

capacity of up to 2,428 megawatts (assuming a 4-MW/km² wind turbine density). Nominations that considerably exceed the acreage needed to support a generation capacity of up to 2,428 megawatts, such as a nomination for the entire Call Area, may be deemed unreasonable and not accepted by BOEM.

(b) A rationale describing why you selected the nominated areas. The more detailed the rationale provided, the more informative it will be to BOEM's process. BOEM is particularly interested in how factors like wind speed, water depth, seafloor slope and bottom type, and interconnection points factor into the nomination process.

(c) A description of your objectives and the facilities that you would use to achieve those objectives.

(d) A preliminary schedule of proposed activities, including those leading to commercial operations.

(e) Available and pertinent data and information concerning renewable energy resources and environmental conditions in each area that you wish to lease, including energy and resource data, and other information used to evaluate the area.

(f) Documentation demonstrating that you are legally, technically, and financially qualified to hold an OCS wind energy lease, as set forth in 30 CFR 585.107—585.108. Qualification materials should be developed in accordance with the guidelines available at <https://www.boem.gov/Renewable-Energy-Qualification-Guidelines>. For examples of documentation appropriate for demonstrating your legal qualifications and related guidance, contact Gina Best, BOEM Office of Renewable Energy Programs, at Gina.Best@boem.gov or 703-787-1341.

10. Protection of Privileged, Personal, or Confidential Information

a. Freedom of Information Act

BOEM will protect privileged or confidential information you submit when required by the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Exemption 4 of FOIA applies to trade secrets and commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential. If you wish to protect the confidentiality of such information, clearly label it and request that BOEM treat it as confidential. BOEM will not disclose such information if BOEM determines under 30 CFR 585.114(b) that it qualifies for exemption from disclosure under FOIA. Please label privileged or confidential information "Contains Confidential Information" and consider

submitting such information as a separate attachment.

BOEM will not treat as confidential any aggregate summaries of such information or comments not containing such privileged or confidential information. Information that is not labeled as privileged or confidential may be regarded by BOEM as suitable for public release.

b. Personally Identifiable Information

BOEM encourages you not to submit anonymous comments. Please include your name and address as part of your comment. You should be aware that your entire comment, including your name, address, and any personally identifiable information (PII) included in your comment, may be made publicly available. All submissions from identified individuals, businesses, and organizations will be available for public viewing on [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). Note that BOEM will make available for public inspection all comments, in their entirety, submitted by organizations and businesses, or by individuals identifying themselves as representatives of organizations or businesses.

For BOEM to consider withholding your PII from disclosure, you must identify any information contained in your comments that, if released, would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of your personal privacy. You must also briefly describe any possible harmful consequences of the disclosure of information, such as embarrassment, injury, or other harm. Even if BOEM withholds your information in the context of this Call, your submission is subject to FOIA and, if your submission is requested under the FOIA, your information will be withheld only if a determination is made that one of the FOIA's exemptions to disclosure applies. Such a determination will be made in accordance with the Department's FOIA regulations and applicable law.

c. Section 304 of the NHPA (54 U.S.C. 307103(a))

After consultation with the Secretary, BOEM is required to withhold the location, character, or ownership of historic resources if it determines that disclosure may, among other things, risk harm to the historic resources or impede the use of a traditional religious site by practitioners. Tribal entities should designate information that falls under section 304 of the NHPA as confidential.

11. BOEM's Environmental Review Process

Before deciding whether leases may be issued, BOEM will prepare an

environmental assessment (EA) under NEPA (including public comment periods to determine the scope of the EA and to review and comment on the draft EA). The EA will analyze anticipated impacts from leasing within the WEAs and site characterization and assessment activities expected to occur after a lease is issued. Site characterization activities include geophysical, geotechnical, archaeological, and biological surveys, and site assessment activities including the installation and operation of meteorological buoys. BOEM will also conduct appropriate consultations with Federal agencies and Tribal, State, and local governments during preparation of the EA. These consultations include, but are not limited to, those required by the Coastal Zone Management Act, the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, the Endangered Species Act, section 106 of the NHPA, and Executive Order 13175, "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments."

Before BOEM allows any construction of a wind energy project in the Call Area, BOEM must approve a construction and operations plan (COP) submitted by a Lessee. Prior to the approval of a COP, BOEM will need to consider the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of any wind energy facility under a separate, project-specific NEPA analysis. This analysis will include additional opportunities for public involvement and may result in the publication of an environmental impact statement.

Walter Cruickshank,

Deputy Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2024-18841 Filed 8-21-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4340-98-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation. No. 337-TA-1413]

Certain Wireless Front-End Modules and Devices Containing the Same; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on July 17, 2024, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Skyworks Solutions, Inc. of Irvine, California; Skyworks Solutions Canada,

Inc. of Canada; and Skyworks Global Pte. Ltd. of Singapore. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on August 5, 2024. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless front-end modules and devices containing the same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,717,101 (“the ‘101 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 9,917,563 (“the ‘563 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,409,200 (“the ‘200 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 9,450,579 (“the ‘579 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 9,148,194 (“the ‘194 patent”). The complaint, as supplemented, further alleges that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a general exclusion order, or in the alternative a limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, as supplemented, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on August 16, 2024, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products

identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 17, 18, and 20–22 of the ‘101 patent; claims 14, 15, 17, and 20 of the ‘563 patent; claims 1, 2, 6, 10–12, 15, 18–20, and 23–25 of the ‘200 patent; claims 1 and 7 of the ‘579 patent; and claim 4 of the ‘194 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “wireless front-end modules and wireless routers”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:
Skyworks Solutions, Inc., 5260 California Avenue, Irvine, CA 92617
Skyworks Solutions Canada, Inc., 1135 Innovation Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2K 3G7, Canada
Skyworks Global Pte. Ltd., 3 Bedok South Rd., Singapore 469269

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Kangxi Communication Technologies (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., 5th Floor, Building 10, No. 399 Keyuan Road, Pudong New Area, Shanghai, China
Grand Chip Labs, Inc., 14151 Newport Ave., Suite 204, Tustin, CA 92780
D-Link Corporation, 4F 289 Sinhu 3rd Road, Neihu District, Taipei, 114 Taiwan
D-Link Systems Inc., 14420 Myford Road, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92606
Ruijie Networks Co., Ltd., Building 19, Juyuanzhou Industrial Park, No. 618, Jinshan Road, Cangshan District, Fuzhou, Fujian, China

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint, as supplemented, and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e)

and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainants of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2024).

Issued: August 16, 2024.

Susan Orndoff,

Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2024–18817 Filed 8–21–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1399]

Certain Fiber-Optic Connectors, Adapters, Jump Cables, Patch Cords, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Leave To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 27) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.