information collection notice is published in the **Federal Register** to obtain comments regarding the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (*i.e.*, the time, effort, and resources used by the respondents to respond), the estimated cost to the respondent, and the actual information collection instruments.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until August 12, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** All submissions received must include the OMB Control Number 1615–0053 in the body of the letter, the agency name and Docket ID USCIS– 2007–0016. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking Portal website at *https://www.regulations.gov* under e-Docket ID number USCIS–2007–0016.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

USCIS, Office of Policy and Strategy, Regulatory Coordination Division, Samantha Deshommes, Chief, telephone number (240) 721-3000 (This is not a toll-free number. Comments are not accepted via telephone message). Please note contact information provided here is solely for questions regarding this notice. It is not for individual case status inquiries. Applicants seeking information about the status of their individual cases can check Case Status Online, available at the USCIS website at https://www.uscis.gov, or call the USCIS Contact Center at 800-375-5283 (TTY 800-767-1833).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Comments

You may access the information collection instrument with instructions or additional information by visiting the Federal eRulemaking Portal site at: https://www.regulations.gov and entering USCIS-2007-0016 in the search box. Comments must be submitted in English, or an English translation must be provided. All submissions will be posted, without change, to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at https://www.regulations.gov. and will include any personal information you provide. Therefore, submitting this information makes it public. You may wish to consider limiting the amount of personal information that you provide in any voluntary submission you make to DHS. DHS may withhold information provided in comments from public viewing that it determines may impact the privacy of an individual or is offensive. For additional information, please read the Privacy Act notice that is available via the link in the footer of https://www.regulations.gov.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

# **Overview of This Information Collection**

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a Currently Approved Collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Request for Certification of Military or Naval Service.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the DHS sponsoring the collection: N–426; USCIS.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. The Form N–426 is used by naturalization applicants to document honorable service in the U.S. Armed Forces. The form is filed with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) when the respondent applies for naturalization with USCIS Form N-400, Application for Naturalization (OMB Control Number 1615-0052). The Department of Defense (DOD) verifies and certifies the applicant's military or naval service information provided on Form N–426. USCIS reviews the form as part of the process to determine the applicant's eligibility for naturalization.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: The estimated total number of respondents for the information collection N-426 is 10,000 and the estimated hour burden per response is 0.50 hours.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The total estimated annual hour burden associated with this collection is 5,000 hours.

(7) An estimate of the total public burden (in cost) associated with the collection: The estimated total annual cost burden associated with this collection of information is \$245,000.

Dated: June 7, 2024.

### Samantha L Deshommes,

Chief, Regulatory Coordination Division, Office of Policy and Strategy, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2024–12989 Filed 6–12–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-97-P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1318 (Modification (III) and Rescission (II))]

Certain Graphics Systems, Components Thereof, and Digital Televisions Containing the Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Institute Modification and Rescission Proceedings and To Grant a Joint Motion for Limited Service of Confidential Exhibits; Modification of Limited Exclusion Order and Rescission of Cease and Desist Orders; Termination of Modification and Rescission Proceedings

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

## **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute modification and rescission proceedings based on a second corrected joint petition to modify a limited exclusion order ("LEO") and rescind in full cease and desist orders ("CDOs") issued in the underlying investigation based on a settlement agreement and grant petitioners' motion for limited service of confidential exhibits. The LEO is modified and the CDOs are rescinded. The modification and rescission proceedings are terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov*. For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov*. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 7, 2022, based on a complaint filed by Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. of Santa Clara, California and ATI Technologies ULC of Ontario, Canada (together, "AMD"). 87 FR 34718-19 (June 7, 2022). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on certain graphics systems, components thereof, and digital televisions containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,742,053; 8,760,454; 11,184,628; 8,468,547; and 8,854,381 ("the '381 patent"). Id. at 34718. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of institution named 14 respondents: (1) TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; (2) TCL Industries Holdings (H.K.) Co. Limited of Hong Kong, China; (3) TCL Electronics Holdings Ltd. f/k/a TCL Multimedia Technology Holdings, Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; (4) TCL Technology Group Corporation of Guangdong, China; (5) TTE Corporation of Hong Kong, China; (6) TCL Holdings (BVI) Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; (7) TCL King Electrical Appliances (Huizhou) Co. Ltd. of Guangdong, China; (8) Shenzhen TCL New Technology Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; (9) TCL MOKA International Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; (10) TCL Smart Device (Vietnam) Co., Ltd. of Binh Duong Province, Vietnam; (11) Manufacturas Avanzadas SA de CV of Chihuahua, Mexico; (12) TCL Electronics Mexico, S de RL de CV of Benito Juarez, Mexico; (13) TCL Overseas Marketing Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; and (14) Realtek Semiconductor Corporation ("Realtek") of Hsinchu, Taiwan. Id. at 34719, as amended, 87 FR 62452–53 (Oct. 14, 2022). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party to this investigation. 87 FR at 34719.

