

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-608 and 731-TA-1420 (Review)]

Steel Racks From China

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the countervailing duty order and antidumping duty order on steel racks from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on August 1, 2024 (89 FR 62779, August 1, 2024) and determined on November 4, 2024, that it would conduct expedited reviews (89 FR 96266, December 4, 2024).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on February 27, 2025. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5593 (February 2025), entitled *Steel Racks from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-608 and 731-TA-1420 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 27, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-03505 Filed 3-4-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1396]

Certain Medical Programmers With Printed Circuit Boards, Components Thereof, and Products and Systems for Use With the Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Its Entirety Based on Settlement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 30) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting a joint motion of the complainants and the respondent to terminate the investigation based on settlement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on April 3, 2024, based on a complaint filed by Medtronic, Inc., Medtronic Logistics, LLC, and Medtronic USA, Inc., all of Minneapolis, Minnesota, and Medtronic Puerto Rico Operations Co. of Juncos, Puerto Rico (collectively, “Medtronic”). 89 FR 23043-44 (Apr. 3, 2024). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain medical programmers with printed circuit boards, components thereof, and products and systems for use with the same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,712,540 (“the ’540 patent”) and 9,174,059 (“the ’059 patent”). *Id.* at 23043. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation (“NOI”) names one respondent, Axonics, Inc. (“Axonics”) of Irvine, California. *Id.* at 23044. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also named as a party. *Id.*

On August 12, 2024, the Commission amended (i) the complaint to substitute “UNITED” in place of “MUNITED” on the cover page and (ii) the NOI so that the plain language description of the accused products reads “sacral

neuromodulation systems to control neurostimulators surgically implanted into a human patient, components thereof, and medical programmers and printed circuit boards used in same.” Order No. 11 (July 11, 2024), *unreviewed by* 89 FR 66442 (Aug. 15, 2024).

On September 30, 2024, the Commission terminated the investigation as to (i) claim 17 of the ’540 patent and (ii) the ’059 patent in its entirety based on Medtronic’s partial withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 16 (Sept. 9, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Sept. 30, 2024).

On November 15, 2024, the Commission terminated the investigation as to claim 5, solely for the purposes of infringement, and claims 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20, 39, and 40 of the ’540 patent based on Medtronic’s partial withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 21 (Oct. 31, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Nov. 15, 2024).

On February 10, 2025, Medtronic and Axonics filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement, attaching thereto confidential and non-confidential versions of the subject agreement. OUII did not file a response to the motion.

On February 11, 2025, the ALJ issued Order No. 29, which (i) ordered Medtronic and Axonics to “revise and refile the non-confidential version of the agreement required by Commission Rules 210.21(a)(2) and 210.21(b)(1) [19 CFR 210.21(a)(2) and (b)(1)], and in accordance with Commission Rule 201.6 [19 CFR 201.6],” and (ii) stated that the motion to terminate will be ruled upon after the revised non-confidential version of the agreement is refiled. Order No. 29 at 2 (Feb. 11, 2025). On February 18, 2025, Medtronic and Axonics filed a revised non-confidential version of the subject agreement.

On February 19, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 30) granting the joint motion. The ID finds that, as supplemented, the joint motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1), that there are “no extraordinary circumstances that warrant denying the motion,” and that “there is no evidence indicating that terminating this investigation based on the settlement agreement would be contrary to the public interest.” No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

The Commission vote for this determination took place on February 27, 2025.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 27, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-03525 Filed 3-4-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

U.S. Marshals Service

[OMB Number 1105-0105]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension Without Change or a Currently Approved Collection; Comments Requested: Form CSO-005, Preliminary Background Check Form

AGENCY: U.S. Marshals Service, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), U.S. Marshals Service (USMS), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 30 days until April 4, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments, particularly with respect to the estimated public burden or associated response time, have suggestions, need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions,

or desire any additional information, please contact Assistant Chief Karl Slazer/Management Support Division, US Marshals Service Headquarters, 1215 S Clark St., Ste. 10017, Arlington, VA 22202-4387, by telephone at 703-740-2316 or by email at karl.slazer@usdoj.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on December 10, 2024, 89 FR 92281, allowing a 60-day comment period. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection* (check justification or form 83): Extension without change or a currently approved collection.

2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Form CSO-005, Preliminary Background Check Form.

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form number (if applicable): Form CSO-005.

Component: U.S. Marshals Service, U.S. Department of Justice.

4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Court Security Officers/Special Security Officer (CSO/SSO) Applicants.

Other (if applicable): [None].

Abstract: The CSO-005 Preliminary Background Check Form is used to collect applicant information for CSO/SSO positions. The applicant information provided to USMS from the Vendor gives information about which District and Facility the applicant will be working, the applicant's personal information, prior employment verification, employment performance and current financial status. The information allows the selecting official to hire applicants with a strong history of employment performance and financial responsibility. The questions on this form have been developed from the OPM, MSPB and DOJ "Best Practice" guidelines for reference checking.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 750 respondents will utilize the form, and it will take each respondent approximately 60 minutes to complete the form.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 750 hours, which is equal to (750 (total # of annual responses) * 1 (60 mins).

7. *An estimate of the total annual cost burden associated with the collection, if applicable:*

TOTAL BURDEN HOURS

Activity	Number of respondents	Frequency	Total annual responses	Time per response (min.)	Total annual burden (hours)
Ex: Survey (individuals or households)	750	1/annually	1,000	60	750
Unduplicated Totals	1,000	1,000	750

Estimated Total Annual Cost Burden: \$14,651.00.

If additional information is required contact: Darwin Arceo, Department Clearance Officer, United States

Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution

Square, 145 N Street NE, 4W-218, Washington, DC.