

identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR–SAPPHIRE–2024–31 and should be submitted on or before November 13, 2024.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵⁵

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024–24472 Filed 10–22–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–101377; File No. SR–CboeEDGX–2024–063]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Remove Volume Tier 2 of the Fee Schedule

October 17, 2024.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on October 9, 2024, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“Exchange” or “EDGX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX” or the “Exchange”) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission” or “SEC”) a proposed rule change to amend its Fee Schedule. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website (http://markets.cboe.com/us/options/regulation/rule_filings/edgx/), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fee Schedule applicable to its equities trading platform (“EDGX Equities”) by changing the required criteria applicable to Remove Volume Tier 2.³ The Exchange proposes to implement these changes effective October 1, 2024.

The Exchange first notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. More specifically, the Exchange is only one of 16 registered equities exchanges, as well as a number of alternative trading systems and other off-exchange venues that do not have similar self-regulatory responsibilities under the Act, to which market participants may direct their order flow. Based on publicly available information,⁴ no single registered equities exchange has more than 16% of the market share. Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single equities exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow. The Exchange in particular operates a “Maker-Taker” model whereby it pays rebates to members that add liquidity and assesses fees to those that remove liquidity. The Exchange’s Fee Schedule sets forth the standard rebates and rates applied per share for orders that provide and remove liquidity, respectively. Currently, for orders in securities priced

at or above \$1.00, the Exchange provides a standard rebate of \$0.00160 per share for orders that add liquidity and assesses a fee of \$0.0030 per share for orders that remove liquidity.⁵ For orders in securities priced below \$1.00, the Exchange provides a standard rebate of \$0.00003 per share for orders that add liquidity and assesses a fee of 0.30% of the total dollar value for orders that remove liquidity.⁶ Additionally, in response to the competitive environment, the Exchange also offers tiered pricing which provides Members opportunities to qualify for higher rebates or reduced fees where certain volume criteria and thresholds are met. Tiered pricing provides an incremental incentive for Members to strive for higher tier levels, which provides increasingly higher benefits or discounts for satisfying increasingly more stringent criteria.

Under footnote 1 of the Fee Schedule, the Exchange currently offers various Add/Remove Volume Tiers. In particular, the Exchange offers two Remove Volume Tiers that each assess a reduced fee for Members’ qualifying orders yielding fee codes BB,⁷ N,⁸ and W⁹ where a Member reaches certain add volume-based criteria. The Exchange now proposes to amend the criteria of Remove Volume Tier 2. Currently, the criteria for Remove Volume Tier 2 is as follows:

- Remove Volume Tier 2 provides a reduced fee of \$0.00285 per share for securities priced at or above \$1.00 to qualifying orders (*i.e.*, orders yielding fee codes BB, N, or W) and a reduced fee of 0.28% of total dollar value for securities priced below \$1.00 where: (1) Member has an ADAV¹⁰ of greater than or equal to 0.30% of the TCV;¹¹ (2) Member has a total remove ADV¹²

⁵ See EDGX Equities Fee Schedule, Standard Rates.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ Fee code BB is appended to orders that remove liquidity from EDGX (Tape B).

⁸ Fee code N is appended to orders that remove liquidity from EDGX (Tape C).

⁹ Fee code W is appended to orders that remove liquidity from EDGX (Tape A).

¹⁰ ADAV means average daily added volume calculated as the number of shares added per day. ADAV is calculated on a monthly basis.

¹¹ TCV means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities to a consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply. The Exchange excludes from its calculation of TCV volume on any day that the Exchange experiences an Exchange System Disruption, on any day with a scheduled early market close, and the Russell Reconstitution Day.

¹² ADV means average daily volume calculated as the number of shares added to, removed from, or routed by, the Exchange, or any combination or

Continued

⁵⁵ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ The Exchange initially filed the proposed fee change on October 1, 2024 (SR–CboeEDGX–2024–062). On October 9, 2024, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted this filing.

