

increased authorities permanent through this rulemaking.

### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (“RFA”), 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, requires administrative agencies to consider the effect of their actions on small businesses, small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions. Pursuant to the RFA, when an agency issues a proposed rulemaking, it must prepare and make available for public comment an initial regulatory flexibility analysis to address the impact of the rule on small entities. SBA published a notice of proposed rulemaking on October 24, 2024, with comments due on or before November 25, 2024, and received 10 supportive comments and no opposing comment. Section 605 of the RFA allows an agency to certify a rule, in lieu of preparing an analysis, if the rulemaking is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The rulemaking will have a positive impact and will be beneficial for all ALP CDCs. By making permanent the temporary increased delegated authorities available under the ALP Express Pilot program this rulemaking will improve the approval time of 504 loan applications for loans in an amount of \$500,000 or less.

Between FY 2022 (June 27, 2022) and FY 2025 (October 31, 2024) SBA approved 4,971 non-ALP Express loans of \$500,000 or less, for a total dollar amount of \$1,511,075,000. In the same period SBA approved 2,364 ALP Express and ALP Express Pilot loans for a total dollar amount of \$1,283,386,000. The total number of approved 504 loans of \$500,000 or less over this period was 7,335 loans, in the amount of \$2,794,461,000. Based on the total 504 loans of \$500,000 or less approved since ALP Express implementation, ALP CDCs have demonstrated success in processing and servicing loans using their increased ALP Express delegated authority. In addition, since ALP Express implementation, there have been no instances of ALP Express loans in default or in liquidation.

SBA estimates the burden for completing SBA Form 1244, “Application For Section 504 Loans”, including time for reviewing instructions, gathering data and documentation needed, and completing and reviewing the form, is 2.5 hours. SBA will not need to change SBA Form 1244 as a result of this rulemaking. SBA anticipates the final rule will increase the number of CDCs making loans of \$500,000 or less and increase the number of approved 504 program loans

as a whole. The ALP Express Pilot added no additional cost burdens to SBA, CDCs, or small business borrowers and there were minimal changes to SBA forms. SBA used existing staff to implement the Pilot. No further changes to SBA forms or staffing levels are anticipated to make permanent the ALP Express increased delegated authorities. Finally, the ALP Express Pilot cohort of loans had no defaults and no liquidations. SBA will continue to monitor the risk of this cohort to SBA’s 504 portfolio going forward.

With respect to the electronic Debenture change, SBA currently must appoint a Trustee to maintain physical possession of 504 Debentures for SBA and the Certificate holders. In practice, this requirement limits CDCs, and indirectly SBA borrowers, to executing only physical paper Debentures and prohibits the adoption of electronic Debentures and all their corresponding advantages and efficiencies. A revision to the requirements set forth in 13 CFR 120.953(c) will authorize SBA to maintain possession of electronic (or digital) versions of 504 Debentures, thereby streamlining the loan closing process and lowering costs for CDCs and SBA borrowers.

Based on the foregoing, the Administrator of the SBA hereby certifies that this rulemaking will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses. The SBA invited comments from the public on the certification for the proposed rule. SBA did not receive any objections to its certification.

### Congressional Review Act

This rule has been determined not to meet the criteria set forth in 5 U.S.C. 804(2). SBA will submit the rule to Congress and the Government Accountability Office consistent with the Congressional Review Act’s requirements.

### List of Subjects in 13 CFR Part 120

Administrative practice and procedure, Banks, Banking, Business and industry, Child support, Community development, Confidential business information, Credit, Disaster assistance, Employee benefit plans, Energy conservation, Environmental protection, Equal employment opportunity, Exports, Flood insurance, Flood plains, Foreign trade, Fraud, Individuals with disabilities, Lead poisoning, Loan programs—business, Loan programs—energy, Loan programs—veterans, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Small businesses, Solar energy, Trusts and trustees, Veterans.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the preamble, SBA amends 13 CFR part 120 as follows:

### PART 120—BUSINESS LOANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 120 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 15 U.S.C. 634(b)(6), (b)(7), (b)(14), (h), and note, 636(a), (h) and (m), 650, 687(f), 696(3) and (7), and 697(a) and (e); sec. 521, Pub. L. 114–113, 129 Stat. 2242; sec. 328(a), Pub. L. 116–260, 134 Stat. 1182.

