

time between protocol publication and first credit generation)?

*Question 4:* Which protocol(s) for generating voluntary carbon credits from agriculture and forestry projects should USDA evaluate for listing through the Greenhouse Gas Technical Assistance Provider and Third-Party Verifier Program?

*Question 5:* For any protocol(s) identified under Question 4:

(a) Has the protocol resulted in the generation and sale of credits? If yes, when was the most recent year and volume of credit generation and retirement? If not, is there evidence that the protocol will generate credits (e.g., projects are under development)?

(b) What is the average size (in acres, hectares, or another relevant unit) of projects using the protocol?

(c) What is the average credit issuance per unit land area (acre or hectare) for projects using the protocol, inclusive of credits that are contributed to a buffer pool?

(d) Does the protocol reduce the cost, paperwork, and/or reporting burden for smaller, diversified, or underserved farmers, ranchers, or private forest landowners, while maintaining reliability of offsets? If yes, how?

(e) Does the protocol allow multiple entities to aggregate into a single project? If yes, what are the parameters for aggregation and is there evidence that aggregation has successfully occurred?

(f) Does the registry administering the protocol use a fee structure that allows for aggregated entities to pay a single project fee, or does each entity need to pay a project fee?

(g) What are the verification requirements in the protocol, including recordkeeping requirements?

(h) Does the protocol require on-site verification? If yes, does the protocol require 100% on-site verification, or does the protocol specify a procedure for determining an on-site verification sample group? What is required as part of the on-site verification? Does the protocol allow remote verification methods/technologies (e.g., remote sensing)?

(i) Does the protocol include a risk management approach for determining which data inputs or project sites are required for third-party verification? If yes, what does the risk management approach require?

(j) Does the protocol allow for simplified measurement, monitoring, reporting, and verification (MMRV) processes? If yes, are there requirements or restrictions for using the simplified MMRV processes?

(k) What quantification methodology(ies) does the protocol require for quantification of emissions reductions and/or removals? What scientific evidence is available to support these methodologies?

(l) For protocols where models are required to quantify emissions, is there a process for model review and approval prior to use by prospective projects? Can approved models be used by any project or are they specific to a project developer?

(m) If models are allowed for quantification of emissions reductions, are models required to have gone through scientific review, parameterization, calibration, and validation to demonstrate performance for the practices on the relevant crops and/or species in the geography of the project? Does the protocol provide clear guidance on where eligible models can be applied?

(n) What does the protocol require or allow for determining a project baseline?

(o) How does the registry administering the protocol restrict the potential double counting of credits?

(p) Does the protocol require projects to quantify and report uncertainty associated with greenhouse gas calculations?

(q) Has the protocol generated credits which were later cancelled due to issues of credit integrity or validity?

(r) For project categories where reversals (i.e., the intentional or unintentional release of sequestered carbon for which credits have been issued) are possible, does the protocol contain procedures to maintain net GHG impact?

(s) Where is information about the protocol made publicly available?

*Question 6:* How should USDA evaluate technical assistance providers (TAP)? What should be the minimum qualifications, certifications, and/or expertise for a TAP to qualify for listing under the Program?

*Question 7:* Should the qualifications and/or registration process be different for entities and individuals that seek to register as a TAP?

*Questions 8:* What should be the minimum qualifications and expertise for a third-party verifier to qualify for registration under the Program?

(Authority: Pub. L. 117–328, div. HH, title I, section 201)

**Melissa Bailey,**

*Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2024–11424 Filed 5–28–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2024–1470; Project Identifier MCAI–2023–01284–T]

RIN 2120–AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; MHI RJ Aviation ULC (Type Certificate Previously Held by Bombardier, Inc.) Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain MHI RJ Aviation ULC Model CL–600–2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701 & 702), CL–600–2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705), and CL–600–2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by a report that the electrical harnesses in the overhead bin above the class divider may have insufficient or no separation with the class divider mounting plate. This proposed AD would require inspecting the overhead bin electrical harnesses at the class dividers, and modifying the class divider mounting plate assembly or accomplishing a temporary repair if necessary; and, eventually modifying the class divider mounting plate assembly if a modification was not done after accomplishing the inspection, as specified in a Transport Canada AD, which is proposed for incorporation by reference (IBR). The FAA is proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** The FAA must receive comments on this proposed AD by July 15, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax:* 202–493–2251.

- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.

- *Hand Delivery:* Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

*AD Docket:* You may examine the AD docket at [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) under Docket No. FAA–2024–1470; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and

5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this NPRM, the mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI), any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations is listed above.

**Material Incorporated by Reference:**

- For Transport Canada material, contact Transport Canada, Transport Canada National Aircraft Certification, 159 Cleopatra Drive, Nepean, Ontario K1A 0N5, Canada; telephone 888-663-3639; email [TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca](mailto:TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca).

You may find this material on the Transport Canada website [tc.canada.ca/en/aviation](http://tc.canada.ca/en/aviation).

