

substance order or prescription is one that is “issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice.” 21 CFR 1306.04(a). A “practitioner must establish and maintain a *bona fide* doctor-patient relationship in order to act ‘in the usual course of . . . professional practice’ and to issue a prescription for a ‘legitimate medical purpose.’” *Dewey C. Mackay, M.D.*, 75 FR at 49973. Here, Registrant admits that her prescribing of controlled substances was outside the usual course of professional practice and that her conduct reflects negative experience in prescribing controlled substances. RFAAX 2, at 1–3.

Regarding the standards for adequacy of medical records, Florida law requires that medical documentation must “contain sufficient information to identify the patient, support the diagnosis, justify the treatment and document the course and results of treatment accurately, by including, at a minimum, patient histories; examination results; test results; records of drugs prescribed, dispensed, or administered; reports of consultations and hospitalizations; and copies of records or reports or other documentation obtained from other health care practitioners. . . .” Fla. Admin. Code section 64B8–9.003(3); RFAAX 2, at 2. Florida law also requires that medical documentation contain “sufficient detail to clearly demonstrate why the course of treatment was undertaken.” *Id.* section 64B8–9.003(2); RFAAX 2, at 2. Here, Registrant admits that she issued 60 prescriptions for controlled substances to six individuals without maintaining any medical documentation whatsoever to justify the prescribing of controlled substances. RFAAX 2, at 2–5.

Prior to prescribing a controlled substance for acute pain, Florida law requires practitioners to maintain “accurate and complete” medical documentation that includes, but is not limited to, the patient’s medical history and physical examination; diagnostic results; consultations; treatment objectives; discussion of risks and benefits; treatments; medications; instructions and agreements; drug testing results; and periodic reviews. Fla. Stat. section 456.44(3); Fla. Admin. Code section 64B8–9.013(2); RFAAX 2, at 2. Here, not only does Registrant admit that she issued 60 prescriptions for controlled substances to six individuals without maintaining any medical documentation, she admits she never conducted the physical examinations she was required to

document. RFAAX 2, at 2–5. Registrant further admits that nine of these prescriptions were issued in response to text messages requesting the controlled substances. *Id.*

Based on Registrant’s admissions, the Agency finds that from August 21, 2021, to February 16, 2023, Registrant issued 60 prescriptions to six individuals outside the usual course of professional practice and in violation of Federal and State laws. 21 CFR 1306.04(a); Fla. Stat. section 456.44(3); Fla. Admin. Code sections 64B8–9.003(2)–(3), 64B8–9.013(2).

In sum, the Agency finds Registrant’s continued registration to be inconsistent with the public interest after balancing the factors of 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1). The Agency also finds that Registrant failed to provide sufficient mitigating evidence to rebut the Government’s *prima facie* case.

III. Sanction

Where, as here, the Government has established sufficient grounds to revoke Registrant’s registration, the burden shifts to the registrant to show why she can be entrusted with the responsibility carried by a registration. *Garret Howard Smith, M.D.*, 83 FR 18882, 18910 (2018). “[T]rust is necessarily a fact-dependent determination based” on individual circumstances; therefore, the Agency looks at factors such as “the acceptance of responsibility and the credibility of that acceptance as it relates to the probability of repeat violations or behavior.” *Robert Wayne Locklear, M.D.*, 86 FR 33738, 33746 (2021). To be effective, acceptance of responsibility must be unequivocal. *Mohammed Asgar, M.D.*, 83 FR 29569, 29573 (2018). When a registrant has committed acts inconsistent with the public interest, she must both accept responsibility and demonstrate that she has undertaken corrective measures. *Holiday CVS, L.L.C., d/b/a CVS/Pharmacy Nos. 219 and 5195*, 77 FR 62316, 62339 (2012) (internal quotations omitted).

Here, Registrant did not request a hearing, submit a corrective action plan, respond to the OSC/ISO, or otherwise avail herself of the opportunity to refute the Government’s case. As such, Registrant has made no representations as to her future compliance with the CSA, has not demonstrated that she can be entrusted with registration, and has not accepted responsibility for the misconduct. Accordingly, the Agency will order the revocation of Registrant’s registration.

Order

Pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C.

824(a), I hereby revoke DEA Certificate of Registration No. AP6641713 issued to Janet S. Pettyjohn, D.O. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby deny any pending applications of Janet S. Pettyjohn, D.O., to renew or modify this registration, as well as any other pending application of Janet S. Pettyjohn, D.O., for additional registration in Florida. This Order is effective November 12, 2024.