■ 2. Amend § 120.802 by revising the definition of *Debenture* to read as follows:

#### § 120.802 Definitions.

\* \* \* \* \*

*Debenture* is an obligation issued by a CDC and guaranteed 100 percent by SBA, the proceeds of which are used to fund a 504 loan. SBA, in its discretion, may authorize either paper or electronic Debentures.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 3. Amend § 120.842 by revising paragraph (a) and removing paragraph (d) to read as follows:

#### § 120.842 ALP Express Loans.

(a) *Definition.* For the purposes of this section, an ALP Express Loan means a 504 loan in an amount that is not more than \$500,000 and which is underwritten, approved, closed and serviced using the authorities set forth in this section.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 4. Amend § 120.953 by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

#### § 120.953 Trustee.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Hold in trust paper Debentures composing a Debenture Pool for the benefit of SBA and the Certificate holders;

\* \* \* \* \*

Isabella Casillas Guzman,  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2024–29706 Filed 12–17–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8026–09–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Office of the Secretary

#### 15 CFR Part 3

[Docket No. 241210–0320]

RIN 0605–AA64

### Implementation of HAVANA Act of 2021

AGENCY: Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule implements the HAVANA Act of 2021 (the Act) for the Department of Commerce (Department). The Act provides the authority for the Secretary of Commerce and other agency heads to provide payments to certain individuals who have incurred qualifying injuries to the brain. The rule covers current and former Department employees and dependents of current or former employees.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective December 18, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** Public comments and materials associated with this final rule are available through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.Regulations.gov>, Docket No. DOC-2023-0001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles Cutshall, Chief Privacy Officer, at 202-482-5735 or [ccutshall@doc.gov](mailto:ccutshall@doc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

This rule implements the Helping American Victims Affected by Neurological Attacks (HAVANA) Act of 2021, Public Law 117-46, codified in 22 U.S.C. 2680b(i), which (among other things) required Department heads to prescribe regulations implementing the HAVANA Act for covered individuals. The Department published an interim final rule (IFR) on April 19, 2023 (88 FR 24110), which laid out the process for HAVANA Act claimants to submit claims for payment for a qualifying injury to the brain suffered by current and former employees of the Department, and dependents of current or former employees. Under the IFR, the criteria for a qualifying injury to the brain are based on current medical practices related to brain injuries. Further, the injury must have occurred in connection with certain hostile acts or other incidents designated by the Secretary of State or the Secretary of Commerce. Further background is contained in the preamble to the IFR. The IFR provided for 30 days of public comment, and the Department provides responses to those comments below.

**Responses to Comments**

The Department received a total of eight public comment submissions in response to the IFR. Many comments provided input on multiple subjects. The Department received identical comment submissions from four commentors. All comments are addressed below.

Several commentors focused on the Department's definition of "qualifying

injury to the brain." First, numerous commentors urged the Department to adopt a broad definition of a "qualifying injury to the brain." Under the IFR, individuals may be eligible for a HAVANA Act payment if they meet one of three criteria under the definition of "qualifying injury to the brain": (1) An acute injury to the brain such as, but not limited to, a concussion, penetrating injury, or as the consequence of an event that leads to permanent alterations in brain function as demonstrated by confirming correlative findings on imaging studies (to include computed tomography scan (CT), or magnetic resonance imaging scan (MRI)), or electroencephalogram (EEG); or (2) A medical diagnosis of a traumatic brain injury (TBI) that required active medical treatment for 12 months or more; or (3) acute onset of new persistent, disabling neurologic symptoms as demonstrated by confirming correlative findings on imaging studies (to include CT or MRI), or EEG, or physical exam, or other appropriate testing, and that required active medical treatment for 12 months or more.

