

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Consultation with State, Local, and Tribal Governments in Regulatory Policymaking; Request for Comments; Public Listening Sessions

AGENCY: Administrative Conference of the United States (ACUS).

ACTION: Notice; request for comments; public listening sessions.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Chair of ACUS is requesting public input on Federal agency processes for consulting with State, local, and Tribal governments when agencies engage in regulatory policymaking. ACUS is accepting written comments and will hold two virtual public listening sessions. Responses to this request may inform an ongoing ACUS project, *Consultation with State, Local, and Tribal Governments in Regulatory Policymaking*, which, if warranted, may recommend best practices for agencies to use.

DATES: Written comments must be received no later than 10 a.m. (ET) January 17, 2025.

Two virtual public listening sessions will be held on Wednesday, January 8, 2025 (3 p.m.–5 p.m. ET), and Wednesday, January 15, 2025 (3 p.m.–5 p.m. ET).

ADDRESSES: You may submit written comments by email to info@acus.gov (with “Consultation with State, Local, and Tribal Governments in Regulatory Policymaking” in the subject line of the message), or by U.S. Mail addressed to Consultation with State, Local, and Tribal Governments in Regulatory Policymaking, Administrative Conference of the United States, Suite 706 South, 1120 20th Street NW, Washington, DC 20036. ACUS will ordinarily post comments on the project web page ([https://www.acus.gov/projects/consultation-state-local-and-tribal-governments-regulatory-](https://www.acus.gov/projects/consultation-state-local-and-tribal-governments-regulatory-policymaking)

policymaking) as they are received. Commenters should not include information, such as personal information or confidential business information, that they do not wish to appear on the ACUS website. For the full ACUS public comment policy, please visit <https://www.acus.gov/policy/public-comment-policy>.

In addition to receiving written comments, ACUS plans to hold two virtual public listening sessions, addressing the themes specified, on the following dates:

Listening Session 1—Wednesday, January 8, 2025 (3 p.m.–5 p.m. ET). This listening session will focus on issues pertaining to consultation with State and local governments.

Listening Session 2—Wednesday, January 15, 2025 (3 p.m.–5 p.m. ET). This listening session will focus on issues pertaining to consultation with tribal governments.

Registration is required for each virtual public listening session. To register, please send an email to info@acus.gov by January 2, 2025 (with “Consultation with State, Local, and Tribal Governments in Regulatory Policymaking” in the subject line of the message). In the email, please: (1) provide your name and organization, if any; (2) indicate which listening session(s) you would like to attend; and (3) indicate whether you would like to speak during the listening session(s). Online and dial-in information for the listening sessions will be shared with registered participants before each session.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Becaja Caldwell, Attorney Advisor, Administrative Conference of the United States, 1120 20th Street NW, Suite 706 South, Washington, DC 20036; Telephone (202) 480–2080; email bcaldwell@acus.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Administrative Conference Act, 5 U.S.C. 591–596, established the Administrative Conference of the United States. The Conference studies the efficiency, adequacy, and fairness of the administrative procedures used by Federal agencies and makes recommendations to agencies, the President, Congress, and the Judicial Conference of the United States for procedural improvements (5 U.S.C. 594(1)). For further information about

the Conference and its activities, see www.acus.gov.

Consultation With State, Local, and Tribal Governments in Regulatory Policymaking

Consultation requirements represent an important way through which Congress and presidents have defined, structured, and institutionalized the Federal Government’s relationship with State and local governments and its unique nation-to-nation relationship with Tribal governments. The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act requires agencies to develop processes by which representatives of State, local, and tribal governments can “provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant Federal intergovernmental mandates.”¹ Executive Order (E.O.) 13132, *Federalism*, requires agencies to consult with State and local government officials when “formulating and implementing policies that have federalism implications.”² E.O. 13175, *Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments*, similarly requires agencies to consult with Tribal government officials when “formulating and implementing policies with Tribal implications.”³

The President and Office of Management and Budget have periodically issued additional directives and guidance regarding consultation with State, local, and Tribal governments. Recent directives include President Biden’s Memorandum on Tribal Consultation and Strengthening Nation-to-Nation Relationships⁴ and Memorandum on Uniform Standards for Tribal Consultation.⁵

¹ 2 U.S.C. 1534(a).

² 64 FR 43255 (Aug. 4, 1999). “‘Policies that have federalism implications’ refers to regulations, legislative comments or proposed legislation, and other policy statements or actions that have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.” *Id.*

³ 65 FR 67249 (Nov. 9, 2000). “‘Policies that have tribal implications’ refers to regulations, legislative comments or proposed legislation, and other policy statements or actions that have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.” *Id.*

⁴ 86 FR 7491 (Jan. 29, 2021).

⁵ 87 FR 74479 (Nov. 30, 2022).