⁴ See Cboe Global Markets, U.S. Equities Market Volume Summary, Month-to-Date (September 23, 2024), available at https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/market_statistics/.

greater than or equal to 0.40% of the TCV; and (3) Member adds a Retail Pre Market Order ADV (*i.e.*, yielding fee code ZO) greater than or equal to 3 million shares.

Now, the Exchange proposes to amend the second and third prongs of the criteria in Remove Volume Tier 2 by adding alternative criteria to prong 2 and reducing the Retail Pre Market Order ADV requirement to prong 3. The proposed criteria is as follows:

- Proposed Remove Volume Tier 2 provides a reduced fee of \$0.00285 per share for securities priced at or above \$1.00 to qualifying orders (*i.e.*, orders yielding fee codes BB, N, or W) and a reduced fee of 0.28% of total dollar value for securities priced below \$1.00 where: (1) Member has an ADAV of greater than or equal to 0.30% of the TCV; (2) Member has a total remove ADV greater than or equal to 0.40% of the TCV or Member has a total remove ADV greater than or equal to 40 million shares; and (3) Member adds a Retail Pre Market Order ADV (*i.e.*, yielding fee code ZO) greater than or equal to 1.5 million shares.

The proposed amendment to Remove Volume Tier 2 is intended to slightly decrease the difficulty of achieving an existing opportunity to earn a reduced fee by providing an alternative for Members to increase their order flow to the Exchange (as provided under proposed prong 2) and reducing the volume requirement provided under proposed prong 3. Submitting increased order flow to the Exchange will further contribute to a deeper, more liquid market and provide even more execution opportunities for active market participants. Incentivizing an increase in liquidity removing volume, through reduced fee opportunities, encourages liquidity removing Members on the Exchange to increase transactions and take execution opportunities, and liquidity adding Members to contribute to a deeper, more liquid market, on the Exchange, together providing for overall enhanced price discovery and price improvement opportunities on the Exchange. As such, increased overall order flow benefits all Members by contributing towards a robust and well-balanced market ecosystem.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of

subset thereof, per day. ADV is calculated on a monthly basis.

Section 6(b) of the Act.¹³ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁴ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁵ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers as well as Section 6(b)(4)¹⁶ as it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities.

As described above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. The Exchange believes that its proposal to remove [sic] Retail [sic] Volume Tier 2 reflects a competitive pricing structure designed to incentivize market participants to direct their order flow to the Exchange, which the Exchange believes would enhance market quality to the benefit of all Members.

Specifically, the Exchange's proposed criteria for Remove Volume Tier 2 is not a significant departure from existing criteria, continues to be reasonably correlated to the reduced fee offered by the Exchange and other competing exchanges,¹⁷ and will continue to incentivize Members to submit order flow to the Exchange. Additionally, the Exchange notes that relative volume-based incentives and discounts have been widely adopted by exchanges,¹⁸

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

¹⁷ See *e.g.*, MIAx Pearl Equities Exchange Fee Schedule, Remove Volume Tier, available at *MIAx_Pearl_Equities_Fee_Schedule_12012023.pdf* (*miaxglobal.com*); MEMX Equities Fee Schedule, Liquidity Removal Tier, available at MEMX Equities Fee Schedule—MEMX Exchanges (*memxtrading.com*).

¹⁸ See *e.g.*, BZX Equities Fee Schedule, Footnote 1, Add/Remove Volume Tiers.

including the Exchange,¹⁹ and are reasonable, equitable and non-discriminatory because they are open to all Members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to (i) the value to an exchange's market quality, and (ii) associated higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns. Competing equity exchanges offer similar tiered pricing structures, including schedules of rebates and fees that apply based upon members achieving certain volume and/or growth thresholds, as well as assess similar fees or rebates for similar types of orders, to that of the Exchange.