- You may view this service information at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th Street, Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206-231-3195.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Fatin Saumik, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516-228-7300; email: [9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov](mailto:9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

The FAA invites you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include “Docket No. FAA-2024-1470; Project Identifier MCAI-2023-01284-T” at the beginning of your comments. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. The FAA will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposal because of those comments.

Except for Confidential Business Information (CBI) as described in the following paragraph, and other information as described in 14 CFR 11.35, the FAA will post all comments received, without change, to [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov), including any personal information you provide. The agency will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact received about this NPRM.

**Confidential Business Information**

CBI is commercial or financial information that is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner. Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552), CBI is exempt from public disclosure. If your

comments responsive to this NPRM contain commercial or financial information that is customarily treated as private, that you actually treat as private, and that is relevant or responsive to this NPRM, it is important that you clearly designate the submitted comments as CBI. Please mark each page of your submission containing CBI as “PROPIN.” The FAA will treat such marked submissions as confidential under the FOIA, and they will not be placed in the public docket of this NPRM. Submissions containing CBI should be sent to Fatin Saumik, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516-228-7300; email: [9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov](mailto:9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov). Any commentary that the FAA receives which is not specifically designated as CBI will be placed in the public docket for this rulemaking.

**Background**

Transport Canada, which is the aviation authority for Canada, has issued Transport Canada AD CF-2023-79, dated December 21, 2023 (Transport Canada AD CF-2023-79) (also referred to after this as the MCAI), to correct an unsafe condition on certain MHI RJ Aviation ULC Model CL-600-2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701 & 702), CL-600-2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705), and CL-600-2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) airplanes. The MCAI states that the electrical harnesses in the overhead bin above the class divider may have insufficient or no separation with the class divider mounting plate. This condition, if not corrected, could result in the electrical harnesses becoming chafed, which could affect the following aircraft systems: ordinance signs, emergency lights/signs, passenger oxygen, and passenger address and air conditioning systems.

The FAA is proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

You may examine the MCAI in the AD docket at [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov) under Docket No. FAA-2024-1470.

**Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51**

Transport Canada AD CF-2023-79 specifies procedures for inspecting the left-hand and right-hand overhead bin electrical harnesses at the class divider for damage (e.g., chafing), and if damage is found, modifying the class divider mounting plate assembly to improve the overhead bin harnesses protection or accomplishing a temporary repair. Transport Canada AD CF-2023-79 also specifies procedures for eventually modifying the class divider mounting

plate assembly if a modification was not done after accomplishing the inspection (i.e., if a temporary repair was done or if no damage was found after accomplishing the inspection). This material is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the **ADDRESSES** section.

**FAA’s Determination**

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to the FAA’s bilateral agreement with this State of Design Authority, it has notified the FAA of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI referenced above. The FAA is issuing this NPRM after determining that the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

**Proposed AD Requirements in This NPRM**

This proposed AD would require accomplishing the actions specified in the Transport Canada AD CF-2023-79 described previously, except for any differences identified as exceptions in the regulatory text of this proposed AD.

**Explanation of Required Compliance Information**

In the FAA’s ongoing efforts to improve the efficiency of the AD process, the FAA developed a process to use some civil aviation authority (CAA) ADs as the primary source of information for compliance with requirements for corresponding FAA ADs. The FAA has been coordinating this process with manufacturers and CAAs. As a result, the FAA proposes to incorporate Transport Canada AD CF-2023-79 by reference in the FAA final rule. This proposed AD would, therefore, require compliance with Transport Canada AD CF-2023-79 in its entirety through that incorporation, except for any differences identified as exceptions in the regulatory text of this proposed AD. Service information required by Transport Canada AD CF-2023-79 for compliance will be available at [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov) under Docket No. FAA-2024-1470 after the FAA final rule is published.

**Costs of Compliance**

The FAA estimates that this AD, if adopted as proposed, would affect 246 airplanes of U.S. registry. The FAA estimates the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR REQUIRED ACTIONS

Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product	Cost on U.S. operators
3 work-hours × \$85 per hour = \$255 .....	\$0	\$255 .....	\$62,730.
Up to 9 work-hours × \$85 per hour = \$765 .....	366	Up to 1,131 .....	Up to 278,226.

The FAA estimates the following costs to do any necessary on-condition actions that would be required based on

the results of any required actions. The FAA has no way of determining the

number of aircraft that might need these on-condition actions:

ESTIMATED COSTS OF ON-CONDITION ACTIONS \*

Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product
Up to 9 work-hours × \$85 per hour = \$765 .....	\$366	Up to \$1,131.

\* The FAA has received no definitive data on which to base the cost estimates for the on-condition optional temporary repair specified in this proposed AD.

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

The FAA is issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements. Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

**Regulatory Findings**

The FAA determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

- (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Would not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (3) Would not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

- 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

- 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**MHI RJ Aviation ULC (Type Certificate Previously Held by Bombardier, Inc.):**  
Docket No. FAA–2024–1470; Project Identifier MCAI–2023–01284–T.

**(a) Comments Due Date**

The FAA must receive comments on this airworthiness directive (AD) by July 15, 2024.

