

**Analysis**

*Agency:* Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

*Title:* Request for Case Assistance Form.

*OMB Number:* 1601–0004.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or Households.

*Number of Respondents:* 23,591.

*Estimated Time per Respondent:* 50 mins.

*Total Burden Hours:* 19,659.

**Robert Dorr,**

*Executive Director, Business Management Directorate.*

[FR Doc. 2025–03914 Filed 3–13–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9112–FL–P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1432]

**Certain Mobile Electronic Devices; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 6) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting Complainant’s motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to allege infringement of additional patent claims.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Namoo Kim, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3459. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on January 23, 2025, based on a

complaint filed by Maxell, Ltd. of Kyoto, Japan (“Maxell”). 90 FR 8032–33 (Jan. 23, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile electronic devices by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,130,280; U.S. Patent No. 11,490,004; U.S. Patent No. 11,750,915; U.S. Patent No. 11,509,953; U.S. Patent No. 12,108,103; and U.S. Patent No. 11,445,241 (“the ‘241 patent’”). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names as respondents Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Suwon-Shi, Republic of Korea and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of New Jersey (collectively, “Samsung”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party. *Id.*

On February 6, 2025, Maxell filed a motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add infringement allegations as to claims 15 and 24 of the ‘241 patent. Maxell explained that, prior to institution, it submitted a first public supplement to assert claims 15 and 24 of the ‘241 patent. The notice of investigation, however, did not reflect this supplement. Therefore, Maxell argued that good cause exists because this motion is to address a clerical error in the notice of investigation.

On February 18, 2025, Samsung filed an opposition to Maxell’s motion. Samsung argued that there was no clerical error in the notice of investigation, and that Maxell failed to properly add claims 15 and 24 of the ‘241 patent during the pre-institution stage because Maxell needed to file an amended complaint pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(a), 19 CFR 210.14(a), to add any additional claims as opposed filing a supplement. Samsung also argued that it would be unduly prejudiced if Maxell’s motion is granted.

On February 21, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 6) granting Maxell’s motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b), 19 CFR 210.14(b). The ID finds that the notice of investigation expressly acknowledged Maxell’s public supplements, including the first public supplement that alleged infringement of claims 15 and 24 of the ‘241 patent, and this acknowledgment is “indicative of a clerical oversight in the listing of instituted asserted claims.” ID

at 2; *see* 90 FR at 8032. The ID also finds that even if there was no clerical error, Maxell has now moved in a timely manner to add claims 15 and 24. *Id.* at 3. Lastly, the ID finds that any prejudice to Samsung is low because Samsung was on notice of Maxell’s intent to add claims 15 and 24. *Id.* at 3–4.

No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on March 10, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 10, 2025.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2025–04049 Filed 3–13–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Antitrust Division**

**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—UHD Alliance, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on February 24, 2025, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), UHD Alliance, Inc. (“UHD Alliance”) filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Optoma Technology, Inc., Fremont, CA, has been added as a party to this venture. Also, Teledyne LeCroy (Quantum Data, Inc.), Elgin, IL, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and UHD Alliance intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 17, 2015, UHD Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to

section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 17, 2015 (80 FR 42537).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 3, 2024. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on January 28, 2025 (90 FR 8302).

**Suzanne Morris,**

*Deputy Director Civil Enforcement Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2025-04067 Filed 3-13-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Undersea Technology Innovation Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on January 17, 2025, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Undersea Technology Innovation Consortium (“UTIC”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Specifically, Wider Security LLC, Warren, RI; Comark LLC, Milford, MA; Poroy Global Advisors LLC, Hull, MA; Parry Labs LLC, Alexandria, VA; Goodrich Corporation, Engineered Polymer Products, Jacksonville, FL; Questek Innovations LLC, Evanston, IL; VideoRay LLC, Pottstown, PA; Defense Operations & Execution Solutions, Inc., W Melbourne, FL; Pliant Energy Systems, Inc., Brooklyn, NY; Ashwin-Ushas Corporation, Holmdel, NJ; Georgia Tech Applied Research, Corp., Atlanta, GA; and Morphix Technologies, Inc., Virginia Beach, VA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Decisive Analytics Corp., Arlington, VA; Saltenna LLC, Mclean, VA; Production Systems Automation LLC, Duryea, PA; Probus Test Systems, Inc., Lincroft, NJ; Photonic Systems, Inc., Billerica, MA; UnderSea Sensor Systems, Inc., Columbia City, IN; Tridentis LLC, Alexandria, VA; SubSeaSail LLC, San Diego, CA; Bishop Ascendant, Inc., Caldwell, NJ; Beacon Industries, Inc., Newington, CT; PCCI, Inc., Alexandria, VA; Northern Defense

Industries LLC, Stevensville, MD; Dive Technologies, Inc., Hingham, MA; Geodynamics LLC, Newport, NC; Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, FL; Evans Capacitor Company, East Providence, RI; GK Mechanical Systems LLC, Brookfield, CT; Aegis Power Systems, Inc., Murphy, NC; The Ascendancy Group, Ltd., Virginia Beach, VA; Trident Systems LLC, Fairfax, VA; WR Systems, Ltd., Fairfax, VA; Submergence Group LLC, Cedar Park, TX; Major Tool and Machine, Inc., Indianapolis, IN; Intellisense Systems, Inc., Torrance, CA; HEBI Robotics, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA; and EpiSys Science, Inc., Poway, CA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and UTIC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 9, 2018, UTIC filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on November 2, 2018 (83 FR 55203).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 10, 2024. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on January 24, 2025 (90 FR 8153).

**Suzanne Morris,**

*Deputy Director Civil Enforcement Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2025-04065 Filed 3-13-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Cooperative Research Group Advanced Fluids for Electrified Vehicles 2

Notice is hereby given that, on November 12, 2024, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Cooperative Research Group Advanced Fluids for Electrified Vehicles 2 (“AFEV2”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust

plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: Afton Chemical Corporation, Richmond, VA; Aisin Corporation, Kariya, JAPAN; American Axle & Manufacturing, Detroit, MI; Cargill Incorporated, Wayzata, MN; Chevron U.S.A. Inc., Richmond, CA; Dana Incorporated, Maumee, OH; Deere and Company, Moline, IL; Emery Oleochemicals LLC, Cincinnati, OH; Eneos Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; ExxonMobil Technology and Engineering Company, Spring, TX; Petro-Canada Lubricants Inc., Ontario, CANADA; Idemitsu Kosan Co., Ltd., Chiyoda City, JAPAN; Infineum USA LP, Linden, NJ; The Lubrizol Corporation, Wickliffe, OH; Petronas Lubricants Italy S.p.A, Turin, ITALIAN REPUBLIC; Shell Global Solutions, US Inc., Wilmington, DE; SK Enmove Co., Ltd., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Toyota Motor Company, Aichi, JAPAN; Vanderbilt Chemicals, LLC, Norwalk, CT; and Volvo, Gothenburg, KINGDOM OF SWEDEN.

The general area of AFEV2’s planned activities is to better understand the unique stressors placed on electric vehicle fluids which will enable development and optimization of electric vehicle powertrains.

**Suzanne Morris,**

*Deputy Director Civil Enforcement Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2025-04063 Filed 3-13-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—National Spectrum Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on February 3, 2025, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), National Spectrum Consortium, Inc. (“NSC”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. On April 2, 2024, the National Spectrum Consortium commenced operating as a non-profit under the name National Spectrum Consortium, Inc. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages