

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

[Notice: 24–050]

**Notice of Intent To Grant an Exclusive, Co-Exclusive or Partially Exclusive Patent License****AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).**ACTION:** Notice of intent to grant exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive patent license.**SUMMARY:** NASA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant an exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive patent license to practice the inventions described and claimed in the patents and/or patent applications listed in **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** below.**DATES:** The prospective exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive license may be granted unless NASA receives written objections including evidence and argument, no later than August 28, 2024 that establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements regarding the licensing of federally owned inventions as set forth in the Bayh-Dole Act and implementing regulations. Competing applications completed and received by NASA no later than August 28, 2024 will also be treated as objections to the grant of the contemplated exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive license. Objections submitted in response to this notice will not be made available to the public for inspection and, to the extent permitted by law, will not be released under the Freedom of Information Act.**Objections and Further Information:** Written objections relating to the prospective license or requests for further information may be submitted to Agency Counsel for Intellectual Property, NASA Headquarters at Email: [hq-patentoffice@mail.nasa.gov](mailto:hq-patentoffice@mail.nasa.gov). Questions may be directed to Phone: (202) 358–0646.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NASA intends to grant an exclusive, co-exclusive, or partially exclusive patent license in the United States to practice the inventions described and claimed in: U.S. Patent No. 10,406,346 titled “DEVICE AND METHOD FOR HEALING WOUNDS” and U.S. Patent No. 11,298,526 titled “DEVICE FOR HEALING WOUNDS” to Kinnor Foundation, Inc. and its subsidiary Kinnor Technologies, LLC, each having its principal place of business in Orange Beach, Alabama. The fields of use may be limited. NASA has not yet made a final determination to grant the requested license and may deny the

requested license even if no objections are submitted within the comment period.

This notice of intent to grant an exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive patent license is issued in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(e) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i). The patent rights in these inventions have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The prospective license will comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

Information about other NASA inventions available for licensing can be found online at <http://nasa.technology.gov>.**Trenton J. Roche,***Agency Counsel for Intellectual Property, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2024–17960 Filed 8–12–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510–13–P****POSTAL SERVICE****International Product Change—Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Agreement****AGENCY:** Postal Service™.**ACTION:** Notice.**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Competitive Product List in the Mail Classification Schedule.**DATES:** *Date of notice:* August 13, 2024.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher C. Meyerson, (202) 268–7820.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on August 7, 2024, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Contract 43 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket Nos. MC2024–482 and CP2024–489.**Christopher Doyle,***Attorney, Ethics and Legal Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2024–17943 Filed 8–12–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–12–P****SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34–100669; File No. SR-CboeBZX–2024–074]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Fees Schedule**

August 7, 2024.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on August 1, 2024, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BZX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.**I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BZX”) proposes to amend its Fees Schedule. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website ([http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule\\_filings/BZX/](http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/BZX/)), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.**II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

*A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

*1. Purpose*

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule, effective August 1, 2024.

The Exchange first notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. More specifically, the Exchange is only one of 17 options venues to which market participants may direct their order flow. Based on publicly available information, no single options exchange has more than 12% of the market share.<sup>3</sup> Thus, in such a low-concentrated and highly competitive market, no single options exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of option order flow. The Exchange believes that the ever-shifting market share among the exchanges from month to month demonstrates that market participants can shift order flow or discontinue to reduce use of certain categories of products in response to fee changes. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain the Exchange's transaction fees, and market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable. In response to competitive pricing, the Exchange, like other options exchanges, offers rebates and assesses fees for certain order types executed on or routed through the Exchange.

The Exchange's fee schedule sets forth standard rebates and rates applied per contract. For example, the Exchange provides a rebate of \$0.29 per contract for Market Maker orders that add liquidity in Penny Securities, yielding fee code PM. Additionally, in response to the competitive environment, the Exchange also offers tiered pricing, which provides Members opportunities to qualify for higher rebates or reduced fees where certain volume criteria and thresholds are met. Tiered pricing provides an incremental incentive for Members to strive for higher tier levels, which provides increasingly higher benefits or discounts for satisfying increasingly more stringent criteria. For example, the Exchange currently offers four Market Maker Penny Add Volume Tiers ("MM Penny Add Tier") under footnote 6 of the Fee Schedule which

<sup>3</sup> See Cboe Global Markets U.S. Options Monthly Market Volume Summary (July 30, 2024), available at [https://markets.cboe.com/us/options/market\\_statistics/](https://markets.cboe.com/us/options/market_statistics/).

provide rebates between \$0.31 and \$0.43 per contract for qualifying Market Maker orders which meet certain add liquidity thresholds and yield fee code PM.