The Department believes that this definition is broad and flexible enough to cover a wide range of brain injuries. The Department also notes that this definition is consistent with regulations issued by the State Department (Jan. 25, 2023, at 88 FR 4722). Therefore, this final rule does not change the IFR definition of "qualifying injury to the brain."

Multiple comments requested that the Department remove the requirement that an individual receive 12 months of active medical treatment before they are eligible for a HAVANA Act payment. Of the three criteria for a qualifying brain injury, as set forth above, only (2) and (3) require 12 months of treatment. Under (1), 12 months of treatment is not required if an individual demonstrates permanent alterations in brain function with confirming correlative findings on imaging studies. The Department believes that the requirement for 12 months of treatment, which is consistent with State Department regulations (Jan. 25, 2023, at 88 FR 4722), demonstrates that an individual suffers from a chronic condition even if that individual does not demonstrate a permanent condition. Further, even if a covered individual has not yet received 12-months or more of treatment as outlined in (2) or (3), the covered individual may nevertheless qualify at a later time if treatment lasts for twelve months or more.

A number of comments asked that the Department establish an eligibility

threshold for benefits that does not rest in whole or in part on the contemporaneous diagnosis of a brain injury. Instead, the commentors urged the Department to allow claimants to establish eligibility based on the presence of one or more of the symptoms that have come to be associated with Anomalous Head Injuries. The Department does not believe that it is appropriate to grant claims without appropriate medical documentation of a qualifying injury to the brain. The Department also notes that the standard that it uses to determine payment eligibility is consistent with the standard used by the Department of State.

One comment asked that the Department recognize a "qualifying brain injury" even when an individual is receiving ongoing treatment; or the treatment was "split up" or the individual was diagnosed years later. Nothing in the IFR prevents the payment of compensation under such circumstances, provided that the definition of a "qualifying brain injury" is otherwise met.

One comment focused on the date of the injury, expressing a belief that the Department should compensate individuals who suffered qualifying injuries prior to January 1, 2016. The Department is unable to accept this suggestion. The HAVANA Act specifies that payments are for injuries occurring on or after January 1, 2016. The Department does not have the authority to provide payments for injuries occurring prior to that date without an amendment to the HAVANA Act or additional legislative action.

In addition to the comments discussed above concerning the Department's definition of a "qualifying brain injury," multiple comments urged that the final rule incorporate some mechanism to facilitate changes to the Department's framework for determining eligibility for payment based on science or diagnostic breakthroughs. The Department declines to incorporate such a mechanism into this final rule but may conduct rulemaking in the future in accordance with existing laws and regulations, should circumstances so dictate.

One comment urged the Department to provide reasons for a denial of requests for benefits to a claimant and develop a meaningful appeals process that employees can use in the event of a denial of benefits. Under the IFR, the Department already provides claimants who have been denied a payment with the reason for the denial. Additionally, the Department believes that its current appeals process, which provides for

higher-level review of any denial, offers adequate and meaningful review of denials.

One comment, seeking to ensure greater transparency about the Department's decision-making process, raised concerns with the use of non-public information maintained by the State Department in the Department's consultation process with the State Department. Consultation with the State Department may assist the Department in determining, in part, a claimant's eligibility for benefits under the HAVANA Act. In particular, because a qualifying injury to the brain must have occurred in connection with war, insurgency, hostile act, terrorist activity, or other incidents designated by the Secretary of State or the Secretary of Commerce, consultation with the State Department may assist in determining whether an injury is connected to an incident designated by the Secretary of State. However, the State Department, not the Department of Commerce, determines whether such information is administratively controlled or made publicly available.

