation Basket and the cash proceeds will be remitted to the authorized participant when the large block of Shares is received by the Transfer Agent.

¹⁹For in-kind redemptions, the process will occur in the reverse order. Upon receipt of an approved in-kind redemption order, the Sponsor, on behalf of the Fund, will transfer the amount of SOL represented by a Creation Basket to the authorized participant or its designee when the large block of Shares is received by the Transfer Agent.

The Index

As described in the Registration Statement, the Fund will value its Shares daily based on the value of SOL as reflected by the CME CF Solana-Dollar Reference Rate—New York Variant (the "Index"). The Index is calculated daily and aggregates the notional value of SOL trading activity across major spot SOL trading platforms. The administrator of the Index is CF Benchmarks Ltd. (the "Index Provider").

The Index serves as a once-a-day benchmark rate of the U.S. dollar price of Solana (USD/SOL), calculated as of 4:00 p.m. ET. The Index aggregates the trade flow of several SOL trading platforms, during an observation window between 3:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. ET into the U.S. dollar price of one SOL at 4:00 p.m. ET. Specifically, the Index is calculated based on the "Relevant Transactions" (as defined below) of all of its constituent SOL trading platforms, which are currently Coinbase, Kraken, and Gemini (the "Constituent Platforms"), as follows:

- All Relevant Transactions are added to a joint list, recording the time of execution, trade price and size for each transaction.
- The list is partitioned by timestamp into 12 equally-sized time intervals of 5 (five) minute length.
- For each partition separately, the volume-weighted median trade price is calculated from the trade prices and sizes of all Relevant Transactions, *i.e.*, across all Constituent Platforms. A volume-weighted median differs from a standard median in that a weighting factor, in this case trade size, is factored into the calculation.
- The Index is then determined by the equally-weighted average of the volume medians of all partitions.

The Constituent Platforms may change from time to time. The Index does not include any futures prices in its methodology. A "Relevant Transaction" is any cryptocurrency versus U.S. dollar spot trade that occurs during the observation window between 3:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. ET on a Constituent Platform in the SOL/USD pair that is reported and disseminated by a Constituent Platform through its publicly available Application Programming Interface ("API") and observed by the Index Provider.

The Sponsor believes that the use of the Index is reflective of a reasonable valuation of the average spot price of SOL and that resistance to manipulation is a priority aim of its design methodology. The methodology: (i) takes an observation period and divides

it into equal partitions of time; (ii) then calculates the volume-weighted median of all transactions within each partition; and (iii) the value is determined from the arithmetic mean of the volume-weighted medians, equally weighted. By employing the foregoing steps, the Index thereby seeks to ensure that transactions in SOL conducted at outlying prices do not have an undue effect on the value of the Index, large trades or clusters of trades transacted over a short period of time will not have an undue influence on the Index value, and the effect of large trades at prices that deviate from the prevailing price are mitigated from having an undue influence on the Index value.

In addition, the Sponsor notes that an oversight function is implemented by the Index Provider in seeking to ensure that the Index is administered through codified policies for Index integrity.

Index data and the description of the Index are based on information made publicly available by the Index Provider on its website at <https://www.cfbenchmarks.com>.

Net Asset Value

NAV means the total assets of the Fund (which includes SOL and cash and cash equivalents) less total liabilities of the Fund. The Administrator will determine the NAV of the Fund on each day that the Exchange is open for regular trading, as promptly as practical after 4:00 p.m. ET. The NAV of the Fund is the aggregate value of the Fund's assets less its estimated accrued but unpaid liabilities (which include accrued expenses). In determining the Fund's NAV, the Administrator values the SOL held by the Fund based on the Index as of 4:00 p.m. ET. The Administrator also determines the NAV per Share. The NAV for the Fund will be calculated by the Administrator once a day and will be disseminated daily to all market participants at the same time.

If the Index is not available or the Sponsor determines, in its sole discretion, that the Index should not be used, the Fund's holdings may be fair valued in accordance with the policy approved by the Sponsor.²⁰

Availability of Information

In addition to the price transparency of the Index, the Fund will provide information regarding the Fund's SOL holdings as well as additional data regarding the Fund. The website for the Fund, which will be publicly accessible

²⁰Any alternative method will only be employed on an ad hoc basis. Any permanent change to the calculation of the NAV would require a proposed rule change under Rule 19b-4.

at no charge, will contain the following information: (a) the current NAV per Share daily and the prior business day's NAV per Share and the reported BZX Official Closing Price;²¹ (b) the BZX Official Closing Price in relation to the NAV per Share as of the time the NAV is calculated and a calculation of the premium or discount of such price against such NAV per Share; (c) data in chart form displaying the frequency distribution of discounts and premiums of the BZX Official Closing Price against the NAV per Share, within appropriate ranges for each of the four previous calendar quarters (or for the life of the Fund, if shorter); (d) the prospectus; and (e) other applicable quantitative information. The aforementioned information will be published as of the close of business and be available on the Fund's website at <https://www.franklintempleton.com/investments/options/exchange-traded-funds>, or any successor thereto. The NAV for the Fund will be calculated by the Administrator once a day and will be disseminated daily to all market participants at the same time. Quotation and last-sale information regarding the Shares will be disseminated through the facilities of the Consolidated Tape Association ("CTA"). The Fund will also disseminate its holdings on a daily basis on its website.

