

argument. This investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on October 10, 2024.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 10, 2024.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024-23912 Filed 10-16-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1399]

Certain Fiber-Optic Connectors, Adapters, Jump Cables, Patch Cords, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 34) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the two remaining respondents based on settlement. The investigation is thus terminated in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 26, 2024, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint, as supplemented, filed on behalf of US Conec, Ltd., of Hickory, North Carolina ("US Conec"). 89 FR 32459-60 (Apr. 26, 2024). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain fiber-optic connectors, adapters, jump cables, patch cords, products containing the same, and components thereof that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 11,733,466; 11,808,994; 11,906,794; 11,880,075; 11,385,415 and 10,495,823. *Id.* at 32459. The complaint also alleged that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation names as respondents Senko Advance Co., Ltd. of Yokkaichi City, Japan and Senko Advanced Components, Inc. of Hudson, Massachusetts ("the Senko Respondents"); Eaton Corp. of Dublin, Ireland; Tripp Lite Holdings, Inc. of Woodridge, Illinois; FS.com Inc. of New Castle, Delaware ("FS.com"); Infinite Electronics, Inc. of Irvine, California; L-com, Inc. of North Andover, Massachusetts; Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd. of Osaka, Japan, Sumitomo Electric Lightwave Corp. of Raleigh, North Carolina, and Sumitomo Electric U.S.A., Inc. of Torrance, California (together, "Sumitomo"); EZconn Corp. of New Taipei City, Taiwan; Flexoptix GmbH of Darmstadt, Germany; Shenzhen UnitekFiber Solution Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; Hubbell Inc. of Shelton, Connecticut; Hubbell Premise Wiring, Inc. of Shelton, Connecticut; Shenzhen IH Optics Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; Rayoptic Communication Co., Ltd., of Shenzhen, China; and HuNan Surfiber Technology Co., Ltd. of Changsha, China. *Id.* at 32460. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is participating in this investigation. *Id.*

The Commission previously terminated the investigation in part based on the entry of consent orders with respect to the following respondents: FS.com Inc., Order No. 15 (Jun. 25, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Jul. 24, 2024); Shenzhen IH Optics Co., Ltd., Order No. 16 (Jun. 26, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Jul. 24, 2024); Flexoptix GmbH, Order No. 17 (Jun. 26, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Jul. 24, 2024). The Commission also previously terminated the investigation in part based on settlement with respect to Sumitomo. Sumitomo, Order No. 21 (Jul. 15, 2024),

unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Aug. 8, 2024).

The Commission further previously terminated the investigation in part based on US Conec's withdrawal of the complaint with respect to the following respondents: Hubbell Inc., Hubbell Premise Wiring, Inc., EZconn Corp., Changzhou Co-Net Electronic Technology Co., Ltd., Shenzhen UnitekFiber Solution Ltd., Rayoptic Communication Co., Ltd., HuNan Surfiber Technology Co., Ltd., Eaton Corp., Tripp Lite Holdings, Inc., Infinite Electronics, Inc., and L-Com, LLC. Order No. 33 (Sept. 3, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Oct. 3, 2024).

On July 9, 2024, US Conec filed a motion for leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add three respondents: Protai Photonic Co., Ltd., Jarllytec Co., Ltd., and Wave2Wave Solutions Corp. d/b/a FiberSmart. The ALJ issued Order No. 27 granting the motion to allow US Conec to amend its complaint. Order No. 27 (Jul. 31, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Aug. 16, 2024). But while US Conec was granted leave to amend its complaint, US Conec never filed an amended complaint. Therefore, Protai Photonic Co., Ltd., Jarllytec Co., Ltd., and Wave2Wave Solutions Corp. d/b/a FiberSmart were not added to the investigation as respondents.

On September 23, 2024, US Conec and the Senko Respondents jointly moved to terminate the investigation with respect to the Senko Respondents based on settlement. That same day, OUII filed a response in support of the motion. No other party responded to the motion.

On July 15, 2024, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion and terminating the investigation with respect to the Senko Respondents based on settlement pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)). Because the Senko Respondents were the last remaining respondents in the investigation, the ID also terminates the investigation in its entirety. No petitions for review of the subject ID were received.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. This investigation is hereby terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on October 11, 2024.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 11, 2024.
Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2024-23968 Filed 10-16-24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-486 and 731-TA-1195-1196 (Second Review)]

Utility Scale Wind Towers From China and Vietnam

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the countervailing duty order on utility scale wind towers from China and antidumping duty orders on utility scale wind towers from China and Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on April 1, 2024 (89 FR 22445) and determined on July 5, 2024 that it would conduct expedited reviews (89 FR 73723, September 11, 2024).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on October 11, 2024. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5553 (October 2024), entitled *Utility Scale Wind Towers from China and Vietnam: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-486 and 731-TA-1195-1196 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: October 11, 2024.

Lisa Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2024-23985 Filed 10-16-24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Stipulation Under the Clean Air Act

On October 8, 2024, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Stipulation Regarding the Allowance of a General

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

Unsecured Claim of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“Stipulation”) with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware in *In re PES Administrative Services, LLC*, Case No. 19-11629 (LSS).

The proposed Stipulation resolves proofs of claim filed by the United States, on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency, against Debtor Philadelphia Energy Solutions Refining and Marketing LLC (“PESRM”) and against a related entity, Debtor North Yard Logistics, L.P. (“North Yard”), seeking payment of a civil penalty pursuant to Section 113(e) of the Clean Air Act. As to PESRM, for alleged violations of Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act in connection with a June 2019 fire and explosion at PESRM’s former refinery complex located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. As to North Yard, for alleged violations of Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act in connection with a liquified petroleum gas tank farm at the former refinery complex. The proposed Stipulation provides EPA with an allowed claim against PESRM in the amount of \$4,200,000 and against North Yard in the amount of \$26,724.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Stipulation. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *In re PES Administrative Services, LLC*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-10993/2. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Any comments submitted in writing may be filed by the United States in whole or in part on the public court docket without notice to the commenter.

During the public comment period, the proposed Stipulation may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. If you require assistance accessing the proposed Stipulation, you may request assistance by email or by mail to the

addresses provided above for submitting comments.

Ruben Gomez,
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 2024-23924 Filed 10-16-24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Employee Retirement Income Security Act Procedure 1976-1; Advisory Opinion Procedure

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL) is submitting this Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA)-sponsored information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). Public comments on the ICR are invited.

DATES: The OMB will consider all written comments that the agency receives on or before November 18, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Howell by telephone at 202-693-6782, or by email at DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1976, the Department issued ERISA Procedure 76-1, the Procedure for ERISA Advisory Opinions (ERISA Procedure), in order to establish a public process for requesting guidance from the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) on the application of ERISA to particular circumstances. The ERISA Procedure sets forth specific administrative procedures for requesting either an advisory opinion or an information letter and describes the types of questions that may be submitted.

As part of the ERISA Procedure, requesters are instructed to provide information to EBSA concerning the circumstances governing their request.