

definition in Executive Order 13211, a Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

This rule does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. We are not required to provide a detailed statement under NEPA because this rule qualifies for a categorical exclusion under the U.S. Department of the Interior Department Manual, part 516, section 13.5(B)(29).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not include requests and requirements of an individual, partnership, or corporation to obtain information and report it to a Federal agency. As this rule does not contain information collection requirements, a submission to the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) is not required.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal, which is the subject of this rule, is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was

prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the corresponding Federal regulations.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule: (a) does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million; (b) will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; and (c) does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. This determination is based on an analysis of the corresponding Federal regulations, which were determined not to constitute a major rule.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

This rule does not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or Tribal governments, or the private sector of more than \$100 million per year. The

rule does not have a significant or unique effect on State, local, or Tribal governments or the private sector. This determination is based on an analysis of the corresponding Federal regulations, which were determined not to impose an unfunded mandate. Therefore, a statement containing the information required by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) is not required.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 935

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Ben Owens,

Acting Regional Director, North Atlantic-Appalachian Region.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 30 CFR part 935 is amended as set forth below:

PART 935—OHIO

■ 1. The authority citation for part 935 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 30 U.S.C. 1201 *et seq.*

■ 2. Amend section 935.25 in the table by adding an entry in chronological order by “Date of final publication” to read as follows:

§ 935.25 Approval of Ohio abandoned mine land reclamation plan amendments.

* * * * *

Original amendment submission date	Date of final publication	Citation/description
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *
March 17, 2017	September 30, 2024	Repeal and replace Certified AML Plan to be consistent with changes to Federal program and update to reflect current agency conditions/practices, structure and organization. Revision of ORC 1513.27, 1513.28, 1513.30, 1513.31, 1513.32, 1513.37.

[FR Doc. 2024–22327 Filed 9–27–24; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–05–P

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 111

Address Correction Notices IMpb

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service is amending *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM®) in various sections to remove the hardcopy address correction notice option for all packages

bearing an Intelligent Mail® package barcode (IMpb®).

DATES: Effective: March 5, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michelle Evans at (901) 681–4474 or Garry Rodriguez at (202) 268–7281.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 6, 2024, the Postal Service published a notice of proposed rulemaking (89 FR 63850–63851) to remove the option to request PS Forms 3547, *Notice to Mailer of Correction in Address*, and PS Form 3579, *Notice of Undeliverable Periodical*, for packages with an IMpb. In response to the proposed rule, the Postal Service received one formal response containing two comments. The commenter asked if a customer does not register for Electronic Service Requested

and leaves Change Service Requested on their shipping label, how will the package be treated and then had two questions as follows:

Comment: The first comment questioned whether packages with Change Service Requested will be just disposed of?

Response: Yes, Change Service Requested will cause packages that are undeliverable as addressed (UAA) to be disposed of by USPS.

Comment: The second comment questioned if packages not having an endorsement would be treated as Forwarding Service Requested?

Response: No, the disposition of the package will be based on the printed endorsement when present, however a separate notice, when applicable, would

not be generated unless the Mailer ID (MID) in the IMpb is enrolled to generate ACS. Following is a general list of how the package would be handle based on the printed endorsement:

- Change Service Requested—If UAA, piece disposed of by USPS with no separate notice provided, unless the MID in the IMpb is enrolled to generate ACS.
- Address Service Requested—If UAA, piece forwarded or returned with no separate notice provided, unless the MID in the IMpb is enrolled to generate ACS.
- Forwarding Service Requested—If UAA, piece is either forwarded or returned.
- Return Service Requested—If UAA, piece returned to sender with new address or reason for nondelivery attached.
- No Endorsement—If UAA, default treatment is based on the mail class.

The Postal Service is requiring IMpb mailers that desire address correction information from undeliverable as addressed (UAA) mail to receive address correction notices electronically via Address Change Service (ACS). IMpb mailers will have to sign up for IMpb ACS or Traditional ACS.

The Postal Service is implementing this change effective March 5, 2025. However, mailers may begin to request ACS immediately. We believe this revision will provide customers with more efficient and less costly address correction notices.

Resources

The Postal Service provides additional resources to assist customers with this price change for competitive products. These tools include price lists, downloadable price files, and **Federal Register** Notices, which may be found on the Postal Explorer® website at <https://pe.usps.com>.