The Intraday Indicative Value ("IIV") will be calculated by using the prior day's closing NAV per Share as a base and updating that value during Regular Trading Hours²² to reflect changes in the value of the Fund's SOL holdings during the trading day, which is based on the CME CF Solana-Dollar Real Time Index. The IIV disseminated during Regular Trading Hours should not be viewed as an actual real-time update of the NAV, which will be calculated only once at the end of each trading day. The IIV will be widely disseminated on a per Share basis every 15 seconds during the Exchange's Regular Trading Hours through the facilities of the CTA and Consolidated Quotation System ("CQS") high speed lines. In addition, the IIV will be available through online information services, such as Bloomberg and Reuters.

The price of SOL will be made available by one or more major market data vendors, updated at least every 15 seconds during Regular Trading Hours.

As noted above, the Index is calculated daily and aggregates the

notional value of SOL trading activity across major spot SOL trading platforms. Index data, the Index value, and the description of the Index are based on information made publicly available by the Index Provider on its website <https://www.cfbenchmarks.com>.

Quotation and last sale information for SOL is widely disseminated through a variety of major market data vendors, including Bloomberg and Reuters. Information relating to trading, including price and volume information, in SOL is available from major market data vendors and from the trading platforms on which SOL are traded. Depth of book information is also available from SOL trading platforms. The normal trading hours for SOL trading platforms are 24 hours per day, 365 days per year.

Information regarding market price and trading volume of the Shares will be continually available on a real-time basis throughout the day on brokers' computer screens and other electronic services. Information regarding the previous day's BZX Official Closing Price and trading volume information for the Shares will be published daily in the financial section of newspapers. Quotation and last-sale information regarding the Shares will be disseminated through the facilities of the CTA.

The Solana Custodian

The Solana Custodian carefully considers the design of the physical, operational and cryptographic systems for secure storage of the Fund's private keys in an effort to lower the risk of loss or theft. The Solana Custodian utilizes a variety of security measures to ensure that private keys necessary to transfer digital assets remain uncompromised and that the Fund maintains exclusive ownership of its assets. The Solana Custodian will keep the private keys associated with the Fund's SOL in "cold storage"²³ (the "Cold Vault Balance"). The hardware, software, systems, and procedures of the Solana Custodian may not be available or cost-effective for many investors to access directly. Only specific individuals are authorized to participate in the custody process, and no individual acting alone will be able to access or use any of the private keys.

²³ The term "cold storage" refers to a safeguarding method by which the private keys corresponding to SOL stored on a digital wallet are removed from any computers actively connected to the internet. Cold storage of private keys may involve keeping such wallet on a non-networked computer or electronic device or storing the public key and private keys relating to the digital wallet on a storage device (for example, a USB thumb drive) or printed medium (for example, papyrus or paper) and deleting the digital wallet from all computers.

In addition, no combination of the executive officers of the Sponsor, acting alone or together, will be able to access or use any of the private keys that hold the Fund's SOL.

Staking

The Sponsor may, from time to time, stake a portion of the Fund's SOL on behalf of the Fund through one or more trusted staking providers, which may include the Solana Custodian, an affiliate of the Solana Custodian or an affiliate of the Sponsor ("Staking Providers"). In consideration for any staking activity in which the Fund may engage, the Fund would receive certain staking rewards of SOL tokens, which may be treated as income to the Fund.

The Staking Process

The Solana Network uses a proof-of-stake consensus mechanism. Proof-of-stake is intended to address the perceived shortcomings of the proof-of-work consensus mechanism in terms of labor intensity and duplicative computational effort expended by validators (known under proof-of-work as "miners"). In a proof-of-work consensus mechanism, miners effectively compete to be the first in time to solve the cryptographic puzzle that would allow them to be the only validator permitted to validate the block and thus be the only ones to receive the resulting block reward. Miners who are not first in time (and thus are not permitted to be validators) will have effectively expended significant labor and computing power for no gain. In a proof-of-stake mechanism, by contrast, a single validator is randomly selected to solve the cryptographic puzzle needed to validate a block, which it proposes to a committee of other validators, who vote for whether to include the block (or not). This proof-of-stake system reduces the computational work performed—and energy expended—to validate each block compared to proof-of-work.

Unlike proof-of-work, in which miners expend computational resources to compete to validate transactions and are rewarded coins in proportion to the amount of computational resources expended, in proof-of-stake, validators risk or "stake" coins to compete to be randomly selected to validate transactions and are rewarded coins in proportion to the amount of coins staked. Any malicious activity, such as mining multiple blocks, disagreeing with the eventual consensus or otherwise violating protocol rules, results in the forfeiture or "slashing" of a portion of the staked coins. Proof-of-stake is viewed as more energy efficient and scalable than proof-of-work.

²¹ As defined in Rule 11.23(a)(3), the term "BZX Official Closing Price" shall mean the price disseminated to the consolidated tape as the market center closing trade.

²² Regular Trading Hours is the time between 9:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time.

New SOL is created as a result of the staking of SOL by validators. Validators are required to stake SOL in order to be selected to perform validation activities and then once selected, as a reward, they earn newly created SOL. Validation activities include verifying transactions, storing data, and adding to the Solana blockchain.

To operate a node on the Solana blockchain, a validator must acquire and lock SOL by sending a special transaction to the staking contract. This transaction associates the staked SOL with a withdrawal address (to unlock the SOL and receive any staking rewards) and a validator address (to designate the validator node performing transaction verification).