On September 26, 2022, the Commission allowed TTE Technology, Inc. of Corona, California to intervene in this investigation as an additional respondent (collectively, with all named respondents except for Realtek, "TCL"). *See* Order No. 17 (Aug. 30, 2022), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 26, 2022).

On January 24, 2024, the Commission issued a final determination finding a

violation of section 337 by TCL and Realtek with respect to claims 19 and 20 of the '381 patent. 89 FR 5934–35 (Jan. 30, 2024); see Comm'n Opinion (Jan. 24, 2024). The Commission determined that the appropriate remedy is: (i) an LEO against TCL's and Realtek's infringing products and (ii) CDOs against each of the TCL entities, but not against Realtek. 89 FR at 5935. The Commission also set the bond during the period of Presidential review at zero (0) percent of the entered value of the infringing articles. *Id*.

On March 28, 2024, Realtek filed an appeal from the Commission's final determination with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. *See Realtek Semiconductor Corp.* v. *ITC,* Appeal Nos. 24–1613. That appeal remains pending.

On April 1, 2024, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(k) and Commission Rule 210.76(a) (19 CFR 210.76(a)), AMD and TCL (together, "Petitioners") filed a joint petition ("Original Petition") to modify the LEO as to TCL and rescind in full the CDOs against TCL based on a settlement agreement between Petitioners. On April 11, 2024, Realtek filed a response to the Original Petition. Realtek states that it "takes no position" on whether the Original Petition should be granted because Petitioners failed to disclose certain information required by Commission Rules 210.76(a)(1) and (a)(3). Realtek also states that, in assessing the Original Petition, the Commission and Realtek would "benefit from" receiving certain additional information from Petitioners.

On April 12, 2024, Petitioners filed a corrected joint petition ("Corrected Petition" or "CPet.") and a joint motion to limit service to only the Commission and its staff of the confidential versions of the exhibits attached thereto. Attached to the Corrected Petition are redacted copies of a license and settlement agreement between the Petitioners (Ex. D), as well as "two documents referenced therein" (Exs. E and F). CPet. at 4. The unredacted versions of Exhibits D, E, and F correspond to confidential Exhibits G, H, and I, respectively. See id. Petitioners stated that they would file certain unredacted exhibits via a separate cover letter. Id. That same day, AMD filed a separate correspondence to the Secretary requesting confidential treatment of business information contained in Exhibits G and H attached thereto but did not attach Exhibit I to the request. On April 24, 2024, Realtek filed a response to the Corrected Petition and motion for limited service stating that it "takes no position" on whether the Corrected Petition should

be granted because Petitioners again failed to disclose certain information required by Commission Rules 210.76(a)(1) and (a)(3). Realtek also stated that Petitioners failed to show good cause to support their request for limited service of the confidential versions of the exhibits attached to the Corrected Petition.

On April 30, 2024, the Commission determined not to institute the requested modification and rescission proceedings due to the Corrected Petition's failure to comply with Commission rules. See Comm'n Notice (May 1, 2024); see 19 CFR 210.76(a)(3). Specifically, the Commission determined that "[w]hile the Corrected Petition cures the lack of the statement of no other agreements that was missing in the Original Petition, the corrected filing and correspondence to the Secretary fail to comply with the requirement that unredacted copies of the settlement documents (and documents referenced therein) be submitted." Id. The Commission specified that the determination not to institute was without prejudice. Id. The Commission also determined to deny as moot Petitioners' motion for limited service of confidential exhibits G, H, and I. Id.

