

Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** *Date of required notice:* May 9, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sean Robinson, 202-268-8405.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on April 30, 2024, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express Contract 101 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket Nos. MC2024-261, CP2024-267.

**Sean Robinson,**

*Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.*

[FR Doc. 2024-10104 Filed 5-8-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Product Change—Priority Mail and USPS Ground Advantage® Negotiated Service Agreement

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** *Date of required notice:* May 9, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sean Robinson, 202-268-8405.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on May 3, 2024, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *USPS Request to Add Priority Mail & USPS Ground Advantage® Contract 245 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket Nos. MC2024-272, CP2024-278.

**Sean Robinson,**

*Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.*

[FR Doc. 2024-10103 Filed 5-8-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Product Change—Priority Mail and USPS Ground Advantage® Negotiated Service Agreement

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** *Date of required notice:* May 9, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sean Robinson, 202-268-8405.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on May 3, 2024, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *USPS Request to Add Priority Mail & USPS Ground Advantage® Contract 241 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket Nos. MC2024-268, CP2024-274.

**Sean Robinson,**

*Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.*

[FR Doc. 2024-10099 Filed 5-8-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-100060; File No. SR-Phlx-2024-18]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Fee Schedule at Equity 7, Section 3 To Implement a Market Data Revenue Rebate Program

May 3, 2024.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on April 25, 2024, Nasdaq PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule at Equity 7, Section 3 to implement a Market Data Revenue Rebate program, as described further below.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/phlx/rules>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

#### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

##### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule at Equity 7, Section 3 to adopt a Market Data Revenue (“MDR”) Rebate program for Nasdaq PSX.<sup>3</sup> In sum, the proposed MDR Rebate program calls for 40% of MDR that exceeds fixed thresholds in any one of two pools (“Excess MDR”) to be shared with PSX Participants in proportion to their respective eligible quoting activity in Tape A and C securities, as described further below. The proposed MDR Rebate program is designed to improve displayed liquidity and promote order flow to the Exchange by offering an incentive for market participants to quote on the Exchange.

##### Background

The Securities Information Processors (“SIPs”), which include the Unlisted Trading Privileges and the Consolidated Tape Association, collect fees from

<sup>3</sup> The Exchange initially filed the proposed pricing change on April 1, 2024 (SR-Phlx-2024-16). On April 15, 2024, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted SR-Phlx-2024-17. On April 25, 2024, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted this filing.

subscribers for trade and quote tape data received from trading centers and reporting facilities, such as the Exchange (collectively “SIP Participants”). After deducting the cost of operating each tape, the profits are allocated among the SIP Participants on a quarterly basis, according to a complex set of calculations that consider estimates of anticipated MDR, adjustments to comport to actual MDR from previous quarters and a non-linear aggregation of total trading and quoting activity in Tape A, B and C securities in attributing MDR to each SIP Participant. Based on these calculations, the SIPs provide MDR payments to each SIP Participant during the first month of each quarter for trade and quote data from the previous calendar quarter, which are subject to adjustment through subsequent quarterly payments. These payments can be divided into six pools (*i.e.*, trade and quote activity in Tape A, B and C securities).

#### Proposed PSX MDR Rebate Program

As the Exchange does not currently share MDR with Participants, the Exchange now proposes to implement a PSX MDR Rebate program to share MDR attributed to quote activity only by adopting a PSX MDR Rebate program in Equity 7, Section 3.

Specifically, proposed Section (a) provides that, assuming that the requirements of this PSX MDR Rebate Section are met, a PSX Participant may receive a quarterly MDR rebate in proportion to the PSX Participant’s quoting of displayed orders in Tape A and C securities from the previous calendar quarter (“MDR Rebate”), as described further in Section (e).

Proposed Section (b) provides that, to qualify for the MDR Rebate, a PSX Participant must quote at the National Best Bid or Offer (“NBBO”) at least 25% of the time during Market Hours in an average of at least 250 securities for Tape A securities or at least 300 securities for Tape C securities through the PSX Participant’s MPID. A PSX Participant is considered to be quoting at the NBBO if the PSX Participant’s MPID quotes a displayed order of at least 100 shares in the security and prices the order at either the national best bid or the national best offer or both the national best bid and offer for the security. To qualify for the MDR Rebate, the PSX Participant must meet the requirement for an average of at least 250 securities for Tape A securities or at least 300 securities for Tape C securities per day over the course of the quarter.

Proposed Section (c) provides that MDR will be calculated separately for

quotes in each Tape A and C security, for a total of two MDR pools. If the MDR received by the Exchange in any given pool exceeds the following thresholds in any given calendar quarter, 40% of such excess MDR will be payable to PSX Participants in proportion to their respective quoting of displayed orders in that pool:

Tape A	Tape C
\$110,000	\$200,000

The proposed thresholds were selected based on historical data of PSX’s quoting revenue from Q2 2023–Q4 2023. The dollar values represent the amount of MDR that must be paid to the Exchange by the SIPs before the Excess MDR would be eligible for distribution.