Staking by the Sponsor on Behalf of the Fund

The Sponsor may, from time to time, stake a portion of the Fund's SOL on behalf of the Fund through one or more Staking Providers. The Sponsor expects to maintain sufficient liquidity in the Fund to satisfy redemptions. The SOL staked by the Sponsor on behalf of the Fund will consist exclusively of SOL owned by the Fund. The Sponsor's staking activities on behalf of the Fund will not constitute "delegated staking" and will not form part of a "staking as a service" offering.²⁴

²⁴ See *SEC v. Payward Ventures, Inc. and Payward Trading, Ltd.*, (Complaint filed February 9, 2023) available at <https://www.sec.gov/files/litigation/complaints/2023/comp-pr2023-25.pdf>. (In February 2023, the SEC charged and entered into a settlement order with Payward Ventures, Inc. and Payward Trading Ltd., both commonly known as Kraken, regarding Kraken's alleged failure to register the offer and sale of their crypto asset staking-as-a-service program, whereby investors transfer crypto assets to Kraken for staking in exchange for advertised annual investment returns of as much as 21 percent. According to the SEC's complaint, since 2019, Kraken has offered and sold its crypto asset "staking services" to the general public, whereby Kraken pools certain crypto assets transferred by investors and stakes them on behalf of those investors. According to the SEC, investors would lock up—or "stake"—their crypto tokens with Kraken with the goal of being rewarded with new tokens when their staked crypto tokens become part of the process for validating data for the blockchain. The complaint alleged that Kraken touted that its staking investment program offered an easy-to-use platform and benefits that derived from Kraken's efforts on behalf of investors, including Kraken's strategies to obtain regular investment returns and payouts.) See also *SEC v. Binance Holdings Limited*, et al., (Complaint filed June 5, 2023) available at <https://www.sec.gov/files/litigation/complaints/2023/comp-pr2023-101.pdf>. (On June 5, 2023, the SEC filed a complaint charging Binance Holdings Ltd. and certain of its affiliates with a variety of securities law violations, including operating a "staking-as-a-service" program. The SEC's complaint alleges, among other things, that BAM Trading violated Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act by offering and selling its staking program without a registration statement, and that BAM Trading's Staking Program was promoted "as a superior and much easier way to

As further discussed below, the Sponsor believes its activities in relation to staking the SOL held by the Fund on behalf of the Fund are materially different from the delegated staking and "staking as a service" activities that the SEC has alleged to involve securities offerings in violation of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act").

First, the Sponsor will only stake the SOL held by the Fund. The Sponsor will not seek to pool the SOL held by the Fund with SOL held by other entities (although such pooling may occur at the level of a Staking Provider). Second, the Sponsor will not advertise itself as providing any staking services generally, or promise any specific level of return from staking, or solicit delegated stakes from entities other than the Fund. Third, the Sponsor has stated that it is staking the Fund's SOL solely in order to maximize the Fund's revenue generation opportunities, and to generate returns for the Fund's shareholders. Fourth, the Sponsor will not bear or subsidize the risk of slashing on behalf of the Fund.

Staking by the Sponsor will not result in the SOL held by the Fund moving out of the custody of the Solana Custodian. In order to stake the Fund's SOL, the Sponsor will engage in what is known as "point-and-click staking." Point-and-click staking involves an interface through which an entity can simply initiate staking by pointing and clicking on the SOL assets to be staked. This process does not involve the staked SOL leaving the wallet in which it is held and accordingly reduces the risk of loss of SOL through theft at the node while the asset is staked (although this process will not reduce the risk of loss of the SOL through slashing).

Creation and Redemption of Shares

When the Fund sells or redeems its Shares, it will do so in Creation Baskets

obtain staking rewards by, among other things, pooling the crypto assets of a large number of investors.") See also *SEC v. Coinbase, Inc. and Coinbase Global* (Complaint filed June 6, 2023) available at <https://www.sec.gov/files/litigation/complaints/2023/comp-pr2023-102.pdf>. (On June 6, 2023, the SEC filed a complaint against Coinbase, Inc. and Coinbase Global in federal district court in the Southern District of New York, alleging, *inter alia* that Coinbase Inc. violated the Securities Act by failing to register with the SEC the offer and sale of its staking program. The SEC's complaint alleges that through the Coinbase staking program, investors' crypto assets are transferred to and pooled by Coinbase (segregated by asset), and subsequently "staked" (or committed) by Coinbase in exchange for rewards, which Coinbase distributes pro rata to investors after paying itself a 25–35% commission. The SEC also alleges that investors understand that Coinbase will expend efforts and leverage its experience and expertise to generate returns.)

that are based on the quantity of SOL attributable to each Share (net of the accrued but unpaid Sponsor's fee and any accrued but unpaid expenses or liabilities). Creation Baskets are issued and redeemed in exchange for SOL and/or cash. According to the Registration Statement, on any business day, an authorized participant may place an order to create one or more Creation Baskets. Purchase orders for cash transaction Creation Baskets must be placed by 2:00 p.m. ET, or the close of regular trading on the Exchange, whichever is earlier. Purchase orders for in-kind transaction Creation Baskets must be placed by 4:00 p.m. ET, or the close of regular trading on the Exchange, whichever is earlier. The day on which an order is properly received is considered the purchase order date. For cash creations, the total deposit of cash required is based on the combined NAV of the number of Shares included in the Creation Baskets being created determined as of 4:00 p.m. ET on the purchase order date. The Administrator determines the quantity of SOL associated with a Creation Basket for a given day by dividing the number of SOL held by the Fund as of the opening of business on that business day, adjusted for the amount of SOL constituting estimated accrued but unpaid fees and expenses of the Fund as of the opening of business on that business day, by the quotient of the number of Shares outstanding at the opening of business divided by the number of Shares in a Creation Basket.