The Postal Service adopts the described changes to *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), incorporated by reference in the *Code of Federal Regulations*.

We will publish an appropriate amendment to 39 CFR part 111 to reflect these changes.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111

Administrative practice and procedure, Postal Service.

Accordingly, the Postal Service amends Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations as follows (see 39 CFR 111.1):

PART 111—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 111 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 13 U.S.C. 301–307; 18 U.S.C. 1692–1737; 39 U.S.C. 101, 401–404, 414, 416, 3001–3018, 3201–3220, 3401–3406, 3621, 3622, 3626, 3629, 3631–3633, 3641, 3681–3685, and 5001.

■ 2. Revise *Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service*, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) as follows:

Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM)

* * * * *

200 Commercial Letters, Cards, Flats, and Parcels

* * * * *

204 Barcode Standards

* * * * *

2.0 Standards for Package and Extra Service Barcodes

2.1 Intelligent Mail Package Barcode

2.1.1 Definition

* * * * *

[Revise 2.1 by adding a new 2.1.14 to read as follows:]

2.1.14 IMpb with Ancillary Services

When certain ancillary services are used to receive separate address corrections for forwarded parcels, shippers that apply an IMpb to their parcels must request ACS under 507.4.1.5.

* * * * *

500 Additional Mailing Services

* * * * *

507 Mailer Services

1.0 Treatment of Mail

* * * * *

1.5 Treatment for Ancillary Services by Class of Mail

1.5.1 First-Class Mail, USPS Ground Advantage—Retail, USPS Ground Advantage—Commercial, and Priority Mail

Undeliverable-as-addressed First-Class Mail (including postcards), USPS Ground Advantage—Retail, USPS Ground Advantage—Commercial, and Priority Mail pieces are treated under Exhibit 1.5.1 with these additional conditions:

* * * * *

[Revise the introductory text of item f to read as follows:]

f. Address Change Service (ACS) under 4.0 is available for First-Class

Mail, USPS Ground Advantage—Retail, USPS Ground Advantage—Commercial, and Priority Mail pieces with the ACS participant code for an authorized ACS participant and a valid ancillary service endorsement. Mailers participating in OneCode ACS under 4.2.6 that print an Intelligent Mail barcode on First-Class Mail automation letters may omit the participant code and endorsement. Parcel shippers must use either IMpb ACS or apply an ACS participant code to receive separate address corrections. The only endorsements permitted on First-Class Mail, USPS Ground Advantage—Retail, USPS Ground Advantage—Commercial and Priority Mail valid ACS pieces are “Address Service Requested”, “Change Service Requested” or “Electronic Service Requested” subject to the following:

* * * * *

1.5.2 Periodicals

Undeliverable-as-addressed (UAA) Periodicals publications (including publications pending Periodicals authorization) are treated as described in Exhibit 1.5.2, with these additional conditions:

[Revise item a by adding a new last sentence to read as follows:]

a. * * * Parcel shippers must use either IMpb ACS or apply an ACS participant code to receive separate address corrections.

* * * * *

1.5.3 USPS Marketing Mail

Undeliverable-as-addressed (UAA) USPS Marketing Mail pieces are treated as described in Exhibit 1.5.3, with these additional conditions:

* * * * *

[Revise the text of item f by adding a new last sentence to read as follows:]

f. * * * Parcel shippers must use either IMpb ACS or apply an ACS participant code when separate address corrections are requested.

* * * * *

1.5.4 Package Services and Parcel Select

Undeliverable-as-addressed (UAA) Package Services and Parcel Select mailpieces are treated as described in Exhibit 1.5.4, with these additional conditions:

[Revise the text of item a by adding a new last sentence to read as follows:]

a. * * * Parcel shippers must use either use IMpb ACS or apply an ACS participant code when separate address corrections are requested.

