

“comment” button next to the information collection on the “OIRA Information Collections Under Review” page, or the “View ICR—Agency Submission” page. A copy of the supporting statement for the collection of information discussed herein may be obtained by visiting <https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

In addition to the submission of comments to <https://Reginfo.gov> as indicated above, a copy of all comments submitted to OIRA may also be submitted to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the “Commission” or “CFTC”) by clicking on the “Submit Comment” box next to the descriptive entry for OMB Control No. 3038–3033, at <https://comments.cftc.gov/FederalRegister/PublicInfo.aspx>.

Or by either of the following methods:

- **Mail:** Christopher Kirkpatrick, Secretary of the Commission, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW, Washington, DC 20581.
- **Hand Delivery/Courier:** Same as Mail above.

All comments must be submitted in English, or if not, accompanied by an English translation. Comments submitted to the Commission should include only information that you wish to make available publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures established in § 145.9 of the Commission’s regulations.¹ The Commission reserves the right, but shall have no obligation, to review, pre-screen, filter, redact, refuse or remove any or all of your submission from <https://www.cftc.gov> that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as obscene language. All submissions that have been redacted or removed that contain comments on the merits of the ICR will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as required under the Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, and may be accessible under the Freedom of Information Act.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lynn Bulan, Managing Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, (202) 418–5143; email: lbulan@cftc.gov, and refer to OMB Control No. 3038–3033.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

¹ 17 CFR 145.9.

Title: Notification of Pending Legal Proceedings Pursuant to 17 CFR 1.60 (OMB Control Number 3038–0033). This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: Rule 1.60 of the Commission’s Part 1 regulations requires every designated contract market (“DCM”) and futures commission merchant (“FCM”) to submit to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“Commission”) certain specified information concerning pending legal proceedings to which the DCM or FCM is a party or to which its property is subject. Rule 37.2 of the same part makes the requirement of 1.60 applicable to swap execution facilities (“SEFs”). This renewal updates the total requested burden based on available reported data.

The Commission originally estimated that 101 entities would be affected by this rule. That number was based on the number of active registrants, including 62 FCMs, 18 DCMs, and 21 SEFs.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.² On September 13, 2024, the Commission published in the **Federal Register** notice of the proposed extension of this information collection and provided 60 days for public comment on the proposed extension, 89 FR 74930 (“60-Day Notice”). The Commission did not receive any comments on the 60-Day Notice.

Burden Statement: The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to average 0.25 hours per response, once annually. This estimate includes providing the Commission with notice and copies of specified legal documents.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 101.

Estimated Average Burden Hours per Respondent: 0.25.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 25.25.

Frequency of Collection: Once annually.

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection.

(Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

Dated: November 20, 2024.

Robert Sidman,

Deputy Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024–27549 Filed 11–22–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351–01–P

² 44 U.S.C. 3512, 5 CFR 1320.5(b)(2)(i) and 1320.8(b)(3)(vi). The OMB control numbers for the CFTC regulations were published on December 30, 1981. See 46 FR 63035 (Dec. 30, 1981).

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Members of the Performance Review Board for the Department of the Air Force

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the names of members of the 2024 Performance Review Board for the Department of the Air Force.

DATES: Applicable December 16, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Please direct any written comments or requests for information to Ms. Virginia Reynolds (Primary Point of Contact) and Ms. Jacquelyn Salkeld, Department of the Air Force Civilian Senior Executive Management Office, SAF/MRL, 1660 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330–1040, Primary POC telephone (703) 695–4555; email virginia.reynolds@us.af.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 4314(c) (1–5), the Department of the Air Force announces the appointment of members to the Air Force’s Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board. Appointments are made by the authorizing official. Each board member shall review and evaluate performance scores provided by the Senior Executive’s rater/immediate supervisor. Performance standards must be applied consistently across the Air Force. The board will make final recommendations to the authorizing official relative to the performance of the executive.

The members of the 2024 Performance Review Board for the Air Force are:

1. Honorable Alex Wagner (Chair), Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Manpower and Reserve Affairs
2. General Duke Richardson (Co-Chair), Commander, Air Force Materiel Command
3. Ms. Gwendolyn DeFilippi, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Manpower and Reserve Affairs
4. Mr. Carlos Rodgers, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Financial Management and Comptroller
5. Major General Michael Martin, Director of Operations, United States Special Operations Command
6. Mr. Edwin Oshiba, Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Air Force

7. Ms. Glenda Scheiner, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower, Personnel and Services
8. Ms. Darlene Costello, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force Acquisition, Technology & Logistics
9. Mr. Douglas Sanders, Principal Deputy General Counsel of the Air Force
10. Ms. Katharine Kelley, Deputy Chief of Space Operations for Human Capital, United States Space Force
11. Ms. Shannon McGuire (Legal Advisor), Deputy General Counsel for Fiscal Ethics and Administrative Law
12. Mr. Richard Desmond (Legal Advisor), Associate General Counsel of the Air Force