The procedures by which an authorized participant can redeem one or more Creation Baskets mirror the procedures for the creation of Creation Baskets.

The Sponsor (including its delegates) will maintain ownership and control of the Fund's SOL in a manner consistent with good delivery requirements for spot commodity transactions.

Rule 14.11(e)(4)—Commodity-Based Trust Shares

The Shares will be subject to BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4), which sets forth the initial and continued listing criteria applicable to Commodity-Based Trust Shares. The Exchange represents that, for initial and continued listing, the Fund must be in compliance with Rule 10A–3 under the Act. A minimum of 100,000 Shares will be outstanding at the commencement of listing on the Exchange. The Exchange will obtain a representation that the NAV will be calculated daily and that the NAV and information about the assets of the Fund will be made available to all market participants at the same time. The

Exchange notes that, as defined in Rule 14.11(e)(4)(C)(i), the Shares will be: (a) issued by a trust that holds (1) a specified commodity²⁵ deposited with the trust, or (2) a specified commodity and, in addition to such specified commodity, cash; (b) issued by such trust in a specified aggregate minimum number in return for a deposit of a quantity of the underlying commodity and/or cash; and (c) when aggregated in the same specified minimum number, may be redeemed at a holder's request by such trust which will deliver to the redeeming holder the quantity of the underlying commodity and/or cash.

Upon termination of the Fund, the Shares will be removed from listing. The Trustee is a trust company having substantial capital and surplus and the experience and facilities for handling corporate trust business, as required under Rule 14.11(e)(4)(E)(iv)(a) and that no change will be made to the trustee without prior notice to and approval of the Exchange. The Exchange also notes that, pursuant to Rule 14.11(e)(4)(F), neither the Exchange nor any agent of the Exchange shall have any liability for damages, claims, losses or expenses caused by any errors, omissions or delays in calculating or disseminating any underlying commodity value, the current value of the underlying commodity required to be deposited to the Fund in connection with issuance of Commodity-Based Trust Shares; resulting from any negligent act or omission by the Exchange, or any agent of the Exchange, or any act, condition or cause beyond the reasonable control of the Exchange, its agent, including, but not limited to, an act of God; fire; flood; extraordinary weather conditions; war; insurrection; riot; strike; accident; action of government; communications or power failure; equipment or software malfunction; or any error, omission or delay in the reports of transactions in an underlying commodity. Finally, as required in Rule 14.11(e)(4)(G), the Exchange notes that any registered market maker ("Market Maker") in the Shares must file with the Exchange in a manner prescribed by the Exchange and keep current a list identifying all accounts for trading in an underlying commodity, related commodity futures or options on commodity futures, or any other related commodity derivatives, which the registered Market Maker may have or over which it may exercise investment discretion. No registered Market Maker shall trade in an underlying commodity, related

commodity futures or options on commodity futures, or any other related commodity derivatives, in an account in which a registered Market Maker, directly or indirectly, controls trading activities, or has a direct interest in the profits or losses thereof, which has not been reported to the Exchange as required by this Rule. In addition to the existing obligations under Exchange rules regarding the production of books and records (see, e.g., Rule 4.2), the registered Market Maker in Commodity-Based Trust Shares shall make available to the Exchange such books, records or other information pertaining to transactions by such entity or registered or non-registered employee affiliated with such entity for its or their own accounts for trading the underlying physical commodity, related commodity futures or options on commodity futures, or any other related commodity derivatives, as may be requested by the Exchange.

The Exchange is able to obtain information regarding trading in the Shares and the underlying SOL or any other SOL derivative through members acting as registered Market Makers, in connection with their proprietary or customer trades.

As a general matter, the Exchange has regulatory jurisdiction over its Members and their associated persons, which include any person or entity controlling a Member. To the extent the Exchange may be found to lack jurisdiction over a subsidiary or affiliate of a Member that does business only in commodities or futures contracts, the Exchange could obtain information regarding the activities of such subsidiary or affiliate through surveillance sharing agreements with regulatory organizations of which such subsidiary or affiliate is a member.

Trading Halts

With respect to trading halts, the Exchange may consider all relevant factors in exercising its discretion to halt or suspend trading in the Shares. The Exchange will halt trading in the Shares under the conditions specified in BZX Rule 11.18. Trading may be halted because of market conditions or for reasons that, in the view of the Exchange, make trading in the Shares inadvisable. These may include: (1) the extent to which trading is not occurring in the SOL underlying the Shares; or (2) whether other unusual conditions or circumstances detrimental to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market are present. Trading in the Shares also will be subject to Rule 14.11(e)(4)(E)(ii), which sets forth circumstances under which trading in the Shares may be halted.