Currently, the MM Penny Add Tiers includes one Market Maker Cross-Asset Add Tier, which requires participation on the Exchange's equities platform ("BZX Equities"). Under the Market Maker Cross-Asset Add Tier, the Exchange provides a rebate of \$0.39 per contract where a Member (1) has an ADAV<sup>4</sup> in Market Maker orders in SPY, QQQ  $\geq 0.20\%$  of average SPY, QQQ OCV<sup>5</sup>; (2) has on BZX Equities an ADAV greater than or equal to 0.45% of average TCV<sup>6</sup> or an ADAV  $\geq 45,000,000,000$ ; and (3) is the Lead Market Maker ("LMM")<sup>7</sup> on BZX Equities in at least 50 equity symbols. The Exchange proposes to amend the rebate for the Market Maker Cross-Asset Add Tier,<sup>8</sup> from \$0.39 per contract to \$0.38 per contract.

Further, the Exchange proposes to adopt a new MM Penny Add Tier, specifically Market Maker Cross-Asset Add Tier 2, which requires participation on BZX Equities. Under the proposed tier, the Exchange would provide a rebate of \$0.39 per contract where a Member (1) has an ADAV in Market Maker orders in SPY, QQQ  $\geq 0.25\%$  of average SPY, QQQ OCV; (2) has on BZX Equities an ADAV  $\geq 0.45\%$  of average TCV or an ADAV  $\geq 47,500,000$ ; and (3) is the LMM on BZX Equities in at least 50 equity symbols.

The Exchange believes the amended rebate for Market Maker Cross-Asset Tier 1 and the proposed Market Maker Cross-Asset Tier 2, along with the existing MM Penny Add Tiers, continue to provide an incremental incentive for Members to strive for the highest tier levels, which provide increasingly higher rebates for such transactions. Overall, the MM Penny Add Tiers,

<sup>4</sup> "ADAV" means average daily added volume calculated as the number of contracts added.

<sup>5</sup> "OCV" means the total equity and ETF options volume that clears in the Customer range at the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") for the month for which the fees apply, excluding volume on any day that the Exchange experiences an Exchange System Disruption and on any day with a scheduled early market close.

<sup>6</sup> "TCV" means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges and trade reporting facilities to a consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply.

<sup>7</sup> "Lead Market Maker" means a Market Maker registered with the Exchange for a particular LMM Security that has committed to maintain Minimum Performance Standards in the LMM Security. See Rule 11.8(e).

<sup>8</sup> As part of this proposed rule change, the Exchange proposes to rename this Market Maker Cross-Asset Tier as Market Maker Cross-Asset Tier 1.

including the Market Maker Cross-Asset Add Tiers (current and proposed) are designed to encourage Members to increase their order flow, thereby contributing to a deeper and more liquid market, which benefits all market participants and provides greater execution opportunities on the Exchange.

Additionally, the Exchange assesses fees in connection with orders routed away to various exchanges. The Exchange notes that its current approach to routing fees is to set forth in a simple manner certain sub-categories of fees that approximate the cost of routing to other options exchanges based on the cost of transaction fees assessed by each venue as well as costs to the Exchange for routing (*i.e.*, clearing fees, connectivity and other infrastructure costs, membership fees, etc.) (collectively, "Routing Costs"). The Exchange then monitors the fees charged as compared to the costs of its routing services and adjusts its routing fees and/or sub-categories to ensure that the Exchange's fees do indeed result in a rough approximation of overall Routing Costs, and are not significantly higher or lower in any area. The Exchange notes that another options exchange currently assesses routing fees in a similar manner as the Exchange's current approach to assessing approximate routing fees.<sup>9</sup>

Currently, under the Fee Codes and Associated Fees section of the Fees Schedule, fee code RP is appended to routed Customer orders to NYSE American ("AMEX"), BOX Options Exchange ("BOX"), Cboe Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe"), Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX"), MIAX Options Exchange ("MIAX") or Nasdaq PHLX LLC ("PHLX") (excluding orders in SPY options to PHLX) and assesses a charge of \$0.25 per contract. The Exchange proposes to amend fee code RP to add applicable Customer orders routed to MIAX Sapphire, LLC ("SPHR"), in anticipation of the launch of the new options exchange. The charge assessed per contract for fee code RP remain the same under the proposed rule change.