### Regulatory Analysis

#### *Administrative Procedure Act*

Because this rule is a matter relating to agency management or personnel or to public property, loans, grants, benefits, or contracts, it is exempt from the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553. *See* 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2). Furthermore, because this final rule does not change the regulatory provisions previously implemented by the IFR, a delay in effective date is unnecessary and therefore the Department finds good cause for this rule to take effect immediately. Furthermore, because this final rule does not change the regulatory provisions previously implemented by the IFR, a delay in effective date is unnecessary and therefore the Department finds good cause for this rule to take effect immediately. *See* 5 U.S.C. 553 (d)(3).

#### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Chief Counsel for Regulations for the Department certified that this rulemaking does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule applies only to certain individuals who are current and former Department employees and family members who are eligible for payments as a result of certain injuries. The rule provides for payments to certain individuals and is not expected to impact any small entities. As a result, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required under the Regulatory

Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*), and none has been prepared.

#### *Executive Order 12866 and Executive Order 13563*

This rule has been determined to be a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094.

The Department has reviewed the rule to ensure its consistency with the regulatory philosophy and principles set forth in Executive Order 12866 and finds that the benefits of the rule (in providing mechanisms for individuals to obtain compensation for certain injuries) outweigh any costs to the public. The Department has also considered this rulemaking in light of Executive Order 13563 and affirms that this proposed regulation is consistent with the guidance therein.

#### *Paperwork Reduction Act*

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) (PRA), the information collection associated with this final rule was approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under OMB Control Number 0690-0037. Notwithstanding any other provision of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the PRA, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

Accordingly, the Department of Commerce adopts the interim rule published April 19, 2023, at 88 FR 24110, as final without change.

Dated: December 13, 2024.

#### **Jeremy Pelter,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Chief Financial Officer and Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.*

[FR Doc. 2024-29993 Filed 12-17-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-17-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### 15 CFR Part 29

[Docket No. 241211-0323]

RIN 0605-AA57

### Promoting the Rule of Law Through Improved Agency Guidance Documents Rescission

**AGENCY:** Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule rescinds the Department's regulations on guidance document procedures in accordance with a 2021 Executive order to revoke previous Executive orders concerning Federal regulation.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective December 18, 2024.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Xenia Kler, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Legislation and Regulation, 202-482-5354, or via email [xkler1@doc.gov](mailto:xkler1@doc.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background**

Executive Order 13891, "Promoting the Rule of Law Through Improved Agency Guidance Documents," sought to ensure that when Federal agencies issue guidance documents, the agencies: do not treat those guidance documents alone as imposing binding obligations both in law and in practice, except as incorporated into a contract; take public input into account in formulating significant guidance documents; and make guidance documents readily available to the public. (84 FR 55235, Oct. 15, 2019). On September 28, 2020, the Department issued an interim final rule, "Promoting the Rule of Law Through Improved Agency Guidance Documents" to implement E.O. 13891. (85 FR 60694). The interim final rule established 15 CFR part 29 for guidance document procedures, procedures for withdrawal or modification requests from the public, and procedures for significant guidance documents.

On January 20, 2021, President Biden issued E.O. 13992, "Revocation of Certain Executive Orders Concerning Federal Regulation," revoking a number of Executive orders including E.O. 13891. (86 FR 7049, Jan. 25, 2021). E.O. 13992 directs agencies "to rescind any orders, rules, regulations, guidelines, or policies, or portions thereof, implementing or enforcing the revoked Executive orders."

After review and consideration, the Department concluded that its rule on guidance documents deprives the Department of necessary flexibility in determining when and how best to issue guidance based on particular facts and circumstances consistent with the policy directive in E.O. 13992. Therefore, the Department is issuing this final rule to rescind its regulations at 15 CFR part 29. The Department will continue to pursue ways to make its guidance documents more accessible to the public. Additionally, in accordance with M-09-13, "Guidance for Regulatory Review," the Office of Management and Budget will continue