If the IIV or the value of the Index is not being disseminated as required, the Exchange may halt trading during the day in which the interruption to the dissemination of the IIV or the value of the Index occurs. If the interruption to the dissemination of the IIV or the value of the Index persists past the trading day in which it occurred, the Exchange will halt trading no later than the beginning of the trading day following the interruption.

In addition, if the Exchange becomes aware that the NAV with respect to the Shares is not disseminated to all market participants at the same time, it will halt trading in the Shares until such time as the NAV is available to all market participants.

Trading Rules

The Exchange deems the Shares to be equity securities, thus rendering trading in the Shares subject to the Exchange's existing rules governing the trading of equity securities. BZX will allow trading in the Shares during all trading sessions on the Exchange. The Exchange has appropriate rules to facilitate transactions in the Shares during all trading sessions. As provided in BZX Rule 11.11(a) the minimum price variation for quoting and entry of orders in securities traded on the Exchange is \$0.01 where the price is greater than \$1.00 per share or \$0.0001 where the price is less than \$1.00 per share. The Shares of the Fund will conform to the initial and continued listing criteria set forth in BZX Rule 14.11(e)(4).

Surveillance

The Exchange represents that its surveillance procedures are adequate to properly monitor the trading of the Shares on the Exchange during all trading sessions and to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and the applicable federal securities laws. Trading of the Shares through the Exchange will be subject to the Exchange's surveillance procedures for derivative products, including Commodity-Based Trust Shares. FINRA conducts certain cross-market surveillances on behalf of the Exchange pursuant to a regulatory services agreement. The Exchange is responsible for FINRA's performance under this regulatory services agreement.

The Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, will communicate as needed regarding trading in the Shares or any other SOL derivative with other markets and other entities that are members of the ISG, and the Exchange, or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, may obtain trading information regarding trading in

²⁵ For purposes of Rule 14.11(e)(4), the term commodity takes on the definition of the term as provided in the CEA.

the Shares or any other SOL derivative from such markets and other entities.²⁶ The Exchange may obtain information regarding trading in the Shares or any other SOL derivative via ISG, from other exchanges who are members or affiliates of the ISG, or with which the Exchange has entered into a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement.

In addition, the Exchange also has a general policy prohibiting the distribution of material, non-public information by its employees.

The Sponsor has represented to the Exchange that it will advise the Exchange of any failure by the Fund or the Shares to comply with the continued listing requirements, and, pursuant to its obligations under Section 19(g)(1) of the Exchange Act, the Exchange will surveil for compliance with the continued listing requirements. If the Fund or the Shares are not in compliance with the applicable listing requirements, the Exchange will commence delisting procedures under Exchange Rule 14.12.

Information Circular

Prior to the commencement of trading, the Exchange will inform its members in an Information Circular of the special characteristics and risks associated with trading the Shares. Specifically, the Information Circular will discuss the following: (i) the procedures for the creation and redemption of Creation Baskets (and that the Shares are not individually redeemable); (ii) BZX Rule 3.7, which imposes suitability obligations on Exchange members with respect to recommending transactions in the Shares to customers; (iii) how information regarding the IIV and the Fund's NAV are disseminated; (iv) the risks involved in trading the Shares outside of Regular Trading Hours²⁷ when an updated IIV will not be calculated or publicly disseminated; (v) the requirement that members deliver a prospectus to investors purchasing newly issued Shares prior to or concurrently with the confirmation of a transaction; and (vi) trading information. The Information Circular will also reference the fact that there is no regulated source of last sale information regarding SOL, and that the Commission has no jurisdiction over the trading of SOL as a commodity.

In addition, the Information Circular will advise members, prior to the commencement of trading, of the

prospectus delivery requirements applicable to the Shares. Members purchasing the Shares for resale to investors will deliver a prospectus to such investors. The Information Circular will also discuss any exemptive, no-action and interpretive relief granted by the Commission from any rules under the Act.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act²⁸ in general and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act²⁹ in particular in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Commission has approved numerous series of Trust Issued Receipts,³⁰ including Commodity-Based Trust Shares,³¹ to be listed on U.S. national securities exchanges. In order for any proposed rule change from an exchange to be approved, the Commission must determine that, among other things, the proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, specifically including: (i) the requirement that a national securities exchange's rules are designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices;³² and

²⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

²⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

³⁰ See Exchange Rule 14.11(f).

³¹ Commodity-Based Trust Shares, as described in Exchange Rule 14.11(e)(4), are a type of Trust Issued Receipt.

³² Much like bitcoin and ether, the Exchange believes that SOL is resistant to price manipulation and that "other means to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices" exist to justify dispensing with the requisite surveillance sharing agreement. The geographically diverse and continuous nature of SOL trading render it difficult and prohibitively costly to manipulate the price of SOL. The fragmentation across platforms and the capital necessary to maintain a significant presence on each trading platform make manipulation of SOL prices through continuous trading activity challenging. To the extent that there are trading platforms engaged in or allowing wash trading or other activity intended to manipulate the price of SOL on other markets, such pricing does not normally impact prices on other trading platforms because participants will generally ignore markets with quotes that they deem non-executable. Moreover, the linkage between SOL markets and the presence of arbitrageurs in those markets means that the manipulation of the price of SOL on any single venue would require manipulation of the global SOL price in order to be effective. Arbitrageurs must have funds distributed across multiple trading platforms in order to take advantage of temporary price dislocations, thereby

(ii) the requirement that an exchange proposal be designed, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that this proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act and that this filing sufficiently demonstrates that potential policy concerns under the Act are sufficiently mitigated to the point that they are outweighed by quantifiable investor protection issues that would be resolved by approving this proposal.

