

regulation also provides that an AMC may not be registered by a state if any person that owns 10 percent or more of the AMC fails to submit to a background investigation carried out by the state appraiser certifying and licensing agency.¹¹ Thus, each AMC registering with a state must provide information to the state on compliance with those ownership restrictions.

B. Burden Estimate

For the information collections described above, the general methodology is to compute the industry wide burden hours for participating states and AMCs and then assign a share of the burden hours to each of the Agencies for each information collection.

As noted above, each of the Agencies' AMC regulations contains reporting and recordkeeping requirements applying to participating states and to both federally regulated and non-federally regulated AMCs. Unlike the insured depository institutions regulated by the OCC, FDIC, and Board, none of FHFA's regulated entities owns or controls an AMC or, by law, could ever own or control an AMC. Accordingly, the Agencies have agreed that responsibility for the burdens arising from reporting and recordkeeping requirements imposed upon federally regulated AMCs are to be split evenly among the OCC, FDIC, and Board and that FHFA will not include those burdens in its totals.

The four Agencies have agreed to split the total burdens imposed upon participating states and upon non-federally regulated AMCs among them. For IC #1 and #3, which relates to disclosure requirements imposed upon state regulated AMCs the OCC, FDIC, and the Board are each responsible for 30 percent of the total burden, while FHFA is responsible only for 10 percent of the total burden. For IC #2, which relates to reporting and recordkeeping requirements imposed upon participating states, each agency is responsible for 25 percent of the total estimated burden.

The Agencies estimate the total annualized hour burden placed on respondents by the information collection in the joint AMC regulations to be 6,651 hours. FHFA estimates its share of the hour burden to be 678 hours. The calculations on which those estimations are based are described below.

1. *Written Notice of Appraiser Removal From Network or Panel (IC #1, Formerly #3)*

State-regulated AMCs disclose written notices sent or received regarding appraiser removal from the AMC's network or panel. The Agencies estimate that the total number of annual respondents for this information collection is 28,270, with one notice sent per respondent. The estimated number of respondents per year allocated to each of the four agencies (FDIC, FRB, OCC, and FHFA) is calculated by splitting the total estimated number of respondents using a ratio of 3:3:3:1. Thus, the estimated number of annual respondents attributable to FHFA for this IC is 2,827 (28,270 notices \times 10% = 2,827). FHFA estimates an average of 5 minutes per response. The total hour burden attributable to FHFA is 236 (2,827 notices \times 5 minutes = 236, after rounding up).

2. *State Recordkeeping Requirements (IC #2, Formerly IC #1)*

States without a current AMC certifying and licensing program that elect to establish such a program as a result of the rule maintain records related to the rule's substantive requirements. According to the ASC, there are 5 states that do not have an AMC program. The estimated number of respondents is split evenly among the four agencies, which amounts to one respondent each, after rounding up to a whole number. FHFA estimates 40 hours per recordkeeping activity, which is unchanged from the previous ICR. The total hour burden attributable to FHFA is 40 (40 hours \times 1 respondent = 40).

3. *AMC Disclosure Requirements (IC #3, Formerly #2)*

State-regulated AMCs disclose to states information necessary to determine whether any person that owns more than 10 percent of the AMC has had an appraiser license or certificate refused, denied, cancelled, surrendered in lieu of revocation, or revoked in any state. The Agencies estimate the number of state-regulated AMCs for the next three years as 4,020, with an average of one report per AMC and one hour preparation time per report. The estimated number of respondents per year allocated to each of the four agencies (FDIC, FRB, OCC, and FHFA) is calculated by splitting the total estimated number of respondents using a ratio of 3:3:3:1. Thus, the estimated number of annual respondents attributable to FHFA for

this IC is 402 (4,020 respondents \times 10% = 402).

C. Comments Request

FHFA requests written comments on the following: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of FHFA functions, including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FHFA's estimates of the burdens of the collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Shawn Bucholtz,

Chief Data Officer, Federal Housing Finance Agency.

[FR Doc. 2025-00729 Filed 1-14-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8070-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 25-02]

**Nielsen & Bainbridge, LLC,
Complainant v. Ocean Network
Express Pte. Ltd.; Orient Overseas
Container Line Limited; OOCL
(Europe) Limited; Evergreen Line Joint
Service Agreement (FMC Agreement
No. 011982); Evergreen Marine
Corporation (Taiwan) Ltd.; Evergreen
Marine (UK) Limited, Italia Marittima
SpA; Evergreen Marine (Hong Kong)
Ltd.; Evergreen Marine (Singapore)
Pte. Ltd.; And Yang Ming Marine
Transport Corporation, Respondents;
Notice of Filing of Complaint and
Assignment**

Served: January 8, 2025.

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (the "Commission") by Nielsen & Bainbridge, LLC (the "Complainant") against Ocean Network Express Pte. Ltd.; Orient Overseas Container Line Limited; OOCL (Europe) Limited; Evergreen Line Joint Service Agreement (FMC Agreement No.011982); Evergreen Marine Corporation (Taiwan) Ltd.; Evergreen Marine (UK) Limited; Italia Marittima SpA; Evergreen Marine (Hong Kong) Ltd.; Evergreen Marine (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.; and Yang Ming Marine Transport Corporation (collectively, the "Respondents"). Complainant states that the Commission has subject-matter jurisdiction over this Complaint pursuant to the Shipping Act of 1984, as amended, 46 U.S.C. 40101 *et seq.* and personal jurisdiction over each of the

¹¹ See 12 CFR 1222.24(b).

Respondents as ocean common carriers, as defined in 46 U.S.C. 40102(18), that has entered into a service contract, as defined in 46 U.S.C. 40102(21), with Complainant.