The proposed changes result in an assessment of fees that, in anticipation of the launch of another options exchange, is more in line with the Exchange's current approach to routing fees, that is, in a manner that approximates the cost of routing Customer orders to other away options exchanges, based on the general cost of transaction fees assessed by the sub-

<sup>9</sup> See *e.g.*, MIAX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, Section 1(c), "Fees for Customer Orders Routed to Another Options Exchange."

category of away options exchanges for such orders (as well as the Exchange's Routing Costs).<sup>10</sup> The Exchange notes that routing through the Exchange is optional and that Members will continue to be able to choose where to route applicable Customer orders.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.<sup>11</sup> Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)<sup>12</sup> requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)<sup>13</sup> requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,<sup>14</sup> which requires that Exchange rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities.

In particular, the Exchange believes the proposed changes to the MM Penny Add Tiers are reasonable because they provide additional opportunities for Members to receive a rebate by providing alternative criteria for which they can reach. The Exchange notes that volume-based incentives and discounts have been widely adopted by

exchanges,<sup>15</sup> including the Exchange,<sup>16</sup> and are reasonable, equitable and non-discriminatory because they are open to all Members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to (i) the value to an exchange's market quality and (ii) associated higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns. Additionally, as noted above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Exchange is only one of several options venues to which market participants may direct their order flow, and it represents a small percentage of the overall market. Competing options exchanges offer similar tiered pricing structures to that of the Exchange, including schedules of rebates and fees that apply based upon Members achieving certain volume and/or growth thresholds. These competing pricing schedules, moreover, are presently comparable to those that the Exchange provides.

Moreover, the Exchange believes the proposed MM Penny Add Tier, namely Market Maker Cross-Asset Tier 2, is a reasonable means to encourage Members to increase their liquidity on the Exchange and also their participation on BZX Equities. The Exchange believes that adopting tiers with alternative criteria to the existing MM Penny Add Tiers may encourage Members to increase their order flow on BZX Options and Equities.

For example, the proposed Market-Maker Cross-Asset Tier 2 would provide an opportunity for Members who have an ADAV in Market Maker orders in SPY, QQQ of at least 0.25% of average SPY, QQQ OCV, but less than an ADAV of Market Maker orders of at least 0.45% of average OCV (the requirement under current Tier 3), to receive a higher rebate than they may currently receive but equal or slightly lower than the rebate they would receive for reaching the more stringent criteria under current Tiers 3 through 4, if they also meet the threshold requirements based on BZX Equities participation. Similarly, for Market Makers that participate on both BZX Options and Equities, and do not currently meet the 0.35% ADAV threshold under current MM Penny Add Tier 2, but can or do meet the proposed

equities thresholds, the proposed tier may incentivize those participants to grow their options volume in order to receive enhanced rebates. Increased liquidity benefits all investors by deepening the Exchange's liquidity pool, offering additional flexibility for all investors to enjoy cost savings, supporting the quality of price discovery, promoting market transparency and improving investor protection. The Exchange also believes that proposed enhanced rebate is reasonable based on the difficulty of satisfying the tier's criteria and ensures the proposed rebate and thresholds appropriately reflect the incremental difficulty to achieve the existing MM Penny Add Tiers.

The proposed enhanced rebate amounts also do not represent a significant departure from the enhanced rebates currently offered under the Exchange's existing MM Penny Add Tiers. Indeed, the proposed enhanced rebate amount under the proposed Cross-Asset Add Tier 2 (\$0.39) is incrementally higher than current Tiers 1 and 2 (\$0.31 and \$0.38, respectively), which the Exchange believes offer slightly less stringent criteria than the proposed Cross-Asset Add Tier 2, but is incrementally lower than the rebate offered under existing Tier 4 (\$0.43), which the Exchange believes is more stringent than the proposed criteria under the proposed Cross-Asset Tier 2. Similarly, the proposed enhanced rebate amount under the proposed Cross-Asset Tier 2 (\$0.39) is the same as current Tier 3 (\$0.39), which the Exchange believes reflects a similar level of difficulty but using alternative types of criteria. Finally, the proposed enhanced rebate amount under the proposed Cross-Asset Tier 2 (\$0.39) is incrementally higher than the rebate offered under existing Cross-Asset Add Tier 1, which the Exchange believes is less stringent than the proposed criteria than the proposed Cross-Asset Add Tier 2. The Exchange also notes that the proposed rebates remain within the range of the enhanced rebates offered under the current MM Penny Add Tiers (*i.e.*, \$0.31–\$0.43).