More recently, the Commission has applied the Winklevoss Test while also recognizing that the "regulated market of significant size" standard is not the only means for satisfying Section 6(b)(5) of the Act. In the specifically providing that a listing exchange could demonstrate that "other means to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices" are sufficient to justify dispensing with the requisite surveillance-sharing agreement.³³ While there is currently no futures market for SOL, in the Spot Bitcoin ETF Approval Order and Spot ETH ETF Approval Order the Commission determined that the CME bitcoin futures market and CME ether futures market, respectively, were not of "significant size" related to the spot market. Instead, the Commission found that sufficient "other means" of preventing fraud and manipulation had been demonstrated that justified dispensing with a surveillance-sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size. The Exchange and Sponsor believe that this proposal provides for other means of preventing fraud and manipulation justify dispensing with a surveillance-sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size.

The Exchange believes that the proposal is designed to protect investors and the public interest. Over the past several years, U.S. investor exposure to SOL has grown into the billions of dollars, mostly through transactions in

making it unlikely that there will be strong concentration of funds on any particular trading platforms or OTC platform. Further, the speed and relatively inexpensive nature of transactions on the Solana network allow arbitrageurs to quickly move capital between trading platforms where price dislocations may occur. As a result, the potential for manipulation on a trading platform would require overcoming the liquidity supply of such arbitrageurs who are effectively eliminating any cross-market pricing differences.

³³ See Winklevoss Order at 37580. The Commission has also specifically noted that it "is not applying a 'cannot be manipulated' standard; instead, the Commission is examining whether the proposal meets the requirements of the Exchange Act and, pursuant to its Rules of Practice, places the burden on the listing exchange to demonstrate the validity of its contentions and to establish that the requirements of the Exchange Act have been met." *Id.* at 37582.

²⁶ For a list of the current members and affiliate members of ISG, see www.isgportal.com.

²⁷ Regular Trading Hours is the time between 9:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time.

spot SOL on digital asset trading platforms. The Exchange believes that approving this proposal (and comparable proposals) provides the Commission with the opportunity to allow U.S. investors with access to SOL in a regulated and transparent exchange-traded vehicle that would act to limit risk to U.S. investors by: (i) reducing premium and discount volatility; (ii) reducing management fees through meaningful competition; and (iii) providing an alternative to custodial spot SOL.

The policy concerns that the Exchange Act is designed to address are also otherwise mitigated by the fact that the size of the market for the underlying reference asset (approximately \$150 billion fully diluted value). The geographically diverse and continuous nature of SOL trading makes it difficult and prohibitively costly to manipulate the price of SOL and, in many instances, the SOL market can be less susceptible to manipulation than the equity, fixed income, and commodity futures markets. There are a number of reasons this is the case, including that there is not inside information about revenue, earnings, corporate activities, or sources of supply; manipulation of the price on any single venue would require manipulation of the global SOL price in order to be effective; a substantial over-the-counter market provides liquidity and shock-absorbing capacity; SOL's 24/7/365 nature provides constant arbitrage opportunities across all trading venues; and it is unlikely that any one actor could obtain a dominant market share.

Further, SOL is arguably less susceptible to manipulation than other commodities that underlie ETPs; there may be inside information relating to the supply of the physical commodity such as the discovery of new sources of supply or significant disruptions at mining facilities that supply the commodity that simply are inapplicable as it relates to bitcoin. Further, the Exchange believes that the fragmentation across SOL trading platforms, the relatively slow speed of transactions, and the capital necessary to maintain a significant presence on each trading platform make manipulation of SOL prices through continuous trading activity unlikely. Moreover, the linkage between the SOL markets and the presence of arbitrageurs in those markets means that the manipulation of the price of SOL price on any single venue would require manipulation of the global SOL price in order to be effective. Arbitrageurs must have funds distributed across multiple SOL trading platforms in order to take advantage of temporary price

dislocations, thereby making it unlikely that there will be strong concentration of funds on any particular SOL trading platform. As a result, the potential for manipulation on a particular SOL trading platform would require overcoming the liquidity supply of such arbitrageurs who are effectively eliminating any cross-market pricing differences. For all of these reasons, SOL is not particularly susceptible to manipulation, especially as compared to other approved ETP reference assets.

The Exchange also believes this proposal is designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest because it would allow the Fund to stake its SOL on behalf of its investors. The Solana Network allows for staking of its native asset, SOL tokens, and permits validators who successfully stake SOL to receive rewards in the form of more SOL tokens. The net beneficiaries are not only validators, or those on behalf of whom they stake SOL, but also the Solana blockchain itself which grows and is progressively made more secure through the validation of transactions. Staking permits validators to contribute to the network by staking their tokens to secure the blockchain, facilitating the creation of blocks, and helping process transactions. Validators are compensated for fulfilling this important role through transaction fees and consensus rewards paid by the blockchain itself.

Staking through mechanisms such as "point-and-click" staking can also permit the earning of rewards without certain additional risks to the tokens held by the Solana Custodian on behalf of the Fund. As such, not staking the Fund's SOL would amount to waiving the Fund's right to free additional SOL, an act analogous to an equity ETP refusing dividends from the companies it holds. Allowing the Fund to stake its SOL would benefit investors and help the Fund to better track the returns associated with holding SOL. This would improve the creation and redemption process for both authorized participants and the Fund, increase efficiency, and ultimately benefit the end investors in the Fund.