The Exchange further believes the proposed modifications to Remove Volume Tier 2 will provide a reasonable means to encourage liquidity removing orders in Members' order flow to the Exchange and to incentivize Members to continue to provide liquidity removing volume to the Exchange by offering them an opportunity to receive a reduced fee on qualifying orders. Not only is the proposed criteria in proposed Remove Volume Tier 2 slightly less difficult than the current criteria found in that tier, the proposed criteria is not a significant departure from existing criteria, is reasonably correlated to the reduced fee offered by the Exchange, and will continue to incentivize Members to submit order flow to the Exchange. An overall increase in activity would deepen the Exchange's liquidity pool, offers additional cost savings, support the quality of price discovery, promote market transparency and improve market quality, for all investors.

The Exchange believes that the proposal represents an equitable allocation of fees and rebates and is not unfairly discriminatory because all Members will be eligible for the proposed revised tier and have the opportunity to meet the revised tier's criteria and receive the corresponding reduced fee if such criteria is met. Without having a view of activity on other markets and off-exchange venues, the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would definitely result in any Members qualifying the new proposed tiers. While the Exchange has no way of predicting with certainty how the proposed changes will impact Member activity, based on the prior months volume, the Exchange anticipates that at least one Member will be able to satisfy proposed Remove Volume Tier 2. The

¹⁹ See *e.g.*, EDGX Equities Fee Schedule, Footnote 1, Add/Remove Volume Tiers.

Exchange also notes that proposed changes will not adversely impact any Member's ability to qualify for reduced fees offered under other tiers. Should a Member not meet the proposed new criteria, the Member will merely not receive that corresponding reduced fee.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Rather, as discussed above, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes would encourage the submission of additional order flow to a public exchange, thereby promoting market depth, execution incentives and enhanced execution opportunities, as well as price discovery and transparency for all Members. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed changes further the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among orders, which promotes "more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small."

The Exchange believes the proposed rule changes do not impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Particularly, the proposed changes to the Remove Volume Tier 2 will apply to all Members equally in that all Members are eligible for the proposed revised tier, have a reasonable opportunity to meet the proposed tier's criteria and will receive the reduced fee on their qualifying orders if such criteria is met. The Exchange does not believe the proposed changes burden competition, but rather, enhances competition as they are intended to increase the competitiveness of EDGX by amending an existing pricing incentive in order to attract order flow and incentivize participants to increase their participation on the Exchange, providing for additional execution opportunities for market participants and improved price transparency. Greater overall order flow, trading opportunities, and pricing transparency benefits all market participants on the Exchange by enhancing market quality and continuing to encourage Members to send orders, thereby contributing towards a robust and well-balanced market ecosystem.

Next, the Exchange believes the proposed rule changes does not impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

As previously discussed, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. Members have numerous alternative venues that they may participate on and direct their order flow, including other equities exchanges, off-exchange venues, and alternative trading systems. Additionally, the Exchange represents a small percentage of the overall market. Based on publicly available information, no single equities exchange has more than 16% of the market share.²⁰ Therefore, no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of order flow. Indeed, participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchange and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. Moreover, the Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."²¹ The fact that this market is competitive has also long been recognized by the courts. In *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' . . . As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers' . . .".²² Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe its proposed fee change imposes any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

²⁰ *Supra* note 3.

²¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005).

²² *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782–83 (December 9, 2008) (SRNYSEArca–2006–21)).

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act²³ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b–4²⁴ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR–CboeEDGX–2024–063 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.
- All submissions should refer to file number SR–CboeEDGX–2024–063. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the

²³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f).

Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeEDGX-2024-063 and should be submitted on or before November 13, 2024.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁵

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-24471 Filed 10-22-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270-653, OMB Control No. 3235-0703]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Extension: Regulation SCI, Form SCI

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-2736.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA") (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information provided for in Regulation Systems Compliance and Integrity ("Regulation SCI") (17 CFR 242.1000-1007) and Form SCI (17 CFR 249.1900) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*). The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for extension and approval.

