

3. The EPA is not delegating the provisions of the Consolidated Air Rule under 40 CFR part 65. As proposed on October 28, 1998, (63 FR 57748, 57784–57786) and promulgated on December 14, 2000, (65 FR 78268, 78272), the CAR comprises alternative compliance approaches to referencing subparts in 40 CFR parts 60 and 63. Therefore, formal delegation of the CAR is not required provided the state has received formal delegation of the referencing subpart.

4. The EPA is delegating the identified Federal standards as in effect on July 1, 2022. New NSPS or NSPS that are revised substantively after that date are not delegated to your agency; these remain the responsibility of the EPA.

a. Acceptance of this delegation does not commit your agency to request or accept delegation of future NSPS standards and requirements.

b. The EPA encourages your agency to update your NSPS delegation on an annual basis. This could coincide with the updating of the adoption by reference of the Federal NSPS standards, which is important for maintaining the EPA's approval of your part 70 permitting program.

5. The EPA is not delegating authorities under 40 CFR part 60 that specifically indicate they cannot be delegated, that require rulemaking to implement, that affect the stringency of the standard, equivalency determinations, or where national oversight is the only way to ensure national consistency.

6. The EPA is not delegating standards that have been vacated as a matter of Federal law.

7. Implementation and enforcement of the delegated NSPS are subject to the *CAA 105 Air Base Grant Agreement Work Plan* between the state of Idaho and the EPA and its successor documents. The agreement defines roles and responsibilities, including timely and appropriate enforcement response and the maintenance of the Integrated Compliance Information System for Air via the Exchange Network. Your agency will ensure that all relevant source notification and report information is entered as provided in the agreement into the specified EPA database system to meet your recordkeeping/reporting requirements.

8. Enforcement of these delegated NSPS in your jurisdiction will be the primary responsibility of your agency. Nevertheless, the EPA may exercise its concurrent enforcement authority pursuant to sections 111(d)(2) and 113 of the Clean Air Act with respect to sources that are subject to the NSPS.

9. Your agency and the EPA should communicate sufficiently to ensure that each is fully informed and current regarding interpretation of regulations (including any unique questions about applicability) and the compliance status of subject sources in your jurisdiction.

a. Any records or reports provided to or otherwise obtained by your agency should be made available to the EPA upon request.

b. In accordance with 40 CFR 60.9, the availability to the public of information provided to or otherwise obtained by the EPA in connection with this delegation shall be governed by 40 CFR part 2.

10. Your agency will be the recipient of all notifications and reports and be the point of contact for questions and compliance issues for these delegated NSPS. The EPA may request notifications and reports from owners/operators and/or your agency, if needed.

11. Your agency will work with owners and operators of affected facilities subject to an NSPS subpart to ensure all required information is submitted to your agency. Your assistance is requested to ensure that this information, including excess emission reports and summaries, is submitted to the EPA upon request, if needed.

12. Your agency will require affected facilities to use the methods specified in 40 CFR part 60, as applicable, in performing source tests pursuant to the regulations. *See* 40 CFR 60.8.

13. Changes and alternatives:

a. Your agency is not delegated the authorities under 40 CFR 60.4(b), 60.8(b) (terms 2 and 3, to the extent that the change represents an alternative or equivalent method or a *major change to testing* as defined in 40 CFR 63.90), 60.9, 60.11(b) (with respect to alternative methods), 60.11(e)(7)&(8), 60.13(a), 60.13(d)(2), and 60.13(g). Such authorities and approvals remain the responsibility of the EPA.

b. Your agency is not delegated the authority to approve a major change to monitoring under 40 CFR 60.13(i). A *major change to monitoring* is defined in 40 CFR 63.90.

c. Your agency must maintain a record of all approved alternatives to monitoring, testing, and recordkeeping/reporting requirements and provide this list of alternatives to the EPA semi-annually or more frequently if requested by the EPA. The EPA may audit any approved alternatives and disapprove any that it determines are inappropriate, after discussion with your agency. If changes are disapproved, your agency must notify the owner/operator that it must revert to the original applicable monitoring, testing, recordkeeping, and/or reporting requirements. Also, in cases where the owner/operator does not maintain the conditions which prompted the approval of the alternatives to the monitoring, testing, recordkeeping, and/or reporting requirements, your agency must require the owner/operator to revert to the original monitoring, testing, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements, or more stringent requirements, if justified.

14. Your agency's authority to implement and enforce NSPS under this delegation does not extend to sources or activities located in Indian Country, as defined in 18 U.S.C. 1151. Consistent with previous Federal program approvals or delegations, the EPA will continue to implement the NSPS in Indian Country because your agency has not demonstrated authority over sources and activities located within the exterior boundaries of Indian reservations and in other areas of Indian Country.

15. The EPA Administrator delegated to the EPA, Region 10 the authority to delegate the NSPS to any state or local agency. A state or local agency that receives delegation from the EPA, Region 10 does not have the

federally recognized authority to further delegate the NSPS.

16. If the EPA determines that your agency's procedures for implementing or enforcing the NSPS are inadequate or are not being effectively carried out, this delegation may be revoked in whole or in part by written notice of the revocation. Any such revocation will be effective as of the date specified in the notice.