Commodity-Based Trust Shares

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices in that the Shares will be listed on the Exchange pursuant to the initial and continued listing criteria in Exchange Rule 14.11(e)(4). The Exchange believes that its surveillance

procedures are adequate to properly monitor the trading of the Shares on the Exchange during all trading sessions and to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and the applicable federal securities laws. Trading of the Shares through the Exchange will be subject to the Exchange's surveillance procedures for derivative products, including Commodity-Based Trust Shares. The Sponsor has represented to the Exchange that it will advise the Exchange of any failure by the Fund or the Shares to comply with the continued listing requirements, and, pursuant to its obligations under Section 19(g)(1) of the Exchange Act, the Exchange will surveil for compliance with the continued listing requirements. If the Fund or the Shares are not in compliance with the applicable listing requirements, the Exchange will commence delisting procedures under Exchange Rule 14.12. The Exchange may obtain information regarding trading in the Shares and listed SOL derivatives via the ISG, from other exchanges who are members or affiliates of the ISG, or with which the Exchange has entered into a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement.

Availability of Information

In addition to the price transparency of the Index, the Fund will provide information regarding the Fund's SOL holdings as well as additional data regarding the Fund.

The website for the Fund, which will be publicly accessible at no charge, will contain the following information: (a) the current NAV per Share daily and the prior business day's NAV per Share and the reported BZX Official Closing Price;³⁴ (b) the BZX Official Closing Price in relation to the NAV per Share as of the time the NAV is calculated and a calculation of the premium or discount of such price against such NAV per Share; (c) data in chart form displaying the frequency distribution of discounts and premiums of the BZX Official Closing Price against the NAV per Share, within appropriate ranges for each of the four previous calendar quarters (or for the life of the Fund, if shorter); (d) the prospectus; and (e) other applicable quantitative information. The aforementioned information will be published as of the close of business and be available on the Fund's website at <https://www.franklintempleton.com/investments/options/exchange-traded->

³⁴ As defined in Rule 11.23(a)(3), the term "BZX Official Closing Price" shall mean the price disseminated to the consolidated tape as the market center closing trade.

funds, or any successor thereto. The NAV for the Fund will be calculated by the Administrator once a day and will be disseminated daily to all market participants at the same time. Quotation and last-sale information regarding the Shares will be disseminated through the facilities of the CTA. The Fund will also disseminate its holdings on a daily basis on its website.

The IIV will be calculated by using the prior day's closing NAV per Share as a base and updating that value during Regular Trading Hours to reflect changes in the value of the Fund's SOL holdings during the trading day, which is based on the CME CF Solana-Dollar Real Time Index. The IIV disseminated during Regular Trading Hours should not be viewed as an actual real-time update of the NAV, which will be calculated only once at the end of each trading day. The IIV will be widely disseminated on a per Share basis every 15 seconds during the Exchange's Regular Trading Hours through the facilities of the CTA and CQS high speed lines. In addition, the IIV will be available through on-line information services such as Bloomberg and Reuters.

The price of SOL will be made available by one or more major market data vendors, updated at least every 15 seconds during Regular Trading Hours.

As noted above, the Index is calculated daily and aggregates the notional value of SOL trading activity across major spot SOL trading platforms. Index data, the Index value, and the description of the Index are based on information made publicly available by the Index Provider on its website at <https://www.cfbenchmarks.com>.

Quotation and last sale information for SOL is widely disseminated through a variety of major market data vendors, including Bloomberg and Reuters. Information relating to trading, including price and volume information, in SOL is available from major market data vendors and from the trading platforms on which SOL are traded. Depth of book information is also available from SOL trading platforms. The normal trading hours for SOL trading platforms are 24 hours per day, 365 days per year.

Information regarding market price and trading volume of the Shares will be continually available on a real-time basis throughout the day on brokers' computer screens and other electronic services. Information regarding the previous day's BZX Official Closing Price and trading volume information for the Shares will be published daily in the financial section of newspapers. Quotation and last-sale information

regarding the Shares will be disseminated through the facilities of the CTA.

In sum, the Exchange believes that this proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, that on the whole the manipulation concerns previously articulated by the Commission are sufficiently mitigated to the point that they are outweighed by investor protection issues that would be resolved by approving this proposal.

The Exchange believes that the proposal is, in particular, designed to protect investors and the public interest. The investor protection issues for U.S. investors has grown significantly over the last several years, through premium/discount volatility and management fees for OTC SOL Funds. As discussed throughout, this growth investor protection concerns need to be re-evaluated and rebalanced with the prevention of fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices concerns that previous disapproval orders have relied upon.

For the above reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purpose of the Act. The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change, rather will facilitate the listing and trading of an additional exchange-traded product that will enhance competition among both market participants and listing venues, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

A. by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or

B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-CboeBZX-2025-039 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2025-039. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2025-039 and should be submitted on or before April 9, 2025.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³⁵

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-04505 Filed 3-18-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-102657; File No. SR-NYSEARCA-2024-112]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Order Instituting Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 7.31-E To Adopt the Selective Midpoint Order

March 13, 2025.