On May 2, 2024, TCL filed a separate correspondence to the Secretary requesting confidential treatment of business information contained in Exhibit I attached thereto. On May 7, 2024, AMD and TCL filed a second corrected joint petition ("Second Corrected Petition" or "SCPet.") to modify and rescind the remedial orders as to TCL based on settlement and a joint motion to limit service to only the Commission and its staff of the confidential versions of the exhibits attached thereto. The petition states that there are "no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the AMD and TCL [sic] concerning the subject matter of the Investigation" and includes or references the licensing agreements and underlying documents serving as a basis for the settlement, including confidential and redacted versions of such documents. SCPet. at 2–4. The separate submissions of the confidential documents state that there is good cause to limit service because the confidential documents are "not available to the public," "unauthorized disclosure . . . could cause substantial harm to [TCL's and/or AMD's] competitive position," and "disclosure could impair the Commission's ability to obtain information necessary to perform its statutory function." See Letter from AMD's counsel, Michael T. Renaud, to Secretary at 1 (Apr. 12,

2024); Letter from TCL's counsel, Yun Louise Lu, to Secretary at 1 (May 2, 2024).

On May 17, 2024, Realtek filed a response to the Second Corrected Petition and motion to limit service stating that it "takes no position" on whether the Second Corrected Petition should be granted because Petitioners again failed to disclose certain information required by Commission Rules 210.76(a)(1) and (a)(3). Realtek also stated that Petitioners failed to show good cause to support their request for limited service of the confidential versions of the exhibits attached to the Second Corrected Petition.

The Commission, having reviewed the record in this investigation, including Petitioners' Second Corrected Petition and motion for limited service, Realtek's response thereto, as well as AMD's and TCL's separate correspondence to the Secretary requesting confidential treatment of the business information contained in Exhibits G, H, and I, has determined that the Second Corrected Petition satisfies the requirements of Commission Rule 210.76(a)(3), 19 CFR 210.76(a)(3). The Commission has further determined that the conditions justifying the remedial orders against TCL no longer exist, and, therefore, granting the Second Corrected Petition is warranted under section 337(k), 19 U.S.C. 1337(k), and Commission Rule 210.76(a)(3). Accordingly, the Commission has determined to institute modification and rescission proceedings and to modify the LEO to remove reference to TCL and rescind in full the CDOs against TCL based on the settlement agreement between Petitioners. The Commission also finds that Petitioners have shown the requisite good cause under Commission Rule 210.76(a)(3) to grant their motion for limited service of confidential Exhibits G, H, and I. The Commission issues a modified LEO and an order herewith setting forth its determinations.

The modification and rescission proceedings are terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on June 7, 2024.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 7, 2024. Lisa Barton, Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2024–12940 Filed 6–12–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1404]

# Certain Surface Cleaning Devices and Components Thereof; Notice of Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 23, 2024, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of SharkNinja Operating LLC of Needham, Massachusetts, Omachron Alpha Inc. of Canada, and Omachron Intellectual Property Inc. of Canada. A supplement to the complaint was filed on May 9, 2024. An amended complaint was filed on May 16, 2024, and supplemented on May 23, 2024. The amended complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain surface cleaning devices and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,776,120 ("the '120 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 10,219,661 ("the '661 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 11,903,546 ("the '546 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 11,910,983 ("the '983 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 11,910,984 ("the '984 patent"). The amended complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The amended complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov*. For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov*. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205– 2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov.* 

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Heidi Yoo, The Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Authority:* The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2024).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the amended complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 7, 2024, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 7-9, 12, 14, and 15 of the '120 patent; claims 1-5, 8-12, 15, and 16 of the '661 patent; claims 10 and 15 of the '546 patent; claims 1, 4, 6-8, 12, and 17-19 of the '983 patent; and claims 1-18 of the '984 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "stick and handheld vacuum cleaners, along with wands, cleaner heads, tool kits, and attachments";

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

- (a) The complainants are:
- SharkNinja Operating LLC, 89 A Street, Suite 100, Needham, MA 02494
- Omachron Alpha Inc., 9 King Lane, P.O. Box 130, Hampton, ON L0B 1J0, Canada
- Omachron Intellectual Property Inc., 9 King Lane, P.O. Box 130, Hampton, ON LOB 1J0, Canada