established by the David L. Boren National Security Education Act, Title VII of Public Law 102–183, as amended.

Agenda: Monday, June 24, 2024 from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. the NSEB will begin an open session with opening remarks by Alternate Designated Federal Officer, Ms. Alison Patz, and the Honorable Shawn Skelly, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Readiness, who will Chair the meeting. The NSEB will receive briefings on the NSEB Statutory Responsibilities and Program Updates, the class of 2024 Boren Scholars and Fellows, and Department of Defense Language Roadmap. The meeting will continue with a mission highlight from Project Global Officer, followed by working group discussion. The meeting's final session will be an overview of the Boren Awards Alumni Survey. General discussion and closing remarks by the Chair and the DFO will adjourn the meeting.

Meeting Accessibility: Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b and 41 CFR 102–3.140 through 102–3.165, and the availability of space, this meeting is open to the public, subject to the availability of space.

space.

Special Accommodations: Individuals requiring special accommodations to access the public meeting should contact Ms. Alison Patz at alison.m.patz.civ@mail.mil (email) or (571) 329–3894 (voice) no later than Thursday, June 20, 2024, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

*Written Statements:* This meeting is being held under the provisions of the FACA of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102-3.140 and 102-3.150. Pursuant to 41 CFR 102-3.140 and sections 10(a)(3) of the FACA of 1972, the public or interested organizations may submit written statements to the Department of Defense National Security Education Board about its mission and functions. Written statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of the planned meeting. All written statements shall be submitted to the point of contact at the email address or phone number listed in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section, and this individual will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration. Statements being submitted in response to the agenda items mentioned in this notice must be received by the point of contact listed in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section at least five calendar days prior to the meeting that is the subject of this notice. Written

statements received after this date may

not be provided to or considered by the NSEB until its next meeting.

Dated: June 13, 2024.

### Aaron T. Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2024–13637 Filed 6–20–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6001-FR-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DoD-2023-OS-0063]

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Office of the Department of Defense Chief Information Officer (CIO), Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** 30-Day information collection notice

**SUMMARY:** The DoD has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by July 22, 2024. ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to <a href="https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain">www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain</a>. Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Reginald Lucas, (571) 372–7574, whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification (CMMC) Program Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements Information Collection; OMB Control Number 0704–0677. Type of Request: New.

## **Level 2 Certification Assessments**

Number of Respondents: 10,942. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 10,942. Average Burden per Response: 525,955 hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 5,754,999.61.

### **Level 3 Certification Assessments**

Number of Respondents: 213. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 213. Average Burden per Response: 79.01 hours. Annual Burden Hours: 16,829.13.

#### Total

Number of Respondents: 11,155. Annual Responses: 11,155. Annual Burden Hours: 5,771,829. Needs and Uses: The CMMC Program provides for the assessment of contractor implementation of cybersecurity requirements to enhance confidence in contractor protection of unclassified information within the DoD supply chain. CMMC contractual requirements are implemented under a Title 48 acquisition rule, with associated rulemaking for the CMMC Program requirements (e.g., CMMC Scoring Methodology, certificate issuance, information accessibility) under a Title 32 program rule (32 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 170). The Title 32 program rule includes two separate information collection requests (ICR), this one for the CMMC Program and one for CMMC eMASS.

This information collection is necessary to support the implementation of the CMMC assessment process for Levels 2 and 3 certification assessment, as defined in 32 CFR 170.17 and 170.18 respectively.

### **Level 2 Certification Assessments**

The Level 2 certification assessment process is conducted by CMMC Certified Assessors, employed by **CMMC Third-Party Assessment** Organizations (C3PAOs). During the assessment process, Organizations Seeking Certification (OSCs) hire C3PAOs to conduct the third-party assessment required for certification. The Level 2 Certification Assessment information collection reporting and recordkeeping requirements are included in the Title 32 program rule with the exception of the requirement for the OSC to upload the affirmation in SPRS that is included in the Title 48 acquisition rule. Additionally, the information collection requirements for the CMMC instantiation of eMASS are addressed in a separate Title 32 program rule information collection request (ICR). OSCs follow the procedures defined in 32 CFR 170.17 to prepare for Level 2 certification assessment. Certified Assessors assigned by C3PAOs follow the requirements and procedures defined in 32 CFR 170.17 to conduct CMMC assessments on defense contractor information systems to determine conformance with the information safeguarding requirements associated with Level 2 certification assessment to validate implementation of the 110 security requirements from NIST SP 800-171 Rev 2. C3PAOs must generate and collect pre-assessment and