The Exchange proposes to adopt two of the six MDR pools utilized by the SIPs, excluding the pools for trading activity and the pool for quoting activity in Tape B, and attributing the proposed MDR Rebates to PSX Participants for quote activity in Tapes A and C. Currently, PSX Participants are most actively quoting Tape B securities on PSX. The Exchange proposes to establish the MDR Rebates for quoting activity in Tapes A and C because the Exchange wants to encourage increased quoting at the NBBO for Tapes A and C.

Section (d) provides a *de minimis* requirement that states that a PSX Participant will not receive an MDR Rebate in any calendar quarter in which the total MDR Rebate attributed to the PSX Participant is less than \$500. If a PSX Participant is eligible for MDR Rebates from both pools, the PSX Participant will be eligible to receive an MDR rebate equal to the sum of the rebates. However, if the sum of the rebates is less than \$500, the PSX Participant will not receive a payment and the rebate will be kept by the Exchange. The purpose of the *de minimis* requirement is to encourage significant quote activity and for the Exchange to avoid having to pay PSX Participants for *de minimis* Excess MDR.<sup>4</sup>

In attributing eligible quote activity to PSX Participants, the Exchange proposes to utilize a set of calculations similar to those used by the SIPs in allocating MDR to SIP Participants. Section (e) of the proposed rule language describes the steps for calculating MDR Rebates:

<sup>4</sup> For example, it would be unduly burdensome to the Exchange to calculate and pay MDR Rebates to PSX Participants if the total Excess MDR of all the pools was \$4000 and ten PSX Participants were each attributed \$400 in rebates.

*Step 1.* Calculate, on a daily basis (per MPID), the product of three factors: number of shares in the quotation, the duration of the quotation at the NBBO (for both the bid and the offer), and the price of the security.

*Step 2.* For each security, sum the daily values from Step 1 across the quarter, the sum of which represents the PSX Participant’s quote credits (per MPID) in each security.

*Step 3.* For each security, sum all PSX Participants’ quote credits to obtain the total quote credits available per security.

*Step 4.* Divide each PSX Participant’s quote credits (per MPID) (from Step 2) into the total quote credits available per security (from Step 3) to obtain a Participant’s percentage of the security they are quoting (per MPID).

*Step 5.* Calculate the income allocation weight for each security based on the share of revenue allocated to the symbol by the SIP that quarter.

*Step 6.* For each security, multiply a PSX Participant’s percentage of security they are quoting (per MPID) (from Step 4) by the income allocation weight of the security (from Step 5).

*Step 7.* For each PSX Participant’s MPID, sum the values calculated in Step 6 across all securities in the pool (*i.e.*, in the same Tape) to obtain the PSX Participant’s allocation percentage for the excess MDR in the pool.

*Step 8.* For each PSX Participant with eligible quote activity in the pool, multiply the PSX Participant’s allocation percentage (from Step 7) by the excess MDR in the pool to determine the dollar amount of the PSX Participant’s MDR Rebate in the pool.

As for calculating the pool of funds from which MDR Rebates will be paid, unlike the SIPs, the Exchange will derive MDR Rebate allocation from a fixed value that will not be subject to adjustment (*i.e.*, the amount of MDR actually received by the Exchange on a quarterly basis). This avoids the problem of having to adjust MDR rebates that have already been paid to PSX Participants to comport to adjustments to MDR made by the SIPs.<sup>5</sup>

The following *Example*, which the Exchange provides in the proposed rule language, illustrates how Excess MDR will be calculated and distributed:

*Step 1.* On the first day of the quarter, PSX Participant A earns 59,000 quote

<sup>5</sup> For example, if MDR paid to the Exchange was less than anticipated in Q3 2024 due to an adjustment to the MDR paid to the Exchange in Q2 2024 (*i.e.*, actual MDR in Q2 fell short of estimates), the Exchange will not recoup the difference from the PSX Participants that had been paid the Q2 MDR Rebate. Instead, the MDR Rebate for Q3 will be calculated based on the actual MDR paid to the Exchange in Q3.

credits in MPID 1 for Security X (a Tape C security): 59 seconds x \$10 x 100 shares.

Step 2. Assume PSX Participant A earns 4,000,000 quote credits for Security X in MPID 1 after summing its daily quote credits across the quarter.

Step 3. Assume there are five PSX Participants (i.e., Participants A, B, C, D and E) that had eligible quote activity in Security X during the quarter. The quarterly quote credits for Security X are as follows:

Participant	Security X Quote Credits
A .....	4,000,000
B .....	1,000,000
C .....	3,500,000
D .....	2,500,000
E .....	5,000,000
Total .....	16,000,000

Step 4. PSX Participant A's percentage of Security X it quoted is 25%: 4,000,000/16,000,000.