ACUS has also emphasized the importance of effective consultation with State, local, and Tribal officials, recommending, for example, that agencies adopt best practices for consulting with State and local governments in cases of potential preemption of State law;⁶ consider how State, local, and Tribal governments will be involved in the retrospective review of agency rules;⁷ and codify regulations describing how they obtain feedback from State, local, and Tribal governments.⁸

Agencies have adopted a variety of approaches to consulting with State, local, and Tribal governments, and there is still more that can be learned from a comprehensive study of agency consultation practices. To that end, ACUS is undertaking a project to examine when and how agencies consult with State, local, and Tribal officials on regulatory policymaking. Among other topics, the project will address agency policies and procedures for consulting with State, local, and Tribal governments; agencies' approaches to identifying and engaging with appropriate State, local, and Tribal officials; methods for utilizing feedback from State, local, and Tribal governments in agency decision making; and common issues and challenges that agencies face in developing and implementing accountable processes for consultation.

Specific Topics for Public Comment

ACUS welcomes views, information, and data on all aspects of strategies that agencies are using or might use to consult with State, local, and/or Tribal governments when they engage in regulatory policymaking. ACUS also seeks specific feedback on the following questions related to agencies' consultation efforts:

1. What has been your experience regarding consultations with a Federal agency engaging in regulatory policymaking? For example, if you are (or were) a State, local, or Tribal government official, was any portion of the process especially easy or particularly difficult? Do you have specific suggestions for increasing the effectiveness of consultations in regulatory policymaking?

⁶ Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2010–1, *Agency Procedures for Considering Preemption of State Law*, 76 FR 81 (Jan. 3, 2011).

⁷ Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2014–5, *Retrospective Review of Agency Rules*, 79 FR 75114 (Dec. 17, 2014).

⁸ Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2020–1, *Rules on Rulemakings*, 86 FR 6613 (Jan. 22, 2021).

2. If you have been involved in a consultation, how often are (or were) you contacted by Federal agencies to consult on a particular regulatory policy? How often do (or did) you contact Federal agencies to request a consultation on a given regulatory policy? What was your experience with requesting a consultation? What tasks do (or did) you undertake as part of the consultation effort? At what stage in the regulatory policymaking process were you consulted by a Federal agency? In your experience, was that consultation timed too early, appropriately, or too late?

3. If you have been involved in a consultation, how did you feel your feedback during the consultation process was used by Federal agencies? Were you satisfied with how your input was used? Why or why not? Do you recall whether the Federal agency explained to you how they incorporated your feedback into the regulatory policymaking process? Do you have specific suggestions for incorporating feedback into the regulatory policymaking process?

4. In your experience, are there certain types of information that may be useful to share during consultation but which you may not want to disclose, for example confidential or culturally sensitive information? If so, do you have any specific recommendations for how Federal Government agencies can best manage this information as it considers a regulatory policy that may have federalism or Tribal implications?

5. In your experience, how easy or difficult was it to locate the appropriate agency official with responsibility for State, local, or Tribal government matters when seeking to or engaging in consultation efforts? How, if at all, did this impact consultation efforts? Do you have any specific recommendations to facilitate communication among Federal, State, local, and Tribal government officials for consultations on regulatory policymaking?

6. If you are familiar with agency policies on State, local, or Tribal government consultation, what are your thoughts on such policies?

7. In your experience, what makes a consultation successful? What makes a consultation unsuccessful? Do you have any specific recommendations on reducing barriers to effective and meaningful consultation among and between Federal, State, local, and Tribal government officials?

8. In your opinion, what role can State, local, and government officials play to facilitate consultation, and how should Federal Government agencies encourage such actions, if at all?

Dated: December 12, 2024.

Shawne C. McGibbon,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2024–29931 Filed 12–17–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6110–01–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Notice of Public Meetings of the New Mexico Advisory Committee to the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Announcement of virtual briefing.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act that the New Mexico Advisory Committee (Committee) will hold a virtual briefing via *ZoomGov* on Wednesday, January 15, 2025, for the purpose of hearing testimony on civil rights implications of immigrant detention centers in the state.

DATES: The virtual briefing will take place on:

- PANEL II: Wednesday, January 15, 2025, from 1 p.m.–3 p.m. MT.

ADDRESSES: Zoom Webinar Link to Join: https://www.zoomgov.com/webinar/register/WN_om0TOCDWRNm1MKY6rV8doA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brooke Peery, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), at bpeery@usccr.gov or (202) 701–1376.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Committee meetings are available to the public through the registration link above. Any interested member of the public may listen to the meeting. An open comment period will be provided to allow members of the public to make a statement as time allows. Per the Federal Advisory Committee Act, public minutes of the meeting will include a list of persons who are present at the meeting. If joining via phone, callers can expect to incur regular charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, according to their wireless plan. The Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number. Closed captioning will be available for individuals who are deaf, hard of hearing, or who have certain cognitive or learning impairments. To request additional accommodation, please email Angelica Trevino, Support Specialist, at