* * * * *

4.0 Address Correction Services**4.1 Address Correction Service**

* * * * *

4.1.5 Other Classes

[Revise the third sentence and add a new fourth and fifth sentence of 4.1.5 to read as follows:]

* * * Except for Full-Service and Seamless acceptance mailings, when separate corrections are necessary for First-Class Mail and USPS Marketing Mail, Form 3547 is mailed to the sender with the address correction fee charged and the mail is forwarded. When separate address corrections are necessary for forwarded parcels, shippers that apply the Impb to their parcels must request ACS and an electronic address correction notice via ACS is provided to the participant and the electronic address correction fee will be charged. There are two versions of ACS available to parcel shippers, Impb ACS or Traditional ACS.* * *

* * * * *

Christopher Doyle,

Attorney, Ethics and Legal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2024–22086 Filed 9–27–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R04–OAR–2021–0264; FRL–8980–02–R4]

Air Plan Approval; North Carolina; Mecklenburg Emission Control Standards

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision to the Mecklenburg County portion of the North Carolina SIP, hereinafter referred to as the Mecklenburg Local Implementation Plan (LIP). The revision was submitted by the State of North Carolina, through the North Carolina Division of Air Quality (NCDAQ), on behalf of Mecklenburg County Air Quality (MCAQ) via a letter dated April 24, 2020. The revision includes updates to various emission control standards contained in the Mecklenburg County Air Pollution Control Ordinance (MCAPCO) incorporated into the LIP. EPA is approving these changes pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act).

DATES: This rule is effective October 30, 2024.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA–R04–OAR–2021–0264. All documents in the docket are listed on the *regulations.gov* website. Although listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through *www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Josue Ortiz Borrero, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–8085. Mr. Ortiz Borrero can also be reached via electronic mail at *ortizborrero.josue@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Background**

The original Mecklenburg County LIP was submitted to EPA on June 14, 1990, and EPA approved the plan on May 2, 1991. *See* 56 FR 20140. Mecklenburg County prepared three submittals to modify the LIP for, among other things, general consistency with the North Carolina SIP.¹ The three submittals were submitted as follows: NCDAQ transmitted the October 25, 2017, submittal to EPA but later withdrew it from review through a letter dated February 15, 2019. On April 24, 2020, NCDAQ resubmitted the October 25, 2017, update to EPA and also submitted the January 21, 2016, and January 14,

¹ The Mecklenburg County, North Carolina revision that is dated April 24, 2020, and received by EPA on June 19, 2020, is comprised of three previous submittals—one dated January 21, 2016; one dated October 25, 2017; and one dated January 14, 2019.

2019, updates. Due to an inconsistency with public notice at the local level, these submittals were withdrawn from EPA through a letter dated February 15, 2019. Mecklenburg County corrected this error, and NCDAQ submitted the updates to EPA in a submittal dated April 24, 2020.²

The April 24, 2020, submittal includes changes and updates to the following rules to align them more closely with their analogous SIP-approved North Carolina regulations: MCAPCO Rules 2.0502, *Purpose*; 2.0507, *Particulates from Chemical Fertilizer Manufacturing Plants*; 2.0508, *Particulates from Pulp and Paper Mills*; 2.0513, *Particulates from Portland Cement Plants*; 2.0514, *Particulates from Ferrous Jobbing Foundries*; 2.0515, *Particulates from Miscellaneous Industrial Processes*; and 2.0533, *Stack Height*. EPA is approving the incorporation of these rules into the Mecklenburg LIP.³

In a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published on July 25, 2024 (89 FR 60339), EPA proposed to approve the April 24, 2020, SIP revision. The details of North Carolina's submittal and the rationale for EPA's action are explained further in the July 25, 2024, NPRM. Comments on the NPRM were due on or before August 26, 2024. EPA received three sets of comments on the July 25, 2024, NPRM. One set of comments is not relevant to this action and two sets of comments are substantively identical. The two substantively identical comment sets are addressed below. All three comment sets are available in the docket for this action.

II. Response to Comments

EPA received two substantively identical sets of comments on the July 25, 2024, NPRM from Clean Future (Commenter). EPA has summarized and responded to the comments below.

Comments: The Commenter generally supports EPA's action and states that this SIP revision is “an important step toward improving air quality management in the region.” The Commenter provides generalized suggestions regarding the LIP and implementation of the LIP, including a request for a requirement that “actively gets updates and statements of

² EPA notes that the April 24, 2020, submission was received by EPA on June 19, 2020. For clarity, throughout this document EPA will refer to the June 19, 2020, submission by its cover letter date of April 24, 2020.

³ EPA has previously taken action on portions of the April 24, 2020, submittal. The April 24, 2020, submittal contains changes to other Mecklenburg LIP-approved rules that are not addressed in this document. EPA will be acting on those rules in separate actions.