

Description of the Proposed Action

The proposed action is to amend POL No. DPR-73 so that TMI-2 *Solutions* can continue with certain major decommissioning activities planned under Phase 2 of its decommissioning schedule. Phase 2 decommissioning activities include the removal of any radioactive components in preparation for demolition of structures, decommissioning and dismantlement of the TMI-2 site to a level that permits the release of the site, except for an area potentially to be set aside for storage of fuel-bearing material (small quantities of spent nuclear fuel, damaged core material, and high-level waste) on the independent spent fuel storage installation, backfilling of the site, license termination plan submittal and implementation, and site restoration activities. In order to comply with 10 CFR 50.82(a)(6)(ii), TMI-2 *Solutions* requested that NRC evaluate the impacts of certain major decommissioning activities on historic and cultural resources and NRHP-eligible properties. The definition of major decommissioning activity is in 10 CFR 50.2, which states “major decommissioning activity means, for a nuclear power reactor facility, any activity that results in permanent removal of major radioactive components, permanently modifies the structure of the containment, or results in dismantling components for shipment containing greater than class C waste in accordance with § 61.55 of this chapter.” Due to radioactive contamination, the TMI-2 structures must be demolished and removed during decommissioning.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

In the EA, the staff assessed the potential environmental impacts from the proposed license amendment to the following resource areas: land use; visual and scenic resources; the geologic environment; surface and groundwater resources; ecological resources; air quality; noise; historic and cultural resources; socioeconomic conditions; environmental justice; public and occupational health; transportation; and waste generation and management. The NRC staff also considered the cumulative impacts from past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions when combined with the proposed action. The TMI-2 Historic District would be adversely affected by the TMI-2 decommissioning, and adverse effects cannot be avoided. The mitigation of adverse effects to the TMI-2 Historic District will be completed in

accordance with the TMI-2 Demolition and Decommissioning Programmatic Agreement (NRC 2024a).

As part of the NRC’s consultation under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, NRC staff determined that the proposed action may affect but is not likely to adversely affect the Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*), tricolored bat (*Perimyotis subflavus*), monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*), northeastern bulrush (*Scirpus ancistrochaetus*), or green floater (*Lasmigona subviridis*). The NRC staff transmitted a letter to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) for its review and concurrence on May 24, 2024 (ADAMS Accession No. ML24120A324). The FWS concurred with the NRC’s findings on July 15, 2024 (ADAMS Accession No. ML24199A062).

All other potential impacts from the proposed action were determined to be not significant, as described in the EA. The NRC staff found that there would be no significant negative cumulative impact to any resource area from the proposed action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternative to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the “no-action” alternative). Under the no-action alternative, the NRC would deny the licensee’s request to allow for the continuation of major decommissioning activities under Phase 2. In this case, the NRC staff would not review the historic and cultural resource impacts of the major decommissioning activities as defined in 10 CFR 50.2 and would therefore disallow the removal of NRHP-eligible structures and any impacts to historic and cultural resources. However, due to the presence of radioactive contamination, TMI-2 structures, including the NRHP-eligible structures, must be removed during the decommissioning process. Therefore, the NRC staff concludes that denying the amendment request is not a reasonable alternative.

IV. Finding of No Significant Impact

In accordance with the NEPA and 10 CFR part 51, the NRC staff has conducted an environmental review of a request for an amendment to POL No. DPR-73. The proposed amendment would revise the POL to allow the licensee to conduct decommissioning at TMI-2 covering activities that were not previously addressed in the staff’s environmental assessments (site-specific

historical and cultural resources). Based on its environmental review of the proposed action, the NRC staff has made a finding of no significant impact in the EA. Therefore, the NRC staff has determined, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.31, that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not required for the proposed action and a FONSI is appropriate.

Dated: August 2, 2024.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Christopher M. Regan,

Director, Division of Rulemaking, Environmental, and Financial Support, Office of Nuclear Material Safety, and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2024-17521 Filed 8-7-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Proposed Submission of Information Collection for OMB Review; Comment Request; Qualified Domestic Relations Orders Submitted to PBGC

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of intent to request extension of OMB approval, with modifications.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC) intends to request that the Office of Management and Budget extend its approval (with modifications), under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, of the information collection related to PBGC’s booklet, Qualified Domestic Relations Orders & PBGC. This notice informs the public of PBGC’s intent and solicits public comment on the collection of information.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before October 7, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Email:* paperwork.comments@pbgc.gov. Refer to OMB control number 1212-0054 in the subject line.
- *Mail or Hand Delivery:* Regulatory Affairs Division, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20245-2101.

Commenters are strongly encouraged to submit public comments electronically. Commenters who submit comments on paper by mail should allow sufficient time for mailed

comments to be received before the close of the comment period.

All submissions received must include the agency's name (Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, or PBGC) and refer to OMB control number 1212-0054. All comments received will be posted without change to PBGC's website www.pbgc.gov, including any personal information provided. Do not submit comments that include any personally identifiable information or confidential business information.

Copies of the collection of information may be obtained without charge by writing to the Disclosure Division, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20024-2101, or calling 202-229-4040 during business hours. If you are deaf or hard of hearing, or have a speech disability, please dial 7-1-1 to access telecommunications relay services.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Karen Levin (levin.karen@pbgc.gov), Attorney, Regulatory Affairs Division, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20024-2101; 202-229-3559. If you are deaf or hard of hearing, or have a speech disability, please dial 7-1-1 to access telecommunications relay services.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A defined benefit pension plan that does not have enough money to pay benefits may be terminated if the employer responsible for the plan faces severe financial difficulty, such as bankruptcy, and is unable to maintain the plan. In such an event, PBGC becomes trustee of the plan and pays benefits, subject to legal limits, to plan participants and beneficiaries.

The benefits of a pension plan participant generally may not be assigned or alienated. Title I of ERISA provides an exception for domestic relations orders (DRO) that relate to child support, alimony payments, or marital property rights of an alternate payee (a spouse, former spouse, child, or other dependent of a plan participant). The exception applies only if the DRO meets specific legal requirements that make it a qualified domestic relations order (QDRO).

When PBGC is trustee of a plan, it reviews submitted domestic relations orders to determine whether the order is qualified before paying benefits to an alternate payee. The requirements for submitting a domestic relations order and the contents of such orders are established by statute. The models and the guidance provided by PBGC assist parties by making it easier for them to comply with ERISA's QDRO

requirements in plans trustee by PBGC; they do not create any additional requirements and result in a reduction of the statutory burden.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the collection of information in PBGC's booklet, *Qualified Domestic Relations Orders & PBGC* under control number 1212-0054 through January 31, 2025. PBGC intends to request that OMB extend approval of the collection of information with modifications for 3 years. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

PBGC is adding one term and is making clarifying changes to other terms in the Glossary. PBGC is making other editorial and clarifying changes to the QDRO booklet.

PBGC estimates that it will receive approximately 253 DROs each year. PBGC further estimates that the total average annual burden of this collection of information will be approximately 190 hours and \$177,100.

PBGC is soliciting public comments to—

- evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodologies and assumptions used;
- enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Issued in Washington, DC.

Hilary Duke,

Assistant General Counsel for Regulatory Affairs, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 2024-17609 Filed 8-7-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7709-02-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP2024-15; MC2024-471 and CP2024-478; MC2024-472 and CP2024-479; MC2024-475 and CP2024-482; MC2024-476 and CP2024-483]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* August 12, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the Market Dominant or the Competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the Market Dominant or the Competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of