

16. Set Tentative Date and Location for Next SRC Meeting
17. Adjourn Meeting

SRC meeting location and date may change based on inclement weather or exceptional circumstances, including public health advisories or mandates. If the meeting date and location are changed, the Superintendent will issue a press release and use local newspapers and/or radio stations to announce the rescheduled meeting.

Public Disclosure of Comments:

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. ch. 10.

Alma Ripps,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2024–17132 Filed 8–1–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

[Docket No. BOEM–2024–0033]

Notice of Availability of a Final Environmental Impact Statement for US Wind Inc.’s Proposed Wind Energy Facility Offshore Maryland

AGENCY: Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability; final environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) announces the availability of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) on US Wind Inc.’s (US Wind) construction and operations plan (COP) for its proposed Maryland Offshore Wind Project (Project). The FEIS analyzes the potential environmental impacts of the Project as described in the COP (the proposed action) and the alternatives to the proposed action, including the no action alternative. The FEIS will inform BOEM’s decision whether to approve, approve with modifications, or disapprove the COP.

ADDRESSES: The FEIS and detailed information about the Project, including the COP, can be found on BOEM’s website at: <https://www.boem.gov>

renewable-energy/state-activities/maryland-offshore-wind.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lorena Edenfield, BOEM Office of Renewable Energy Programs, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, Virginia 20166, (907) 231–7679 or Lorena.Edenfield@boem.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Action: US Wind seeks approval to construct, operate, and maintain a wind energy facility and its associated export cables on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) offshore Maryland. The Project would be developed within the range of design parameters outlined in the Maryland Offshore Wind COP, subject to the applicable mitigation measures.

The Project as proposed in the COP would include up to 121 wind turbine generators (WTGs), up to four offshore substations with one offshore export cable route, and one meteorological tower under the terms of Renewable Energy Lease OCS–A 0490. The lease area is located ten miles off the coast of Maryland. The onshore components of the Project would include a cable landfall area at 3Rs beach in Delaware. From the landfall, onshore cables would continue along an inshore cable export route in Indian River Bay to connect to a single onshore substation adjacent to the point of interconnection in Dagsboro, Delaware.

Alternatives: BOEM considered 14 alternatives when preparing the draft environmental impact statement and carried forward five alternatives for further analysis. These five alternatives include four action alternatives and a no action alternative. Nine alternatives were not analyzed in detail because they did not meet the purpose and need for the proposed action or did not meet screening criteria, which are presented in Chapter 2 of the final EIS. The screening criteria included consistency with law and regulations, technical and economic feasibility, environmental impact, and geographic considerations.

Availability of the FEIS: The FEIS, US Wind COP, and associated information are available on BOEM’s website at: <https://www.boem.gov/renewable-energy/state-activities/maryland-offshore-wind>. BOEM has distributed digital copies of the FEIS to all parties listed in FEIS Appendix N. If you would like a flash drive or paper copy, BOEM will provide one upon request, as long as supplies are available. You may request a flash drive or paper copy of the FEIS by contacting Lorena Edenfield at (907) 231–7679 or Lorena.Edenfield@boem.gov.

Cooperating Agencies: The following Federal agencies and State governmental entities participated as cooperating agencies under the National Environmental Policy Act in the preparation of the FEIS: Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; National Marine Fisheries Service; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; U.S. Coast Guard; National Park Service; and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4231 *et seq.* (NEPA, as amended) and 40 CFR 1506.6.

Karen Baker,

Chief, Office of Renewable Energy Programs, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2024–17035 Filed 8–1–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4340–98–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1318 (Rescission (III))]

Certain Graphics Systems, Components Thereof, and Digital Televisions Containing the Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Institute a Rescission Proceeding and To Rescind a Limited Exclusion Order; Termination of the Rescission Proceeding

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute a rescission proceeding and to rescind, based on a settlement agreement, a modified limited exclusion order (“LEO”) issued in the underlying investigation. The rescission proceeding is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the

Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 7, 2022, based on a complaint filed by Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. of Santa Clara, California and ATI Technologies ULC of Ontario, Canada (together, "AMD"). 87 FR 34718-19 (June 7, 2022). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on certain graphics systems, components thereof, and digital televisions containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,742,053; 8,760,454; 11,184,628; 8,468,547; and 8,854,381 ("the '381 patent"). *Id.* at 34718. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of institution named 14 respondents: (1) TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; (2) TCL Industries Holdings (H.K.) Co. Limited of Hong Kong, China; (3) TCL Electronics Holdings Ltd. f/k/a TCL Multimedia Technology Holdings, Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; (4) TCL Technology Group Corporation of Guangdong, China; (5) TTE Corporation of Hong Kong, China; (6) TCL Holdings (BVI) Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; (7) TCL King Electrical Appliances (Huizhou) Co. Ltd. of Guangdong, China; (8) Shenzhen TCL New Technology Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; (9) TCL MOKA International Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; (10) TCL Smart Device (Vietnam) Co., Ltd. of Binh Duong Province, Vietnam; (11) Manufacturas Avanzadas SA de CV of Chihuahua, Mexico; (12) TCL Electronics Mexico, S de RL de CV of Benito Juarez, Mexico; (13) TCL Overseas Marketing Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; and (14) Realtek Semiconductor Corporation ("Realtek") of Hsinchu, Taiwan. *Id.* at 34719, as amended, 87 FR 62452-53 (Oct. 14, 2022). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party to this investigation. 87 FR at 34719.