**(b) Affected ADs**

None.

**(c) Applicability**

This AD applies to MHI RJ Aviation ULC (type certificate previously held by Bombardier, Inc.) Model CL–600–2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701 & 702), CL–600–2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705), and CL–600–2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) airplanes, certificated in any category, as identified in Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79, dated December 21, 2023 (Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79), except for Group 3 and Group 5 airplanes identified Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79.

**Note 1 to paragraph (c):** Group 3 airplanes that are modified as specified in Service Bulletin 670BA–25–110 become Group 2

airplanes as identified in Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79.

**Note 2 to paragraph (c):** Group 5 airplanes that are modified as specified in Service Bulletin 670BA–25–057 become Group 4 airplanes as identified in Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79.

**(d) Subject**

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 25, Equipment/furnishings.

**(e) Unsafe Condition**

This AD was prompted by a report that the electrical harnesses in the overhead bin above the class divider may have insufficient or no separation with the class divider mounting plate. The FAA is issuing this AD to address possible chafing of the electrical harness with the class divider mounting plate. The unsafe condition, if not addressed, could result in the electrical harnesses becoming chafed, which could affect the following aircraft systems: ordinance signs, emergency lights/signs, passenger oxygen, and passenger address and air conditioning systems.

**(f) Compliance**

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

**(g) Requirements**

Except as specified in paragraph (h) of this AD: Comply with all required actions and compliance times specified in, and in accordance with, Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79.

**(h) Exception To Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79**

(1) Where Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79 refers to its effective date or August 7, 2023 (the effective date of Transport Canada AD CF–2023–56, dated July 24, 2023), this AD requires using the effective date of this AD.

(2) Where Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79 refers to hours air time, this AD requires using flight hours.

(3) Where paragraph A. of Part I of Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79, specifies “and, modify as required,” for this AD,

replace that text with “and, before further flight, modify as required.”

(4) Where any MHIRJ service information referenced in Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79 differs from any Safran service information referenced in Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79, the MHIRJ service information takes precedence over the Safran service information because the initial revision of the Safran service information does not list all affected part numbers.

#### (i) Additional AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)*: The Manager, International Validation Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or responsible Flight Standards Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the International Validation Branch, mail it to the address identified in paragraph (j) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: [9-AVS-NYACO-COS@faa.gov](mailto:9-AVS-NYACO-COS@faa.gov). Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the responsible Flight Standards Office.

(2) *Contacting the Manufacturer*: For any requirement in this AD to obtain instructions from a manufacturer, the instructions must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, International Validation Branch, FAA; or Transport Canada; or MHIRJ Aviation ULC’s Transport Canada Design Approval Organization (DAO). If approved by the DAO, the approval must include the DAO-authorized signature.

#### (j) Additional Information

For more information about this AD, contact Fatin Saumik, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516–228–7300; email: [9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov](mailto:9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov).

#### (k) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.

(i) Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79, dated December 21, 2023.

(ii) [Reserved]

(3) Transport Canada AD CF–2023–79, contact Transport Canada, Transport Canada National Aircraft Certification, 159 Cleopatra Drive, Nepean, Ontario K1A 0N5, Canada; telephone 888–663–3639; email [TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca](mailto:TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca). You may find this Transport Canada AD on the Transport Canada website [tc.canada.ca/en/aviation](http://tc.canada.ca/en/aviation).

(4) You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th Street, Des Moines, WA. For information on the

availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

(5) You may view this material at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, visit [www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations](http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations), or email [fr.inspection@nara.gov](mailto:fr.inspection@nara.gov).

Issued on May 15, 2024.

#### Victor Wicklund,

Deputy Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2024–11005 Filed 5–28–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2024–1556; Airspace Docket No. 24–ASW–12]

RIN 2120–AA66

#### Establishment of Class E Airspace; Langtry, TX

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to establish Class E airspace at Langtry, TX. The FAA is proposing this action to support new instrument procedures at this airport.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 15, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments identified by FAA Docket No. FAA–2024–1556 and Airspace Docket No. 24–ASW–12 using any of the following methods:

*Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and follow the online instruction for sending your comments electronically.

*Mail:* Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

*Hand Delivery or Courier:* Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

*Fax:* Fax comments to Docket Operations at (202) 493–2251.

*Docket:* Background documents or comments received may be read at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) at any time.

Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to Docket

Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FAA Order JO 7400.11H, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at [www.faa.gov/air\\_traffic/publications/](http://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/). You may also contact the Rules and Regulations Group, Office of Policy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Raul Garza Jr., Federal Aviation Administration, Operations Support Group, Central Service Center, 10101 Hillwood Parkway, Fort Worth, TX 76177; telephone (817) 222–5874.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it would establish Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at 4M Ranch Airfield, Langtry, TX, to support instrument flight rule (IFR) operations at this airport.

#### Comments Invited

The FAA invites interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments, data, or views. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. To ensure the docket does not contain duplicate comments, commenters should submit only one time if comments are filed electronically, or commenters should send only one copy of written comments if comments are filed in writing.

The FAA will file in the docket all comments it receives, as well as a report