Signing Authority

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on October 2, 2024, by Administrator Anne Milgram. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

Heather Achbach,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2024–23511 Filed 10–10–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request, Sectoral Strategies and Employer Engagement Portfolio, New Collection

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, Chief Evaluation Office, Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents

is properly assessed. Currently, the Department of Labor is soliciting comments concerning the collection of data about the Sectoral Strategies and Employer Engagement Portfolio. A copy of the proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before December 10, 2024.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by either one of the following methods: *Email: ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov; Mail or Courier:* Evan Murphy, Chief Evaluation Office, OASP, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-2312, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210. *Instructions:* Please submit one copy of your comments by only one method. All submissions received must include the agency name and OMB Control Number identified above for this information collection. Comments, including any personal information provided, become a matter of public record. They will also be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Evan Murphy by email at *ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov* or by phone at (202) 693-0224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background: The Chief Evaluation Office (CEO) of the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) intends to design and conduct an evaluation to document the implementation and assess the success of the three grant programs within the Sectoral Strategies and Employer Engagement Portfolio (SSEEP): Building Pathways to Infrastructure Jobs Grant (BP), Nursing Expansion Grant (NEG), and Critical Sectors Job Quality Grant (Critical Sectors). The goal of this project is to build knowledge about sector strategies. The SSEEP evaluation includes three components: (1) an implementation evaluation to understand program implementation, partnership development, and lessons for all 34 BP and 25 NEG grantees as well as all 5 Tier 2 Critical Sectors grantees; (2) an impact and cost-benefit evaluability assessment of the Building Pathways to Infrastructure Jobs Grant (BP) and Nursing Expansion Grant (NEG) programs to examine the feasibility of conducting a rigorous evaluation with these grant programs, and; (3) a formative study to identify effective strategies for reducing barriers to employment, engaging and working in partnership with employers, and

applying the U.S. Department of Labor's Good Jobs Principles to critical sector occupations.

This **Federal Register** Notice provides the opportunity to comment on proposed data collection instruments that will be used in the implementation evaluation: a grantee survey for the BP and NEG grantees, a grantee survey for CS grantees, a partner survey, an employer survey, and semi-structured site visit discussion guides for program staff, partners, employers, and participants.

1. *Grantee Survey for BP and NEG Grantees.* We will field a survey to the 34 BP grantees and 25 NEG grantees. The grantee survey will systematically collect information on program operations and the types of activities and services provided across grantees. The grantee survey will be designed to primarily elicit closed-ended responses to help ensure comparability and completeness of responses and to allow for statistical summaries and analysis. The survey will be modular such that each topic and questions within that topic can be tailored to the specific aspects of each grant program. The grantee survey will be programmed and administered online using ConfirmIT or a similar survey program.

2. *Grantee Survey for CS grantees.* We will field a survey to the five Critical Sectors Tier 2 grantees. Similar to the survey for NEG and BP grantees, this survey will systematically collect information on program operations and the types of activities and services provided across grantees. The grantee survey will be designed to primarily elicit closed-ended responses to help ensure comparability and completeness of responses and to facilitate analysis. The survey will be modular such that each topic and questions within that topic can be tailored to the specific aspects of each grant program. The grantee survey will be programmed using ConfirmIT or a similar survey software, and will be administered by study team members over the phone with grantee representatives.

3. *Partner Survey.* We will field a survey to a subset of partners identified by grantees in response to the grantee survey and from their grant applications. The partner survey will contribute important information about the nature of partnerships between partner organizations and lead grantee organizations. The survey will be modular such that each topic and questions within that topic can be tailored to the specific aspects of each grant program. The partner survey will be programmed and administered

online using ConfirmIT and fielded to partners of BP and NEG grantees.

4. *Employer Survey.* We will field a survey to employers identified by grantees in response to the grantee survey. The employer survey will contribute important data about employer engagement strategies, employer roles in the sector strategies grant programs, and employer perceptions of the program. The survey will be modular such that each topic and questions within that topic can be tailored to the specific aspects of each grant program. The employer survey will be programmed through ConfirmIT and administered by evaluation team members over phone/webinar to help obtain complete, clear responses.