planning material (contact information for the OSC, information about the C3PAO and assessors conducting the assessment, the level of assessment planned, the CMMC Model and Assessment Guide versions, and assessment approach), artifact information (list of artifacts, hash of artifacts, and hashing algorithm used), final assessment reports, appropriate CMMC certificates of assessment, and assessment appeal information. C3PAOs submit the data they generate and collect into the CMMC instantiation of eMASS. The information collection required for this submission is addressed in a separate CMMC eMASS ICR for the Title 32 program rule. OSCs may have a POA&M at Level 2 certification assessment as addressed in 32 CFR 170.21. C3PAOs perform a POA&M closeout assessment. The C3PAO process to conduct a POA&M closeout assessment, when applicable, is the same as the initial assessment with the same information collection requirements. OSCs must retain artifacts used as evidence for the assessment for the duration of the validity period of the certificate of assessment, and at minimum, for six years from the date of certification assessment as addressed in 32 CFR 170.17(c)(4). The OSC is responsible for compiling relevant artifacts as evidence and having knowledgeable personnel available during the assessment. The organizational artifacts are proprietary to the OSC and will not be retained by the assessment team unless expressly permitted by the OSC. To preserve the integrity of the artifacts reviewed, the OSC creates a hash of assessment evidence (to include a list of the artifact names, the return values of the hashing algorithm, and the hashing algorithm used) and retains the artifact information for six years. The information obtained from the artifacts is an information collection and is provided to the C3PAO for uploading into the CMMC instantiation of eMASS. If an OSC does not agree with the assessment results, it may formally dispute the assessment and initiate an Assessment Appeal process with the C3PAO who conducted the assessment. C3PAOs submit assessment appeals using eMASS. Appeals are tracked in the CMMC instantiation of eMASS and any resulting changes to the assessment results are uploaded into the CMMC instantiation of eMASS. C3PAOs maintain records for a period of six years of monitoring, education, training, technical knowledge, skills, experience, and authorization of each member of its personnel involved in inspection

activities; contractual agreements with OSCs; any working papers generated from Level 2 certification assessments; and organizations for whom consulting services were provided as addressed in 32 CFR 170.9(b)(10).

# **Level 3 Certification Assessments**

The Level 3 certification assessment process is conducted by the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) Defense Industrial Base Cybersecurity Assessment Center (DIBCAC). The Level 3 certification assessment information collection reporting and recordkeeping requirements are included in the Title 32 program rule except for the requirement for the OSC to upload the affirmation in SPRS that is included in the Title 48 acquisition rule. OSCs follow procedures as defined in 32 CFR 170.18 to prepare for Level 3 certification assessment. DCMA DIBCAC assessors follow requirements and procedures as defined in 32 CFR 170.18 to conduct CMMC assessments on defense contractor information systems to determine conformance with the information safeguarding requirements associated with CMMC Level 3. This is an assessment to validate the implementation of the 24 selected security requirements from NIST SP 800-172. Because DCMA DIBCAC is a government entity, there are no public information collection requirements. DCMA DIBCAC must generate and collect pre-assessment and planning material (contact information for the OSC, information about the assessors conducting the assessment, the level of assessment planned, the CMMC Model and Assessment Guide versions, and assessment approach), artifact information (list of artifacts, hash of artifacts, and hashing algorithm used), final assessment reports, appropriate CMMC certificates of assessment, and assessment appeal information. DCMA DIBCAC submits the data it generates and collects into the CMMC instantiation of. OSCs may have a POA&M at CMMC Level 3 as addressed in 32 CFR 170.21. DCMA DIBCAC performs a POA&M closeout assessment. The DCMA DIBCAC process to conduct a POA&M closeout assessment, when applicable, is the same as the initial assessment with the same information collection requirements. OSCs must retain artifacts used as evidence for the assessment for the duration of the validity period of the certificate of assessment, and at minimum, for six years from the date of certification assessment as addressed in 32 CFR 170.18(c)(4). The OSC is responsible for compiling relevant artifacts as evidence and having

knowledgeable personnel available during the assessment. Assessors will not permanently retain assessment artifacts. To preserve the integrity of the artifacts reviewed during the assessment, the OSC creates a hash of assessment evidence (to include a list of the artifact names, the return values of the hashing algorithm, and the hashing algorithm used) and retains the artifact information for six years. The information obtained from the artifacts is an information collection and DCMA DIBCAC uploads the information into the CMMC instantiation of eMASS (addressed in a separate CMMC eMASS ICR for the Title 32 program rule); the artifacts themselves are not an information collection. If an OSC does not agree with the assessment results, it may formally dispute the assessment and initiate an Assessment Appeal process with DCMA DIBCAC. DCMA DIBCAC submits assessment appeals using eMASS. Appeals are tracked in the CMMC instantiation of eMASS and any resulting changes to the assessment results are uploaded into CMMC eMASS. DCMA DIBCAC maintains records for a period of six years of monitoring, education, training, technical knowledge, skills, experience, and authorization of each member of its personnel involved in inspection activities and working papers generated from Level 3 certification assessments.