Further, the Exchange believes that the amended fee for Market Maker Cross-Asset Tier 1, considered with the proposed criteria and fee for proposed Market Maker Cross-Asset Tier 2, is reasonable, as such changes are designed to encourage Members to increase their liquidity on the Exchange and also their participation on BZX Equities to continue to achieve the rebate offered under Market Maker Cross-Asset Tier 1 or to achieve the rebate offered under proposed Market Maker Cross-Asset Tier 2. The Exchange

<sup>10</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 97800 (June 26, 2023), 88 FR 42409 (June 30, 2023) (SR-MRX-2023-11).

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>12</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>13</sup> *Id.*

<sup>14</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

<sup>15</sup> See *e.g.*, Choe EDGX U.S. Options Exchange Fee Schedule, Footnote 2, Market Maker Volume Tiers, which provide reduced fees between \$0.02 and \$0.17 per contract for Market Maker Penny and Non-Penny orders where Members meet certain volume thresholds.

<sup>16</sup> See *e.g.*, Choe BZX U.S. Options Exchange Fee Schedule, Footnotes 6 and 7, Market Maker Penny and Non-Penny Volume Tiers which provide enhanced rebates for Market Maker orders where Members meet certain volume thresholds.

notes that increased Market Maker activity (including LMMs), particularly, facilitates tighter spreads and an increase in overall liquidity provider activity, both of which signal additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants, contributing towards a robust, well-balanced market ecosystem. Indeed, increased overall order flow benefits investors across both the Exchange's options and equities platforms by continuing to deepen the Exchange's liquidity pool, potentially providing even greater execution incentives and opportunities, offering additional flexibility for all investors to enjoy cost savings, supporting the quality of price discovery, promoting market transparency and improving investor protection.

The Exchange believes that the proposal represents an equitable allocation of fees and is not unfairly discriminatory because it applies uniformly to all Market Makers. Additionally, a number of Market Makers have a reasonable opportunity to satisfy the criteria of the Cross-Asset Add Tier 1, which the Exchange believes is less stringent than existing MM Penny Add Tier 2, and proposed Cross-Asset Add Tier 2, which the Exchange believes is less stringent than the existing MM Penny Add Tiers 3 and 4. While the Exchange has no way of knowing whether this proposed rule change would definitively result in any particular Market Maker qualifying for the proposed tiers, the Exchange anticipates that approximately two Market Makers will be able to compete for and achieve the criteria of Cross-Asset Add Tier 1 and approximately two Market Makers will be able to compete for and achieve the proposed criteria of the proposed Cross-Asset Add Tier 2; however, the proposed tiers are open to any Market Maker that satisfies the applicable tiers' criteria. The Exchange believes the proposed tiers could provide an incentive for other Members to submit additional liquidity on BZX Options and Equities to qualify for the proposed enhanced rebates. To the extent a Member participates on the Exchange but not on BZX Equities, the Exchange does believe that the proposal is still reasonable, equitably allocated and non-discriminatory with respect to such Member based on the overall benefit to the Exchange resulting from the success of BZX Equities. Particularly, the Exchange believes such success allows the Exchange to continue to provide and potentially expand its existing incentive programs to the benefit of all participants on the

Exchange, whether they participate on BZX Equities or not. The proposed pricing program is also fair and equitable in that membership in BZX Equities is available to all market participants, which would provide them with access to the benefits on BZX Equities provided by the proposed change, even where a member of BZX Equities is not necessarily eligible for the proposed enhanced rebates on the Exchange.

The Exchange also notes that it does not believe the proposed changes will adversely impact any Member's pricing or ability to qualify for other tiers. Rather, should a Member not meet the proposed criteria, the Member will merely not receive the proposed enhanced rebate, and has five alternative choices to aim to achieve under the MM Penny Add Tiers. Furthermore, the proposed enhanced rebate would apply to all Members that meet the required criteria under proposed tier.

Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change to amend fee code RD to account for SPHR's expected assessment of fees for Customer orders is reasonable because it is reasonably designed to assess routing fees in line with the Exchange's current approach to routing fees. That is, the proposed rule change is intended to include Customer orders routed to SPHR in the most appropriate sub-category of fees that approximates the cost of routing to a group of away options exchanges based on the cost of transaction fees assessed by each venue as well as Routing Costs to the Exchange. As noted above, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. The Exchange notes that routing through the Exchange is optional and that Members will continue to be able to choose where to route their Customer orders in the same sub-category group of away exchanges as they currently may choose to route. The proposed rule change reflects a competitive pricing structure designed to incentivize market participants to direct their order flow to the Exchange, which the Exchange believes would enhance market quality to the benefit of all Members. The Exchange further notes that another options exchange currently approximates routing fees in a similar manner as the Exchange's

current approach.<sup>17</sup> The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all Members' applicable Customer orders routed to SPHR will be automatically and uniformly assessed the applicable routing charge.

#### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe the proposed changes to the MM Penny Add Tiers will impose any burden on intramarket competition. Particularly, the proposed change applies uniformly to all Market Makers. As discussed above, to the extent a Member participates on the Exchange but not on BZX Equities, the Exchange notes that the proposed changes can provide an overall benefit to the Exchange resulting from the success of BZX Equities. Such success enables the Exchange to continue to provide and potentially expand its existing incentive programs to the benefit of all participants on the Exchange, whether they participate on BZX Equities or not. The proposed pricing program is also fair and equitable in that membership in BZX Equities is available to all market participants. Additionally, the proposed change is designed to attract additional order flow to the Exchange and BZX Equities. Greater liquidity benefits all market participants on the Exchange by providing more trading opportunities and encourages Members to send orders, thereby contributing to robust levels of liquidity, which benefits all market participant. As a result, the Exchange believes that the proposed change furthers the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among orders, which promotes "more efficient pricing of individual stocks for all types of orders, large and small."<sup>18</sup>

Further, the Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change to amend fee code RP will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. All Members' applicable Customer orders routed to SPHR will automatically yield fee code RP and

<sup>17</sup> See e.g., MIAX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, Section 1(c), "Fees for Customer Orders Routed to Another Options Exchange."

<sup>18</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808, 70 FR 37495, 37498-99 (June 29, 2005) (S7-10-04) (Final Rule).

uniformly be assessed the corresponding fee. The Exchange notes that another options exchange approximates routing costs in a similar manner as the Exchange's current approach.<sup>19</sup>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. As previously discussed, the Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. Members have numerous alternative venues that they may participate on and direct their order flow, including 16 other options exchanges and off-exchange venues. Additionally, the Exchange represents a small percentage of the overall market. Based on publicly available information, no single options exchange has more than 12% of the market share.<sup>20</sup> Therefore, no exchange possesses significant pricing power in the execution of option order flow. Indeed, participants can readily choose to send their orders to other exchange and off-exchange venues if they deem fee levels at those other venues to be more favorable. Moreover, the Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Specifically, in Regulation NMS, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."<sup>21</sup> The fact that this market is competitive has also long been recognized by the courts. In *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' . . . As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker

dealers' . . .".<sup>22</sup> Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe its proposed fee change imposes any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>23</sup> and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4<sup>24</sup> thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include file number SR-CboeBZX-2024-074 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2024-074. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your

comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2024-074, and should be submitted on or before September 3, 2024.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>25</sup>

**Sherry R. Haywood,**  
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-17950 Filed 8-12-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 35292; File No. 812-15451]

### Felicitas Private Markets Fund, et al.

August 8, 2024.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC").

**ACTION:** Notice.

Notice of application for an order pursuant to sections 17(d) and 57(i) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") and rule 17d-1 under the Act to permit certain joint transactions otherwise prohibited by sections 17(d) and 57(a)(4) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act.

<sup>19</sup> See e.g., MIAEX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, Section 1(c), "Fees for Customer Orders Routed to Another Options Exchange."

<sup>20</sup> See *supra* note 1.

<sup>21</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005).

<sup>22</sup> *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782-83 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21)).

<sup>23</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>24</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

<sup>25</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).