5. *Semi-structured discussion guides for program staff, partners, employers, and participants.* We will conduct site visits to approximately 17 grantees across the BP, NEG, and Critical Sectors programs. Site visits will document the program context, program organization and staffing, program components including education/training activities and support services, and other relevant aspects of sector strategies programs. During the visits, site teams will interview key grantee administrators, program staff, partners (*e.g.*, training providers, support service providers), and employers using discussion guides. During these site visits, we will also conduct semi-structured interviews with program participants. The discussion guides will also include guides for follow-up phone interviews. In the final year of the grant, we will conduct semi-structured follow-up phone calls with grantee staff to document changes that occurred after our visits, lessons and sustainability plans.

II. Desired Focus of Comments:

Currently, the Department of Labor is soliciting comments concerning the above data collection for the Sectoral Strategies and Employer Engagement Portfolio Program Evaluation. DOL is particularly interested in comments that do the following:

- evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- evaluate the accuracy of the agency's burden estimate of the proposed information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions;
- enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who

are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology—for example, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions: At this time, the Department of Labor is requesting

clearance for the grantee survey, partner survey, employer survey, and semi-structured site visit discussion guides for grantee staff, partners, employers, and participants.

Type of Review: New information collection request.

OMB Control Number: 1290–0NEW.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Comments submitted in response to this request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS

Type of instrument (form/activity)	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden time per response (hours)	Estimated burden hours
Grantee survey for BP and NEG	¹ 20	1	20	1.5	30
Grantee survey for CS	² 2	1	2	1.5	3
Partner survey	³ 98	1	98	.5	49
Employer survey	⁴ 98	1	98	.5	49
Semi-structured site visit discussion guide: grantee staff ...	⁵ 34	1	34	1.5	51
Semi-structured site visit discussion guide: partners	⁶ 17	1	17	1	17
Semi-structured site visit discussion guide: employers	⁷ 11	1	11	1	11
Semi-structured site visit discussion guide: participants	⁸ 23	1	23	1	23
Semi-structured follow-up phone interview guide	⁹ 8	1	8	1.5	12
Total	311	311	244

¹ Assumes 100% response rate from 59 grantees involved in the BP and NEG implementation study over the three-year clearance period. This number is rounded up from 19.67.

² Assumes 100% response rate from 5 grantees involved in the CS implementation study over the three-year clearance period. This number is rounded up from 1.67.

³ Assumes approximately 5 partners per 59 grantees over the three-year clearance period. This number has been rounded down from 98.33.

⁴ Assumes approximately 5 employers per 59 grantees over the three-year clearance period. This number has been rounded up from 98.33.

⁵ Assumes approximately 6 staff per site visit grantee for approximately 17 site visits over the three-year clearance period.

⁶ Assumes approximately 3 partners per site visit grantee for approximately 17 site visits over the three-year clearance period.

⁷ Assumes approximately 2 employers per site visit grantee for approximately 17 site visits over the three-year clearance period. This number has been rounded down from 11.33.

⁸ Assumes approximately 4 worker-participants per site visit grantee for approximately 17 site visits over the three-year clearance period. This number has been rounded up from 22.67.

⁹ Assumes 2 staff members per 12 grantees (six each from BP & NEG) over the three-year clearance period.

Alix Gould-Werth,

Chief Evaluation Officer, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2024–23527 Filed 10–10–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–HX–P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Federal Council on the Arts and the Humanities

Arts and Artifacts Indemnity Panel Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Federal Council on the Arts and the Humanities; National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Federal Council on the Arts and the Humanities will hold a meeting of the Arts and Artifacts International Indemnity Panel.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Thursday, November 14, 2024, from 12:00 p.m. until adjourned.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held by videoconference originating at the National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Voyatzis, Committee Management Officer, 400 7th Street SW, Room 4060, Washington, DC 20506, (202) 606–8322; evoyatzis@neh.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the meeting is for panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for Certificates of Indemnity submitted to the Federal Council on the Arts and the Humanities, for exhibitions beginning on or after January 1, 2025. Because the meeting will consider proprietary financial and commercial data provided in confidence by indemnity applicants, and material that is likely to disclose trade secrets or other privileged or confidential information, and because it is important to keep the values of objects to be indemnified and the

methods of transportation and security measures confidential, I have determined that that the meeting will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(4) of section 552b of title 5, United States Code. I have made this determination under the authority granted me by the Chairman’s Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated April 15, 2016.

Dated: October 7, 2024.

Jessica Graves,

Paralegal Specialist, National Endowment for the Humanities.

[FR Doc. 2024–23522 Filed 10–10–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536–01–P

NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: 2:00 p.m., Thursday, October 17, 2024.

PLACE: via ZOOM.