

hierarchy to only include CRC in 6 g/g increments. Further, because Commerce revised the model match hierarchy, it did not address the CIT's concerns regarding whether Commerce sufficiently verified the alternative cost and sales data upon which it relied in its final determination or further consider the issue of potential manipulation using the model match hierarchy put forth by LGC (*i.e.*, including AUP, PERM, and CRC at 4 g/g increments).<sup>6</sup> The CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination.<sup>7</sup>

#### Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,<sup>8</sup> as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,<sup>9</sup> the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that, pursuant to sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's December 17, 2024, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Determination*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

#### Amended Final Determination

Because there is now a final court judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Determination* with respect to LGC and all other producers and exporters as follows:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
LG Chem, Ltd .....	26.05
All Others .....	26.05

#### Amended AD Order

Pursuant to section 735(c)(2) of the Act, Commerce shall "issue an antidumping duty order under section 736" of the Act when the final determination is affirmative. As a result of this amended final determination, Commerce is hereby amending the *Order* to revise the weighted-average dumping margins assigned to LGC and all-other producers and exporters of subject merchandise, as noted above.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*

<sup>7</sup> See *Ad Hoc Coalition of American SAP Producers v. United States*, Court No. 23-00010, Slip Op. 24-141 (CIT December 17, 2024).

<sup>8</sup> See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

<sup>9</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

Because LGC does not have a superseding cash deposit rate, *i.e.*, there have been no final results published in a subsequent administrative review, and because of the change to the rate assigned to all other producers and exporters of subject merchandise, Commerce will issue revised cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 27, 2024.

#### Abdelali Elouaradia,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2024-31591 Filed 1-2-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[A-588-857]

#### Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe From Japan: Final Results of the Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on welded large diameter line pipe (line pipe) from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable January 3, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Janaé Martin, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0238.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On December 6, 2001, Commerce published the AD order on line pipe from Japan.<sup>1</sup> On September 3, 2024, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the fourth sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Order: Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan*, 66 FR 63368 (December 6, 2001) (*Order*).

(the Act).<sup>2</sup> On September 18, 2024, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate in this review from American Cast Iron Pipe Company, Berg Pipe Panama City Corp./Berg Pipe Mobile Corp., Dura-Bond Industries, Stupp Corporation, and Welspun Tubular LLC, individually and as members of the American Line Pipe Producers Association (ALPPA) (collectively, domestic interested parties) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).<sup>3</sup> The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (F) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic like product in the United States, and as an association of such manufacturers.<sup>4</sup> On September 25, 2024, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that it received a notice of intent to participate from the domestic interested parties, and that the sunset review would continue.<sup>5</sup>

On October 3, 2024, we received a complete substantive response for this review from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>6</sup> We received no substantive responses from any other interested parties, nor was a hearing requested. On October 31, 2024, Commerce notified the ITC that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from any respondent interested parties.<sup>7</sup> As a result Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)((C)(2).

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this *Order* is line pipe. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 89 FR 71252 (September 3, 2024).

<sup>3</sup> See Domestic Interested Parties' Letter, "Notice of Intent to Participate," dated September 18, 2024.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on September 3, 2024," dated September 25, 2024.

<sup>6</sup> See Domestic Interested Parties' Letter, "Substantive Response to the Notice of Initiation of Sunset Review," dated October 3, 2024.

<sup>7</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on September 3, 2024," dated October 31, 2024.

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Fourth Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

## Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail if the *Order* were to be revoked, is provided in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>9</sup> A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

## Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the *Order* would likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail would be up to 30.80 percent.

## Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

## Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

Dated: December 27, 2024.

**Abdelali Elouaradia,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
  1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
  2. Magnitude of the Margin of Dumping Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024–31593 Filed 1–2–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–935]

### Certain Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Line Pipe From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of this expedited third sunset review, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain circular welded carbon-quality steel line pipe (line pipe) from the People's Republic of China (China) would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable January 3, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5193.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

On May 13, 2009, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the AD order on line pipe from China.<sup>1</sup> On September 1, 2024, Commerce

published the notice of initiation of the third sunset review of the *Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>2</sup> After Commerce initiated this sunset review of the *Order*, the American Line Pipe Producers Association Welded Line Pipe Committee<sup>3</sup> (ALPPA), a domestic interested party, timely submitted a complete notice of intent to participate in,<sup>4</sup> and an adequate substantive response regarding the sunset review.<sup>5</sup> ALPPA claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(F) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)(29)(viii) because its members are producers of the domestic like product and claimed that its members are domestic interested parties under section 771(9)(C) of the Act (a manufacturer, producer, or wholesaler in the United States of a domestic like product) and 19 CFR 351.102(b)(29)(v).<sup>6</sup> Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party, nor was a hearing requested. Consequently, on October 31, 2024, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from any respondent interested parties.<sup>7</sup> As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

## Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is line pipe from China. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>8</sup>

## Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is included as

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 89 FR 71252 (September 3, 2024).

<sup>3</sup> The members of ALPPA are the American Cast Iron Pipe Company, Axis Pipe & Tube, Dura-Bond Industries, and Welspun Tubular LLC.

<sup>4</sup> See ALPPA's Letter, "Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," dated September 17, 2024 (Intent to Participate).

<sup>5</sup> See ALPPA's Letter, "Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation," dated October 3, 2024.

<sup>6</sup> See Intent to Participate at 2.

<sup>7</sup> See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on September 3, 2024," dated October 31, 2024; see also 19 CFR 351.218(3)(1)(ii)(C)(1).

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum, "Issue and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Line Pipe from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Line Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 74 FR 22515 (May 13, 2009) (*Order*).

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*