Regulation SCI requires certain key market participants to, among other things: (1) have comprehensive policies and procedures in place to help ensure the robustness and resiliency of their technological systems, and also that their technological systems operate in compliance with the federal securities laws and with their own rules; and (2) provide certain notices and reports to the Commission to improve Commission oversight of securities market infrastructure.

Regulation SCI advances the goals of the national market system by enhancing the capacity, integrity, resiliency, availability, and security of the automated systems of entities important to the functioning of the U.S. securities markets, as well as reinforcing the requirement that such systems operate in compliance with the Exchange Act and rules and regulations thereunder, thus strengthening the infrastructure of the U.S. securities markets and improving its resilience when technological issues arise. In this respect, Regulation SCI establishes an updated and formalized regulatory framework, thereby helping to ensure more effective Commission oversight of such systems.

Respondents consist of national securities exchanges and associations, registered clearing agencies, exempt clearing agencies, plan processors, and alternative trading systems. There are currently 48 respondents, and the Commission staff estimates that, on average, 2 new respondents may become SCI entities each year, 1 of which would be a self-regulatory organization ("SRO"). Accordingly, Commission staff estimates that over the next three years there will be an average of 50 respondents per year.

In addition, in December 2020, the Commission adopted amendments to Regulation SCI in connection with updates to the national market system for the collection, consolidation, and dissemination of information with respect to quotations for and transactions in national market system ("NMS") stocks ("Infrastructure Amendments"). Specifically, the Commission adopted a definition of "SCI competing consolidator" that will subject competing consolidators to Regulation SCI, after a transition period, if they are above a specified consolidated market data gross revenue threshold.¹ The Infrastructure Amendments increased the number of

respondents to the collections of information in Regulation SCI, and the Commission estimates that seven competing consolidators will meet this definition and be subject to the requirements of Regulation SCI.²

Rule 1001(a) requires each SCI entity to establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure that its SCI systems and, for purposes of security standards, indirect SCI systems, have levels of capacity, integrity, resiliency, availability, and security, adequate to maintain the SCI entity's operational capability and promote the maintenance of fair and orderly markets. The Commission staff estimates that the total annual initial recordkeeping burden for 7 new respondents will be 4,511 hours, and the annual ongoing recordkeeping burden for all 55 respondents will be, on average, 12,760 hours. The Commission staff estimates that the 7 new respondents would incur, on average, an annual initial internal cost of compliance of \$1,696,578, as well as outside legal or consulting costs of \$305,500. In addition, all respondents will incur, on average, an estimated ongoing annual internal cost of compliance of \$4,801,060.

Rule 1001(b) requires each SCI entity to establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure that its SCI systems operate in a manner that complies with the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder and the entity's rules and governing documents, as applicable. The Commission staff estimates that the total annual initial recordkeeping burden for 7 new respondents will be 1,755 hours, and the annual ongoing recordkeeping burden for all respondents will be, on average, 8,105 hours. The Commission staff estimates that the 7 new respondents would incur an initial internal cost of compliance of \$628,160, as well as outside legal or consulting costs of \$175,500. In addition, all respondents will incur, on average, an estimated ongoing annual internal cost of compliance of \$2,881,660.

Rule 1001(c) requires each SCI entity to establish, maintain, and enforce reasonably designed written policies and procedures that include the criteria for identifying responsible SCI personnel, the designation and documentation of responsible SCI personnel, and escalation procedures to quickly inform responsible SCI

¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-90610 (December 9, 2020), 86 FR 18596 (April 9, 2021) (File No. S7-03-20) ("Infrastructure Adopting Release").

² Some of these respondents were estimated to incur no, or only part of, the estimated initial burdens because they were already subject to Regulation SCI (*i.e.*, as plan processors, SROs or affiliates of SROs).

²⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).