Step 5. Assume the SIP allocated revenue of \$360,000 to Security X for the quarter and \$36,000,000 to all securities in the Tape C pool for the quarter. The income allocation weight for security X is 1%: \$360,000/\$36,000,000.

Step 6. PSX Participant A's allocation percentage for the excess MDR in Security X in MPID 1 is 0.25%: 25% x 1%.

Step 7. Assume, after summing the allocation percentage calculated in Step 6 across all securities in the Tape C pool, PSX Participant A's allocation percentage is 2.5% in MPID 1.

Step 8. Assume PSX Participant A quoted at the NBBO at least 25% of the time during Market Hours in an average of at least 300 securities in Tape C through MPID 1, in accordance with section (b) above.

The following table represents the proposed MDR pool thresholds:

Tape A	Tape C
\$110,000	\$200,000

Under this Example, assume that the quarterly MDR paid to the Exchange is apportioned as follows:

Tape A	Tape C
\$110,000	\$350,000

Under this Example, the Tape C pool has excess MDR in the amount of \$150,000. However, the Tape A pool has no excess MDR because the actual MDR received in the Tape A pool was equal to its \$110,000 threshold. Thus, PSX

Participants may be paid MDR Rebates for attributed eligible quoting activity from 40% of the excess MDR in the Tape C pool, which is \$60,000.

The attributed MDR for PSX Participant A in MPID 1 is \$1,500: 2.5% x 60,000. Since the attributed MDR is greater than \$500, PSX Participant A would receive an MDR payment in the amount of \$1,500.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>6</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>7</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange's proposed changes to its schedule of credits are reasonable in several respects. As a threshold matter, the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in the market for equity securities transaction services that constrain its pricing determinations in that market. The fact that this market is competitive has long been recognized by the courts. In *NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' . . . As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers' . . ."<sup>8</sup>

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in

promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."<sup>9</sup>

Numerous indicia demonstrate the competitive nature of this market. For example, clear substitutes to the Exchange exist in the market for equity security transaction services. The Exchange is only one of several equity venues to which market participants may direct their order flow. Competing equity exchanges offer similar tiered pricing structures to that of the Exchange, including schedules of rebates and fees that apply based upon members achieving certain volume thresholds.

Within this environment, market participants can freely and often do shift their order flow among the Exchange and competing venues in response to changes in their respective pricing schedules. As such, the proposal represents a reasonable attempt by the Exchange to increase its liquidity and market share relative to its competitors.

The Exchange believes it is reasonable, equitable, and not unfairly discriminatory for the Exchange to adopt a PSX MDR Rebate program that provides for sharing of Excess MDR with PSX Participants in proportion to their respective eligible quoting activity in Tape A and C securities, as described above. The Exchange believes the proposal is reasonable as it will provide an incentive for PSX Participants to increase quoting in displayed liquidity in Tape A and C securities on the Exchange. An increase in displayed liquidity and order flow to the Exchange will, in turn, improve the quality of the market and increase its attractiveness to existing and prospective participants. In addition, the proposal is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory as the proposal would equitably allocate MDR Rebates among PSX Participants by paying MDR Rebates according to the total quoting activity in Tape A and C securities attributable to a PSX Participant in any given calendar quarter. The MDR Rebates are available to all PSX Participants.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

<sup>8</sup> *NetCoalition v. SEC*, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782-83 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21)).

<sup>9</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) ("Regulation NMS Adopting Release").

### Intramarket Competition

The Exchange does not believe that its proposal will place any category of Exchange participant at a competitive disadvantage.

As noted above, the Exchange's proposal is intended to have market-improving effects, by increasing displayed liquidity and order flow to the Exchange, to the benefit of all participants. The Exchange notes that its participants are free to trade on other venues to the extent they believe that the proposal is not attractive. As one can observe by looking at any market share chart, price competition between exchanges is fierce, with liquidity and market share moving freely between exchanges in reaction to fee and credit changes.

### Intermarket Competition

In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its credits and fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own credits and fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which credit or fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. The proposal is reflective of this competition.

Even the largest U.S. equities exchange by volume has less than 20% market share, which in most markets could hardly be categorized as having enough market power to burden competition. Moreover, as noted above, price competition between exchanges is fierce, with liquidity and market share moving freely between exchanges in reaction to fee and credit changes. This is in addition to free flow of order flow to and among off-exchange venues which comprises upwards of 50% of industry volume.

In sum, if the change proposed herein is unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed change will impair the ability of members or competing order

execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

### C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.<sup>10</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include file number SR-Phlx-2024-18 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to file number SR-Phlx-2024-18. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-Phlx-2024-18, and should be submitted on or before May 30, 2024.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>11</sup>

**J. Matthew DeLesDernier,**  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-10081 Filed 5-8-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-100061; File No. SR-Phlx-2024-22]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Trade Now Order Attribute, at Equity 4, Rule 3301B and Rule 3301A

May 3, 2024.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on April 30, 2024, Nasdaq PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

<sup>11</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.