On September 26, 2022, the Commission allowed TTE Technology, Inc. of Corona, California to intervene in this investigation as an additional respondent (collectively, with all named respondents except for Realtek, "TCL"). *See* Order No. 17 (Aug. 30, 2022), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 26, 2022).

On January 24, 2024, the Commission issued a final determination finding a violation of section 337 by TCL and Realtek with respect to claims 19 and 20 of the '381 patent. 89 FR 5934-35 (Jan. 30, 2024); *see* Comm'n Opinion (Jan. 24,

2024). The Commission determined that the appropriate remedy is: (i) an LEO against TCL's and Realtek's infringing products and (ii) cease and desist orders ("CDOs") against each of the TCL entities, but not against Realtek. 89 FR at 5935. The Commission also set the bond during the period of Presidential review at zero (0) percent of the entered value of the infringing articles. *Id.*

On March 28, 2024, Realtek filed an appeal from the Commission's final determination with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. *See Realtek Semiconductor Corp. v. ITC*, Appeal Nos. 24-1613. On July 1, 2024, the Federal Circuit granted Realtek's voluntary dismissal of the appeal. *See id.*, ECF No. 28.

On June 7, 2024, the Commission issued a modified LEO, which is directed only to Realtek's infringing products, and rescinded the CDOs against TCL based on a settlement agreement between AMD and TCL. *See* Modified LEO at 1 (June 7, 2024); Comm'n Order at 6 (June 7, 2024).

On May 21, 2024, the Commission instituted a modification proceeding as to the LEO based on a changed condition of fact alleged by Realtek. 89 FR 46158-59 (May 28, 2024). On June 27, 2024, AMD and Realtek filed a joint motion to terminate the modification proceeding based on a settlement agreement between AMD and Realtek dated June 17, 2024, attaching thereto the same Exhibits A and B attached to the present petition. On July 5, 2024, the ALJ issued Order No. 78, which (i) ordered AMD and Realtek to "revise and refile the non-confidential version of the agreement required by Commission Rules 210.21(a)(2) and 210.21(b)(1) [19 CFR 210.21(a)(2) and (b)(1)], and in accordance with Commission Rule 201.6 [19 CFR 201.6]," and (ii) stated that the motion to terminate will be ruled upon after the revised non-confidential version of the agreement is refiled. Order No. 78 at 2 (July 5, 2024).

On July 12, 2024, in response to Order No. 78, Realtek filed a revised non-confidential version of the subject agreement (Ex. B). On July 15, 2024, the ALJ issued an initial determination (Order No. 79) granting the joint motion to terminate the modification proceeding. The ALJ found that the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), including the submission of confidential and public versions of the subject agreement in compliance with Commission Rule 201.6 (19 CFR 201.6), and that there is no evidence that terminating the proceeding would be contrary to the public interest in accordance with

Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). Order No. 79 at 2 (July 15, 2024).

On June 27, 2024, AMD and Realtek (together, "Petitioners") filed a joint petition ("Pet.") to rescind the modified LEO based on the settlement agreement between the Petitioners. Attached to the petition is the same redacted copy of a "binding settlement term sheet" between the Petitioners (Ex. B) dated June 17, 2024, as was filed with the motion to terminate the modification proceeding, Pet. at 1, Ex. B. On June 28, 2024, AMD filed a separate correspondence to the Secretary requesting confidential treatment of business information contained in Exhibit A attached thereto (the unredacted version of Exhibit B attached to the petition). On July 22, 2024, Petitioners filed a corrected joint petition to rescind the modified LEO, and attached thereto the same revised, non-confidential version of the subject agreement (Ex. B) as was filed by Realtek in response to Order No. 78.

The Commission, having reviewed the record in this investigation, including Petitioners' petition, AMD's request for confidential treatment of business information contained in Exhibit A, Petitioners' corrected petition, and revised Exhibit B, has determined that the conditions justifying the modified LEO against Realtek no longer exist, and, therefore, granting the corrected joint petition is warranted under section 337(k), 19 U.S.C. 1337(k), and Commission Rule 210.76(a)(3), 19 CFR 210.76(a)(3). Accordingly, the Commission has determined to institute a rescission proceeding and to rescind the modified LEO based on the settlement agreement between Petitioners. The rescission proceeding is terminated. The Commission issues an order herewith setting forth its determinations.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on July 29, 2024.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 29, 2024.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024-17039 Filed 8-1-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P