Complainant is a limited liability company existing under the laws of Delaware with a mailing address in New Rochelle, New York that formerly conducted business as “NGB Home.”

Complainant identifies Respondent Ocean Network Express Pte. Ltd. as a company existing under the laws of Singapore with its principal place of business located in Singapore whose agent in the United States is Ocean Network Express (North America) Inc. with its principal place of business located in Richmond, Virginia.

Complainant identifies Respondent Orient Overseas Container Line Limited as a company existing under the laws of Hong Kong with its principal place of business located in Wanchai, Hong Kong whose agent in the United States is OOCL (USA) Inc. with its principal place of business located in South Jordan, Utah.

Complainant identifies Respondent OOCL (Europe) Limited as a company existing under the laws of the United Kingdom with its principal place of business located in Levington Suffolk, United Kingdom whose agent in the United States is OOCL (USA) Inc. with its principal place of business located in South Jordan, Utah.

Complainant identifies Respondent Evergreen Marine Corporation (Taiwan) Ltd. as a company existing under the laws of Taiwan with its principal place of business located in Taipei City, Taiwan.

Complainant identifies Respondent Evergreen Marine (UK) Limited as a company existing under the laws of the United Kingdom with its principal place of business located in London, United Kingdom.

Complainant identifies Respondent Italia Marittima SpA as a company existing under the laws of Italy with its principal place of business located in Trieste, Italy.

Complainant identifies Respondent Evergreen Marine (Hong Kong) Ltd. as a company existing under the laws of Hong Kong with its principal place of business located in Wan Chai, Hong Kong.

Complainant identifies Respondent Evergreen Marine (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. as a company existing under the laws of Singapore with its principal place of business in Southpoint, Singapore.

Complainant identifies Respondent Evergreen Line Joint Service Agreement (FMC Agreement No. 011982) as a vessel-operating ocean common carrier

consisting of Evergreen Marine Corporation (Taiwan) Ltd., Evergreen Marine (UK) Limited, Italia Marittima SpA, Evergreen Marine (Hong Kong) Ltd., Evergreen Marine (Singapore) Pte. Ltd., and non-party, Evergreen Marine (Asia) Pte. Ltd.

Complainant identifies Respondent Yang Ming Marine Transport Corporation as a company existing under the laws of Taiwan with its principal place of business located in Keelung City, Taiwan whose agent in the United States is Yang Ming (America) Corp. with its principal place of business located in Newark, New Jersey.

Complainant alleges that Respondents violated 46 U.S.C. 41102(c), 41104(a)(2), 41104(a)(10); and 46 CFR 545.5.

Complainant alleges these violations arose from a practice of systematically failing to meet service commitments, the use of coercion to require payment of extracontractual surcharges prior to performance of service commitments and to require amendments to service contracts, an unreasonable assessment of demurrage and detention charges, and other acts or omissions of the Respondents.

An answer to the complaint must be filed with the Commission within 25 days after the date of service.

The full text of the complaint can be found in the Commission’s electronic Reading Room at <https://www2.fmc.gov/readingroom/proceeding/25-02/>. This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. The initial decision of the presiding judge shall be issued by January 8, 2026, and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by July 22, 2026.

David Eng,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025–00784 Filed 1–14–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 25–03]

Euromarket Designs, Inc., Complainant v. MSC Mediterranean Shipping Company SA; Ocean Network Express Pte. Ltd.; Evergreen Line Joint Service Agreement (FMC Agreement No. 011982); Evergreen Marine Corp. (Taiwan) Ltd., Evergreen Marine (UK) Limited; Italia Marittima SpA; Evergreen Marine (Hong Kong) Ltd.; Evergreen Marine (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.; HMM Company Limited; Maersk A/S; CMA CGM S.A.; Apex Maritime Co., Inc.; China United Transport, Inc.; Cosco Shipping Lines Co., Ltd.; And Wan Hai Lines Ltd., Respondents; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Served: January 8, 2025.

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (the “Commission”) by Euromarket Designs, Inc. (the “Complainant”) against MSC Mediterranean Shipping Company SA; Ocean Network Express Pte. Ltd.; Evergreen Line Joint Service Agreement (FMC Agreement No. 011982); Evergreen Marine Corp. (Taiwan) Ltd., Evergreen Marine (UK) Limited; Italia Marittima SpA; Evergreen Marine (Hong Kong) Ltd.; Evergreen Marine (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.; HMM Company Limited; Maersk A/S; CMA CGM S.A.; Apex Maritime Co., Inc.; China United Transport, Inc.; COSCO SHIPPING Lines Co., Ltd.; and Wan Hai Lines Ltd. (collectively, the “Respondents”). Complainant states that the Commission has subject-matter jurisdiction over this Complaint pursuant to the Shipping Act of 1984, as amended, 46 U.S.C. 40101 *et seq.* (the “Shipping Act”). Complainant states that the Commission has personal jurisdiction over some of the Respondents as ocean common carriers, as defined in 46 U.S.C. 40102(18), that entered into a service contract, as defined in 46 U.S.C. 40102(21), with Complainant, and others as vessel-operating ocean common carriers, as defined in 46 U.S.C. 40102(18), and non-vessel-operating common carriers, as defined in 46 U.S.C. 40102(17).

Complainant is a corporation existing under the laws of Illinois with a mailing address in Northbrook, Illinois.

Complainant identifies Respondent MSC Mediterranean Shipping Company SA as a company existing under the laws of Switzerland with its principal place of business located in Geneva, Switzerland.

Complainant identifies Respondent Ocean Network Express Pte. Ltd. as a