

(5) Strengthening national security, which may include information on procurement processes, critical inputs, sourcing, supply chains, and strategic implications of technologies and their use cases.

Tech Hubs designees must submit this data one time to provide a baseline status of the Tech Hub and to help assess the results of designee status as well as potential future federal investments.

EDA is particularly interested in public comment on how the proposed data collection will support the assessment of job quality, including in ways that rely on pairing this information administrative data for analysis and other ways to minimize burden, or if alternative information should be considered.

II. Method of Collection

Data will be collected electronically.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: None: new information collection.

Form Number(s): None: New information collection.

Type of Review: Regular submission: new information collection.

Affected Public: Tech Hubs designees, which may include a(n): Institution of higher education, including Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Tribal Colleges or Universities, and Minority-Serving Institutions; State, territorial, local or Tribal governments or other political subdivisions of a State, including State and local agencies, or a consortium thereof; Industry groups or firms in relevant technology, innovation, or manufacturing sectors; Economic development organizations or similar entities that are focused primarily on improving science, technology, innovation, entrepreneurship, or access to capital; Labor organizations or workforce training organizations, which may include State and local workforce development boards; Economic development entities with relevant expertise, including a district organization; Organizations that contribute to increasing the participation of underserved populations in science, technology, innovation, and entrepreneurship; Venture development organizations; Organizations that promote local economic stability, high wage domestic jobs, and broad-based economic opportunities, such as employee

ownership membership associations and State or local employee ownerships and cooperative development centers, financial institutions and investment funds, including community development financial institutions and minority depository institutions; Elementary schools and secondary schools, including area career and technical education schools; National laboratories; Federal laboratories; Manufacturing extension centers; Manufacturing U.S.A. Institutes; Transportation planning organizations; A cooperative extension services; Organizations that represent the perspectives of underserved communities in economic development initiatives; and Institutions receiving an award under the National Science Foundation’s (NSF) Regional Innovation Engines Program.

Preliminary Estimated Number of Respondents: Consortium Lead Members/Tech Hubs Designee Consortia: 31 respondents, responding once.

Estimated Time Per Response: Consortium Lead Members/Tech Hubs Designee Consortia: 3 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 93 hours.

Type of respondent (one time)	Number of respondents	Hours per response	Number of responses per year	Total estimated time (hours)
Lead Consortium Members/Tech Hubs Designee Consortia	31	3	1 (Once)	93
Total	31	3	1	93

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$ 5,769.72 (cost assumes application of U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics second quarter 2022 mean hourly employer costs for employee compensation for professional and related occupations of \$62.04).

Respondent’s Obligation: Mandatory for Consortium Lead Members.

Legal Authority: Stevenson Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980, section 28 (15 U.S.C. 3722a).

IV. Request for Comments

This information collection request may be viewed at reginfo.gov. Follow the instructions to view Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be submitted within 30 days of the publication of this notice on the following website www.reginfo.gov/

public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments.”

Oliver Wise,
Chief Data Officer and Acting Under Secretary for Economic Affairs, Commerce Department.

[FR Doc. 2024–15183 Filed 7–11–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–520–807]

Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers/exporters of circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe (CWP) made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), December 1, 2021, through November 30, 2022.

DATES: Applicable July 12, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Janz or Sofia Pedrelli, AD/CVD

Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2972 or (202) 482-4301, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 8, 2024, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the 2021–2022 administrative review¹ of the antidumping duty order on CWP from the United Arab Emirates (UAE).² The review covers seven companies, including two mandatory respondents, Conares Metal Supply Limited (Conares) and Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC/KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC (collectively, Universal), for individual examination.³

We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.⁴ On February 14, 2024, we received case briefs from Conares and Universal; we did not receive any rebuttal briefs.⁵ On April 24, 2024, we extended the deadline for the final results until July 3, 2024.⁶ For a

¹ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021–2022*, 89 FR 899 (January 8, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906 (December 19, 2016) (*Order*).

³ Commerce previously determined that Universal is a single entity consisting of the following three producers/exporters of subject merchandise: Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd.; KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC; and Universal Tube and Pipe Industries LLC. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 81 FR 75030 (October 28, 2016), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. Additionally, we previously determined that THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC is the successor-in-interest to Universal Tube and Pipe Industries LLC. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 84 FR 44845 (August 27, 2019). Because no information on the record of this review contradicts these findings, we continue to treat these companies as a single entity.

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*.

⁵ See Conares' Letter, "Case Brief," dated February 14, 2024; and Universal's Letter, "Case Brief," dated February 14, 2024.

⁶ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated April 24, 2024.

complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁷ Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are CWP from the UAE. A complete description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs filed by interested parties in this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum and are listed in an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, and for the reasons explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, Commerce made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average dumping margin calculations for Conares, Universal, and the non-examined companies for the final results of review.⁸

Rates for Non-Examined Companies

The Act and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to individual companies not selected for examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of

the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, for guidance when calculating the weighted-average dumping margin for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), or determined entirely on the basis of facts available.