The following Tier 3 Career SES members will serve as alternates:

1. Mr. Douglas Bennett, Auditor General of the Air Force
2. Mr. William Bailey, Director and Program Executive Officer for the Air Force Rapid Capabilities Office
3. Mr. Thomas Lawhead, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff, Strategy Integration and Requirements
4. Mr. Stephen Hart, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff, Strategic Plans and Programs
5. Ms. Venice Goodwine, Chief Information Officer
6. Ms. Jennifer Miller, Director of Staff, Office of the Secretary of the Air Force

Tommy W. Lee,

Acting Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2024-27567 Filed 11-22-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3911-44-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Notice of Adoption of Categorical Exclusions Under the National Environmental Policy Act

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of adoption of categorical exclusions.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Air Force (DAF) has identified categorical exclusions (CATEXes) established by the Department of the Army (DA), Department of the Navy (DON), National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) and Agricultural Research Service (ARS) that cover categories of actions that DAF is adopting. This notice identifies the thirty-five

CATEXes established by the above listed Federal agencies and describes the categories of proposed actions for which the DAF intends to use these CATEXes. The DAF has consulted with these agencies, as described herein, and obtained concurrence on DAF use of these CATEXes.

DATES: The CATEXes identified below are available for DAF use effective immediately.

ADDRESSES: Mr. Jack Bush, DAF NEPA Policy and Execution Oversight, 703-695-1773, af.a4c.nepaworkflow@us.af.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

NEPA and CATEXes

Congress enacted the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4321-4347 (NEPA) to encourage productive and enjoyable harmony between humans and the environment, recognizing the profound impact of human activity and the critical importance of restoring and maintaining environmental quality to the overall welfare of humankind. (42 U.S.C. 4321 and 4331). NEPA seeks to ensure that agencies consider the environmental effects of their proposed major actions in their decision-making processes and inform and involve the public in that process. NEPA created the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), which promulgated NEPA implementing regulations, 40 CFR parts 1500-1508 (CEQ regulations).

To comply with NEPA, agencies determine the appropriate level of review of any major Federal action—an environmental impact statement (EIS), environmental assessment (EA), or categorical exclusion (CATEX) (40 CFR 1501.3). If a proposed action is likely to have significant environmental effects, the agency must prepare an EIS and document its decision in a record of decision (40 CFR part 1502, and § 1505.2). If the proposed action is not likely to have significant environmental effects or the effects are unknown, the agency may instead prepare an environmental assessment (EA), which involves a more concise analysis and process than an EIS (40 CFR 1501.5). Following the EA, the agency may conclude that the action will have no significant effects and document that conclusion in a finding of no significant impact (40 CFR 1501.6). If the analysis concludes that the action is likely to have significant effects, then an EIS is required.

Pursuant to NEPA and the CEQ regulations, a Federal agency can also

establish CATEXes—categories of actions that the agency has determined normally do not significantly affect the quality of the human environment—in their agency NEPA procedures (42 U.S.C. 4336e(1); 40 CFR 1501.4, 1507.3(c)(8)(ii), and 1508.1(e)).

If an agency determines that a CATEX covers a proposed action, it then evaluates the proposed action for extraordinary circumstances in which a normally excluded action may have a significant effect (40 CFR 1501.4(b)). If no extraordinary circumstances are present, the agency may apply the CATEX to the proposed action without preparing an EA or EIS (42 U.S.C. 4336(a)(2), 40 CFR 1501.4). DAF considers extraordinary circumstances pursuant to appendix B to 32 CFR part 989—Categorical Exclusions, § A2.2. *Additional Analysis* and will concurrently use the agency extraordinary circumstances for the adopted CATEXes as identified below.

If an extraordinary circumstance exists, the agency nevertheless may apply the categorical exclusion if the agency conducts an analysis and determines that the proposed action does not in fact have the potential to result in significant effects notwithstanding the extraordinary circumstance or the agency modifies the action to address the extraordinary circumstance. In such cases, the agency shall document such determination and should publish it on the agency's website or otherwise make it publicly available (40 CFR 1501.4 (b)(1)).

Section 109 of NEPA, enacted as part of the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023, allows a Federal agency to adopt another Federal agency's CATEX for proposed actions (42 U.S.C. 4336c). To use another agency's CATEXes under section 109, the adopting agency must identify the relevant CATEX listed in another agency's ("establishing agency") NEPA procedures that covers the adopting agency's category of proposed actions or related actions; consult with the establishing agency to ensure that the proposed adoption of the CATEX is appropriate for a category of actions; identify to the public the CATEX that the adopting agency plans to use for its proposed actions; and document adoption of the CATEX (42 U.S.C. 4336c).

II. DA, DON, NASA, TVA, and ARS Categorical Exclusions

DAF is adopting fifteen CATEXes established by the DA. These CATEXes are listed in DA's NEPA regulations at 32 CFR part 651, appendix B. Each of the DA CATEXes includes conditions on the scope and application of the