I. Introduction

On December 18, 2024, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“Exchange” or “NYSE Arca”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend Exchange Rule 7.31-E to adopt the Selective Midpoint (“SeMi”) Order. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on December 30, 2024.³ The Commission received comment on the proposal.⁴ On February 11, 2025, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵ the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change.⁶ The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act⁷ to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change⁸

The Exchange offers the Discretionary Pegged Order (“DPO”), which is a non-displayed order to buy (sell) that is pegged to the same side of the PBBO. Upon entry, a DPO is assigned a working price equal to the lower (higher) of the midpoint of the PBBO (the “Midpoint Price”) or the limit price of the order.⁹ Any untraded shares of such order are assigned a working price equal to the lower (higher) of PBB (PBO) or the order’s limit price, which is automatically adjusted in response to changes to the PBB (PBO) for buy (sell) orders up (down) to the order’s limit price. A DPO exercises the least amount of discretion necessary from its working price to its discretionary price (defined as the lower (higher) of the Midpoint Price or the limit price of the order) to trade with contra-side interest.

The Exchange proposes to modify NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(h)(3) to replace the DPO with the SeMi Order. As described in the Notice, the SeMi Order would be similar to the DPO in that the SeMi Order would be a non-displayed order to buy (sell) that is pegged to the same side of the PBBO that is assigned a working price equal to the lower (higher) of the Midpoint Price or the limit price of the order.¹⁰ Any untraded shares of a SeMi Order would be assigned a working price equal to the lower (higher) of the PBB (PBO) or the order’s limit price and automatically adjusted in response to changes to the PBB (PBO) for buy (sell) orders up (down) to the order’s limit price.¹¹ In order to trade with contra-side orders on the NYSE Arca Book,¹² a SeMi Order to buy (sell) would exercise the least amount of price discretion necessary from its working price to its discretionary price, which is defined as the lower (higher) of the Midpoint Price or the SeMi Order’s limit price.¹³ When exercising discretion, SeMi Orders (like DPOs) would maintain their time priority at their working price as Priority 3—Non-Display Orders and be prioritized behind Priority 3—Non-Display Orders with a working price equal to the discretionary price of a

SeMi Order at the time of execution.¹⁴ If multiple SeMi Orders are exercising price discretion during the same book processing action, they would maintain their relative time priority at the discretionary price.¹⁵

The Exchange is proposing to adopt new NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(h)(3)(D) to allow SeMi Orders to be optionally designated as Liquidity Providing.¹⁶ This functionality is not available for DPOs. An incoming SeMi Order designated as Liquidity Providing would only execute against resting orders that include a Non-Display Remove Modifier and are priced within the discretionary range of the Liquidity Providing SeMi Order. If a resting contra-side order without a Non-Display Remove Modifier is priced within an arriving Liquidity Providing SeMi Order’s discretionary range, the Liquidity Providing SeMi Order would be placed on the NYSE Arca Book, and its discretionary range would be adjusted to equal the resting price of the non-displayed contra-side order or one minimum price variation (“MPV”) less aggressive than the resting price of the displayed contra-side order.¹⁷ Further, a resting Liquidity Providing SeMi Order would not trade with an arriving contra-side order that cannot remove liquidity.¹⁸ Once such arriving contra-side order is placed on the NYSE Arca Book, the discretionary range of the Liquidity Providing SeMi Order would be adjusted to equal the resting price of a non-displayed contra-side order or to one MPV less aggressive than the resting price of a displayed contra-side order. Once resting on the NYSE Arca Book, the discretionary range of a Liquidity Providing SeMi Order would be adjusted based on resting contra-side interest.¹⁹ A Liquidity Providing SeMi Order to buy (sell) would not be eligible to trade at a price equal to or above (below) any sell (buy) orders that are displayed and have a working price equal to or below (above) the working price of such Liquidity Providing SeMi Order, or at a price above (below) any

¹⁴ See proposed NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(h)(3)(B).

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ See proposed NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(h)(3)(D).

¹⁷ See proposed NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(h)(3)(D)(ii). The Exchange states that allowing Liquidity Providing SeMi Orders to trade with resting orders with a Non-Display Remove Modifier, as well as adjusting the discretionary range of such orders, would be consistent with the operation of discretionary order types on other equities exchanges. See Notice, *supra* note 3 at 106631.

¹⁸ See proposed NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(h)(3)(D)(iii). The Exchange states that this proposed handling is also consistent with the handling of similar discretionary order types by other equities exchanges.

¹⁹ See proposed NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(h)(3)(D)(iv).

³⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 102005 (Dec. 19, 2024), 89 FR 106630 (Dec. 30, 2024) (“Notice”).

⁴ Comments received on the proposed rule change are available at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysearca-2024-112/smysearca2024112.htm>.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 102401 (Feb. 11, 2025), 90 FR 9782 (Feb. 18, 2025) (designating Mar. 30, 2025, as the date by which the Commission shall either approve, disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁸ For a full description of the proposed rule change, refer to the Notice, *supra* note 3.

⁹ See NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(h)(3). As defined in NYSE Arca Rule 1.1, “PBBO” means the Best Protected Bid and the Best Protected Offer. NYSE Arca Rule 1.1 also defines “PBB” as the highest Protected Bid and “PBO” as the lowest Protected Offer.

¹⁰ See proposed NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(h)(3).

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² See NYSE Arca Rule 1.1.

¹³ *Id.*