For the final results, Commerce calculated weighted-average dumping margins for Conares and Universal that are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts otherwise available. Accordingly, Commerce has continued to calculate the rate for companies not selected for individual examination using a weighted average of the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for Conares and Universal, weighted by each respondent's publicly-ranged total U.S. sales value.⁹

Final Results of Administrative Review

As a result of this review, we determine that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period December 1, 2021, through November 30, 2022:

⁹ When Commerce's individual examination of respondents is limited to two respondents, Commerce normally calculates: (A) a weighted average of the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the individually-examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the individually-examined respondents; and (C) a weighted average of the weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the individually-examined respondents using each company's publicly-ranged U.S. sales quantities of subject merchandise. Commerce then compares then compares (B) and (C) to (A) and selects either the (B) or (C) rate based on the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for companies not selected for individual examination, as using the (A) rate would result in the disclosure of business proprietary information. See, e.g., *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010). In this review, Commerce based the rate for companies not selected for individual examination on the publicly-ranged sales data of the mandatory respondents. For an analysis of the data, see Memorandum, "Calculation of the Review-Specific Rate for Non-Selected Companies for the Final Results," dated concurrently with this notice.

⁷ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates; 2021–2022," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, these results (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁸ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Conares Metal Supply Limited	0.90
Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd; THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC; KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC	1.00
Review-Specific Average Rate Applicable to the Following Companies	
Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind., L.L.C	0.98
K.D. Industries Inc	0.98
TSI Metal Industries L.L.C	0.98

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these final results of review to interested parties within five days after public announcement of the final results or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rate

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because Conares and Universal reported the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those sales. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each individually examined respondent for which the producer did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation (*i.e.*, 5.95 percent)¹⁰ if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹¹

For the companies identified above that were not selected for individual

examination, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries at the rate determined in these final results of review.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

Upon publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, the following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for each company listed above will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the producer has been covered in a prior completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 5.95 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation for this proceeding.¹² These cash deposit requirements, when

imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 3, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
 - II. Background
 - III. Scope of the Order
 - IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
 - V. Discussion of the Issues
- Comment 1: Differential Pricing Analysis

¹⁰ See Order.

¹¹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹² *Id.*

Comment 2: Appropriate Currency for Conares' Domestic Inland Freight
 Comment 3: Cost of Manufacture for Sales-Below-Cost-Test
 Comment 4: Correction of Name of Company Which is Part of the Collapsed Entity

VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024–15330 Filed 7–11–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–105]

Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain producers and exporters of carbon and alloy steel threaded rod (steel threaded rod) from the People's Republic of China (China) received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR) January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022.

DATES: Applicable July 12, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bryan Hansen or Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3683 or (202) 482–0410, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 9, 2020, Commerce published in the *Federal Register* the countervailing duty order on steel threaded rod from China.¹ On April 3, 2024, Commerce published the preliminary results of the 2022 administrative review of the *Order* and invited comments from interested parties.² For a complete description of the events that occurred since the

¹ See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from India and the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Orders*, 85 FR 19927 (April 9, 2020) (*Order*).

² See *Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2022*, 89 FR 22999 (April 3, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

Preliminary Results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is steel threaded rod from China. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by Ningbo Zhenghai Yongding Fastener Co., Ltd., in its case brief, are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ A list of the issues raised is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received and the evidence on the record, we made certain changes to the calculations of Ningbo Zhenghai Yongding Fastener Co., Ltd.'s benefits for three programs: (1) provision of wire rod at less than adequate remuneration (LTAR); (2) provision of steel bar at LTAR; and (3) provision of electricity at LTAR. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found to be countervailable, we determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁵ For a full description of the methodology underlying Commerce's conclusions, including our reliance, in part, on facts

³ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Carbon and Alloy Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China; 2022," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁴ No other interested parties filed a case or rebuttal brief.

⁵ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5)(A) of the Act regarding specificity.

otherwise available with adverse inferences pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

We find the following net countervailable subsidy rates for the period January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022:

Company	Subsidy Rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i>)
Ningbo Zhenghai Yongding Fastener Co., Ltd. ⁶	7.66

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days after the date of publication of this notice in the *Federal Register* in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review for Ningbo Zhenghai Yongding Fastener Co., Ltd. at the applicable *ad valorem* assessment rate listed. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after publication of the final results of this review in the *Federal Register*. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts shown for the companies listed above for shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the

⁶ As discussed in the *Preliminary Results PDM*, Commerce has found Ningbo Yongzan Machinery Parts Co., Ltd. to be cross-owned with Ningbo Zhenghai Yongding Fastener Co., Ltd.