# Accreditation Body and CMMC Assessor and Instructor Certification Organizations (CAICOs)

The Accreditation Body provides all plans related to potential sources of revenue, to include but not limited to: fees, licensing, processes, membership, and/or partnerships to the Government CMMC PMO as addressed in 32 CFR 170.8(b)(13).

CAICOs maintain records for a period of six years of all procedures, processes, and actions related to fulfillment of the requirements set forth in 32 CFR 170.10(b)(9).

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit.

Frequency: On occasion.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet
Seehra.

You may also submit comments and recommendations, identified by Docket ID number and title, by the following method:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, Docket ID number, and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy

for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the internet at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. Reginald Lucas.

Requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Lucas at whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil.

Dated: June 14, 2024.

## Aaron T. Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2024–13464 Filed 6–20–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6001-FR-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 10853-043]

Otter Tail Power Company; Notice of Application for Non-Capacity Amendment of License Accepted for Filing, Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Protests

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Application Type:* Non-capacity Amendment of License.

b. Project No: 10853-043.

c. *Date Filed*: September 29, 2023, and supplemented November 21, 2023, and June 4, 2024.

d. *Applicant:* Otter Tail Power Company (licensee).

e. *Name of Project:* Otter Tail River Hydroelectric Project.

f. Location: The project is located on the Otter Tail River in Otter Tail County, Minnesota, and does not occupy federal land. The project's five developments, from upstream to downstream, are: (1) Friberg; (2) Hoot; (3) Central; (4) Pisgah; and (5) Dayton Hollow.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791a–825r.

h. Applicant Contact: Michael Olson, Otter Tail Power Company, 215 South Cascade Street, Fergus Falls, MN 56537, (218) 739–8411, mjolson@otpco.com and Laura Cowan, Kleinschmidt Associates, P.O. Box 278, 400 Historic Drive, Strasburg, PA 17579, (717) 983–4065, Laura.Cowan@ KleinschmidtGroup.com.

i. FERC Contact: Jeremy Jessup, (202) 502–6779, Jeremy. Jessup@ferc.gov.

j. Cooperating agencies: With this notice, the Commission is inviting federal, state, local, and Tribal agencies with jurisdiction and/or special expertise with respect to environmental issues affected by the proposal, that wish to cooperate in the preparation of any environmental document, if applicable, to follow the instructions for filing such requests described in item k below. Cooperating agencies should note the Commission's policy that agencies that cooperate in the preparation of any environmental document cannot also intervene. See 94 FERC ¶ 61,076 (2001).

k. Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, and protests: July 15, 2024.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filing. Please file comments, motions to intervene, and protests using the Commission's eFiling system at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ efiling.asp. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at http:// www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ ecomment.asp. You must include your name and contact information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, (866) 208-3676 (toll free), or (202) 502-8659 (TTY). In lieu of electronic filing, you may submit a paper copy. Submissions sent via the U.S. Postal Service must be addressed to: Debbie-Anne A. Reese, Acting Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Room 1A, Washington, DC 20426. Submissions sent via any other carrier must be addressed to: Debbie-Anne A. Reese, Acting Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 12225 Wilkins Avenue, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The first page of any filing should include the docket number P–10853– 043. Comments emailed to Commission staff are not considered part of the Commission record.

The Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure require all intervenors filing documents with the Commission to serve a copy of that document on each person whose name appears on the official service list for the project. Further, if an intervenor files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

l. Description of Request: The licensee is proposing to amend Article 401 of the license to continue operating in a run-of-river mode, with reservoir levels as

close to target levels as practicable, but adding an operating band of  $\pm 0.5$  foot for reservoir elevations except during flood conditions, at all five developments of the project. The licensee states that it has historically managed reservoir levels as close to target levels as practicable, and within ±0.5 foot of the target elevations, except during flood conditions. The licensee explains that continuous management of reservoir levels at the exact target elevation is not possible due to natural variability from wave run-up, precipitation events, etc. The licensee states the proposal would not change run-of-river operation, existing project facilities, the project boundary, or project management and need for project power, nor is there any ground disturbance associated with the amendment.

m. Locations of the Application: This filing may be viewed on the Commission's website at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. You may also register online at http:// www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ esubscription.asp to be notified via email of new filings and issuances related to this or other pending projects. For assistance, call 1–866–208–3676 or email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, for TTY, call (202) 502-8659. Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant.

n. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission's mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

o. Comments, Protests, or Motions to *Intervene:* Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214, respectively. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

p. Filing and Service of Documents:
Any filing must (1) bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS",
"PROTEST", or "MOTION TO
INTERVENE" as applicable; (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address,