Unless we receive negative comments from you within ten days, this delegation is final and will be effective ten days from the date of this letter. Otherwise, no further correspondence to the EPA is needed from IDEQ to make this delegation effective. We will periodically publish a notice in the **Federal Register** informing the public of IDEQ's updated delegations. If you have any questions, please contact Geoffrey Glass of my staff at (206) 553-1847 or glass.geoffrey@epa.gov.

Sincerely,
Krishna Viswanathan, Director
Air and Radiation Division

This notice acknowledges the update of Idaho's delegation of authority to implement and enforce NSPS and NESHAP.

Dated: July 9, 2024.

Krishnaswamy Viswanathan,
Director, Air and Radiation Division, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 2024-15395 Filed 7-12-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-12077-01-R6]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Order on Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for CF Industries East Point, LLC, Waggaman Complex, Jefferson Parish, Louisiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of final order on petition.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator signed an order dated June 25, 2024, denying a Petition dated January 16, 2024, from the Harahan/River Ridge Air Quality Group, JOIN for Clean Air, Sierra Club, and Environmental Integrity Project. The Petition requested that the EPA object to a Clean Air Act (CAA) title V operating permit issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) to CF Industries East Point, LLC, Waggaman Complex, located in Jefferson Parish, Waggaman, Louisiana.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Layton, EPA Region 6 Office, Air Permits Section, (214) 665-2136,

layton.elizabeth@epa.gov. The final order and petition are available electronically at: <https://www.epa.gov/title-v-operating-permits/title-v-petition-database>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EPA received a petition from the Harahan/River Ridge Air Quality Group, JOIN for Clean Air, Sierra Club, and Environmental Integrity Project dated January 16, 2024, requesting that the EPA object to the issuance of operating permit no.1340-00352-V9, issued by LDEQ to CF Industries East Point, LLC, Waggaman Complex in Waggaman, Jefferson Parish, Louisiana. On June 25, 2024, the EPA Administrator issued an order denying the Petition. The order explains the basis for the EPA’s decision.

Sections 307(b) and 505(b)(2) of the CAA provide that a petitioner may request judicial review of those portions of an order that deny issues in a petition. Any petition for review shall be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuitry no later than September 13, 2024.

Dated: July 8, 2024.

David Garcia,

Director, Air and Radiation Division, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 2024-15387 Filed 7-12-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2017-0720; FRL-12085-01-OCSPJ]

Pesticide Registration Review; Pesticide Dockets Opened for Review and Comment; Notice of Availability

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) is announcing the availability of and soliciting comment on the work plans and registration review case dockets for the following active ingredients: Banda de *Lupinus albus* doce, cyflumetofen, *Listeria* specific Bacteriophages, and *Streptomyces* strain K61.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 13, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by the docket identification (ID) number for the specific pesticide of interest provided in table 1 of unit II., through the *Federal eRulemaking Portal* at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Additional instructions on commenting and visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For pesticide specific information: The Chemical Review Manager for the pesticide of interest is identified in table 1 of unit II.

For general questions: Melanie Biscoe, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 566-0701; email address: biscoe.melanie@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, farm worker, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the Chemical Review Manager identified in table 1 of unit II.

II. What action is the Agency taking?

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.50(b), this notice announces the availability of the EPA’s work plans and registration review case dockets for the pesticides shown in Table 1 and opens a 60-day public comment period on the work plans and case dockets.

TABLE 1—WORK PLANS BEING MADE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Registration review case name and No.	Docket ID No.	Chemical review manager and contact information
Banda de <i>Lupinus albus</i> doce, Case Number 6318	EPA-HQ-OPP-2023-0357	Bibiana Oe, oe.bibiana@epa.gov , (202) 566-1538.
Cyflumetofen, Case Number 7463	EPA-HQ-OPP-2022-0194	Susan Bartow, bartow.susan@epa.gov , (202) 566-2280.
<i>Listeria</i> specific Bacteriophages, Case Number 5091	EPA-HQ-OPP-2024-0178	Susanne Cerrelli, cerrelli.susanne@epa.gov , (202) 566-1516.
<i>Streptomyces</i> strain K61, Case Number 6066	EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0832	Susanne Cerrelli, cerrelli.susanne@epa.gov , (202) 566-1516.

III. What is the Agency’s authority for taking this action?

EPA is conducting its registration review of the chemicals listed in Table 1 of Unit II. pursuant to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) section 3(g) (7 U.S.C. 136a(g)) and the Procedural Regulations for Registration Review at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. FIFRA section 3(g) provides, among other things, that pesticide registrations are to be reviewed every 15 years. Consistent with 40 CFR 155.57, in its final

registration review decision, EPA will ultimately determine whether a pesticide continues to meet the registration standard in FIFRA section 3(c)(5) (7 U.S.C. 136a(c)(5)).

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.50, EPA initiates a registration review by establishing a public docket for a pesticide registration review case. Registration review dockets contain information that will assist the public in understanding the types of information and issues that the Agency has considered during registration review. Consistent

with 40 CFR 155.50(a), these dockets may include information from the Agency’s files including, but not limited to, an overview of the registration review case status, a list of current product registrations and registrants, any **Federal Register** notices regarding any pending registration actions, any **Federal Register** notices regarding current or pending tolerances, risk assessments, bibliographies concerning current registrations, summaries of incident data, and any other pertinent data or information. EPA includes in