

Point 5 as listed in the coordinate in the following table.]

Point No.	Latitude	Longitude
1	24.65411	-81.01286
2	24.65412	-81.00869
3	24.65044	-81.00870
4	24.65044	-81.01289
5	24.65411	-81.01286

Looe Key Special Use Area (Temporary)

(Restoration Only)—[The coordinates are unprojected (Geographic) and based on the North American Datum of 1983. The boundary for the special use area begins at Point 1 and continues to each successive point in numerical order until ending at Point 5 as listed in the coordinate in the following table.]

Point No.	Latitude	Longitude
1	24.54255	-81.41811
2	24.54256	-81.41357
3	24.53903	-81.41356
4	24.53901	-81.41812
5	24.54255	-81.41811

[FR Doc. 2024-13912 Filed 6-26-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-NK-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

17 CFR Parts 270, and 275

[Release Nos. 34-100155A; IA-6604A; IC-35193A; File No. S7-05-23]

RIN 3235-AN26

Regulation S-P: Privacy of Consumer Financial Information and Safeguarding Customer Information; Correction

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document makes corrections to the amendatory instructions in Release No. 34-100155 (May 16, 2024), which was published in the **Federal Register** on June 3, 2024.

DATES: *Effective date:* This rule is effective August 2, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Deglin, Counsel; Bradley Gude, Branch Chief; or Brian McLaughlin Johnson, Assistant Director, Investment Company Regulation Office, Division of Investment Management, (202) 551-6792, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In EFR Doc. 2024-11116, appearing on page 47688 in the **Federal Register** of Monday, June 3, 2024, the following corrections are made:

PART 270 [Corrected]

■ On page 47789, in the first column, in part 270, instruction 9, “The authority citation for part 270 is revised to read as follows:” is corrected to read “The general authority citation for part 270 is revised and the sectional authority citation for § 270.31a-2 continues to read as follows:”.

PART 275 [Corrected]

■ On page 47789, in the third column, in part 275, instructions 12 “The authority citation for part 275 is revised to read as follows:” is corrected to read “The general authority citation for part 275 is revised and the sectional authority citation for § 275.204-2 continues to read as follows:”.

Dated: June 21, 2024.

Vanessa A. Countryman,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-14031 Filed 6-26-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives

27 CFR Part 478

[Docket No. ATF 2022R-09; AG Order No. 5921-2024]

RIN 1140-AA57

Bipartisan Safer Communities Act Conforming Regulations; Correction

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: Direct final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice is correcting a direct final rule titled “Bipartisan Safer Communities Act Conforming Regulations” that appeared in the **Federal Register** on April 19, 2024. That document amended Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (“ATF”) regulations to conform ATF regulatory text to the new firearms-related definitions and requirements established by the Bipartisan Safer Communities Act and the NICS Denial Notification Act. This document makes some minor technical corrections to the direct final rule, which otherwise remains the same as previously published.

DATES: These corrections are effective on July 18, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Koppe, by email at ORA@atf.gov, by mail at Office of Regulatory Affairs,

Enforcement Programs and Services; Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives; U.S. Department of Justice; 99 New York Ave. NE, Washington, DC 20226; or by telephone at (202) 648-7070 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 19, 2024, the Department of Justice published a direct final rule in the **Federal Register** at 89 FR 28622 that conformed ATF’s regulatory language to firearms-related definitions and requirements established by the Bipartisan Safer Communities Act (Pub. L. 117-159) (BSCA) and the NICS Denial Notification Act (Pub. L. 117-103). During the 30-day comment period, the Department did not receive a significant adverse comment, as defined in section IV.A of the preamble of the direct final rule.¹ See 89 FR 28629. Accordingly, the direct final rule as published on April 19, 2024, will go into effect on July 18, 2024, with the only changes being the corrections made in this document.

Need for Correction

The direct final rule published on April 19, 2024, in the **Federal Register** at 89 FR 28622, inadvertently contained some minor technical errors in the regulatory instructions and text that this document corrects. This document corrects errors in amendatory instruction 2 for § 478.11. That instruction incorrectly redesignated paragraph (c) under the definition of “Misdemeanor crime of domestic violence” as paragraph (iii) but should have redesignated it as paragraph (3), and incorrectly designated a new paragraph as (iv) that should have been designated as paragraph (4), as well as incorrectly designating its lower-level paragraphs in the regulatory text. This document corrects those designation errors in the instructions, and also corrects three cross-references within paragraphs (4)(i) and (iii) to reflect these new designations. The regulation also

¹ The comments and recommendations ATF received were on issues outside the scope of this rulemaking (such as comments on the statutory language) and on topics not presented in the direct final rule (such as comments on being engaged in the business as a dealer). The comments did not identify a divergence between the statutory language and corresponding regulatory language included in the rule, although one comment did also suggest including the minor June 25, 2022, date provision that ATF is correcting in this document. These comments do not meet the definition of a significant adverse comment in Section IV.A of the preamble. See *Thompson v. Clark*, 741 F.2d 401, 408 (D.C. Cir. 1984) (“[The Administrative Procedure Act] has never been interpreted to require the agency to respond to every comment, or to analyze every issue or alternative raised by the comments, no matter how insubstantial.”).