

insurance or student loan assistance? Were you able to receive adequate assistance from the agency, including interactions with agency staff and agency-provided resources, such that you did not need external legal services from lawyers or nonlawyers? If not, what steps did you take to find such assistance, if any?

2. If you have been represented by someone in an administrative adjudication, how would you describe the experience and outcome? Have you experienced any unintended consequences from representation? How did you find and decide to work with your representative? Did the agency assist you with finding your representative? Was your representative a lawyer? If not, was your representative part of an organization or a solo practitioner? How did you decide to proceed with your representative, and what alternatives did you consider?

Perspectives From Representatives or Legal Assistance Providers

3. If you have worked as a nonlawyer representative in administrative adjudications, how long have you worked in this capacity? Before which agency or agencies do you practice? What tasks do you undertake as part of your representation? How were you trained? Are you required to re-certify regularly or seek ongoing training? Is training provided by the agency or by a sponsoring organization? Are you supervised by a lawyer in any capacity?

4. If you have worked as a nonlawyer representative in administrative adjudications, what was the certification and oversight process from the agency? In your experience, was that process efficient and/or successful?

5. If you have worked as a nonlawyer representative in administrative adjudications, have you felt that you were treated professionally and equally by others in the process? Was the government represented by a lawyer?

6. If you are a lawyer representing people in administrative adjudications, what are your experiences and interactions with nonlawyer representatives, if any?

7. If you represent people in administrative adjudications, what are your experiences with agency rules and procedures regarding representation? In what ways could they be improved?

Landscape of Relevant Agency Programs

8. Are you familiar with specific agency programs that encourage nonlawyer representation? Are these programs working to meet the demand

of need for assistance and/or representation in administrative adjudications? If not, how might they be improved?

9. Are there specific agency programs that could be expanded to encourage more forms of nonlawyer assistance and/or representation?

10. Are you aware of studies or other data examining aspects of nonlawyer representation before federal agencies, beyond data that is publicly available through agency websites?

11. What role can public- and private-sector groups play in increasing nonlawyer representation, and how should government agencies encourage such actions, if at all?

Dated: July 2, 2024.

Shawne C. McGibbon,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2024-14915 Filed 7-5-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6110-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-112-2024]

Foreign-Trade Zone 214; Application for Subzone Expansion; Consolidated Diesel Company; Whitakers, North Carolina

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board by the North Carolina Department of Transportation, grantee of FTZ 214, requesting an expansion of Subzone 214A on behalf of Consolidated Diesel Company (Consolidated Diesel), located in Whitakers, North Carolina. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on July 1, 2024.

The application requests authority to expand Subzone 214A to include the following new site: Site 5 (0.5 acres)—7111 US 301, Whitaker. No authorization for additional production activity has been requested at this time. The proposed expanded subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 214.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Christopher Kemp of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be

addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary and sent to: ftz@trade.gov. The closing period for their receipt is August 19, 2024. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to September 3, 2024.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection in the "Online FTZ Information Section" section of the FTZ Board's website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov.

Dated: July 1, 2024.

Elizabeth Whiteman,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-14792 Filed 7-5-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-11-2024]

Production Activity Not Authorized; Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 39; Trina Solar US Manufacturing Module 1, LLC (Trina Solar); (Solar Panels); Wilmer, Texas

On March 1, 2024, the Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board, grantee of FTZ 39, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board on behalf of Trina Solar, within FTZ 39, in Wilmer, Texas.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (89 FR 18893-18894, March 15, 2024). On July 1, 2024, the applicant was notified of the FTZ Board's decision that further review of the activity is warranted. The production activity described in the notification was not authorized. If the applicant wishes to seek authorization for this activity, it will need to submit an application for production authority, pursuant to section 400.23.

Dated: July 1, 2024.

Elizabeth Whiteman,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-14793 Filed 7-5-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P