

Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services for contacting Ms. McIntosh. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The BLM has prepared the Ambler Road Supplemental EIS in response to an application for an industrial road right-of-way (ROW) in north-central Alaska across Federal public lands and other lands. The area involved lies south of the Brooks Range, north of the Yukon River, west of the Dalton Highway, and east of the Purcell Mountains. The Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority, a public corporation of the State of Alaska, is the applicant.

The road was initially analyzed in an EIS published in March 2020, and a BLM ROW was approved in a Joint Record of Decision (JROD) issued in July 2020. In May 2022, in two lawsuits challenging the JROD and associated environmental analyses, the U.S. District Court for the District of Alaska (District Court) granted a voluntary remand at the request of the Department of the Interior (DOI) due to, among other things, deficiencies in the BLM's analysis of subsistence impacts under the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) section 810, and in the consultation with Tribes conducted pursuant to section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). In the motion for voluntary remand, the DOI committed to address these issues, including the identified legal deficiencies, consider new information about declines in salmon and caribou populations, reconsider the appropriate scope of the area of potential effects for purposes of the NHPA, and supplement the EIS, as appropriate, to more thoroughly assess the impacts and resources identified as areas of concern in the two lawsuits challenging the remanded JROD.

The Final Supplemental EIS analyzes: the No Action Alternative; Alternative A, the applicant's 211 mile-long proposed road alignment beginning at Mile 161 of the Dalton Highway, extending west, and ending at the Ambler River; Alternative B, which starts and ends in the same location as Alternative A but follows a shorter route through Gates of the Arctic National Preserve; and Alternative C, which starts at Mile 59.5 of the Dalton Highway and extends 332 miles

northwest, ending at the Ambler River. The BLM has identified the No Action Alternative as its preferred alternative.

Section 810 of ANILCA requires the BLM to evaluate the effects of the alternatives presented in the Supplemental EIS on subsistence uses and needs and to hold public hearings if it finds that any alternative may significantly restrict subsistence uses. The BLM found in the evaluation of subsistence impacts that Alternatives A, B, and C and the cumulative case as analyzed in the Draft Supplemental EIS may significantly restrict subsistence uses in multiple communities. Therefore, the BLM held public hearings on subsistence resources and activities in conjunction with the public meetings on the Draft Supplemental EIS in the vicinity of potentially affected communities. In consideration of public comments received on the Draft Supplemental EIS and at the public hearings, BLM revised the ANILCA Section 810 evaluation, published as Appendix M of the Final Supplemental EIS, but did not change its "may significantly restrict subsistence uses" findings for the identified communities.

The input of Alaska Native Tribes and Corporations was of critical importance to this Supplemental EIS. Therefore, during the NEPA process, the BLM consulted with potentially affected federally recognized Tribes on a government-to-government basis and with affected Alaska Native Corporations in accordance with Executive Order 13175, as well as Pub. L. 108–199, Div. H, sec. 161, 118 Stat. 452, as amended by Pub. L. 108–447, Div. H, sec. 518, 118 Stat. 3267, and other Department and Bureau policies.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6(b).

Steven M. Cohn,

State Director, Alaska.

[FR Doc. 2024–08965 Filed 4–25–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4331–10–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1399]

Certain Fiber-Optic Connectors, Adapters, Jump Cables, Patch Cords, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on

March 22, 2024, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of U.S. Conec, Ltd. of Hickory, North Carolina. Supplements to the complaint were filed on April 12, 2024. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain fiber-optic connectors, adapters, jump cables, patch cords, products containing the same, and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 11,733,466 ("the '466 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 11,808,994 ("the '994 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 11,906,794 ("the '794 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 11,880,075 ("the '075 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 11,385,415 ("the '415 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 10,495,823 ("the '823 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a general exclusion order, or in the alternative a limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2024).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on April 22, 2024, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation is instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–3, 6, 7, and 14–17 of the '466 patent; claims 1–7 and 11–13 of the '994 patent; claims 1–3, 5, 6, 9, 12, and 16–18 of the '794 patent; claims 1, 5, 8–10, 12, 15, and 17–21 of the '075 patent; claims 1, 3, 5, and 12–14 of the '415 patent; and claims 1–5 and 8–10 of the '823 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "fiber-optic connectors, fiber-optic adapters, fiber-optic interconnects, fiber-optic cables, fiber-optic patch cables, fiber-optic cords, and fiber-optic patch cords, including any of the foregoing sold under the monikers SN, SN-MT, SN EZ-Flip, and MPO Plus";

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

US Conec, Ltd., 1138 25th Street SE,
Hickory, NC 28602

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Senko Advance Co., Ltd., 510-0833 2-5-23 Nakagawara, Yokkaichi City, Mie Prefecture, Japan

Senko Advanced Components, Inc., 2 Cabot Road, Suite 103, Hudson, MA 01749

Eaton Corp., 30 Pembroke Road, Dublin 4, Ireland D04 Y0C2

Tripp Lite Holdings, Inc., 10000 Woodward Avenue, Woodridge, IL 60517

FS.com Inc., 380 Centerpoint Boulevard, New Castle, DE 19720

Infinite Electronics, Inc., 17792 Fitch, Irvine, CA 92614

L-com, Inc., 50 High Street, West Mill, Suite 30, North Andover, MA 01845

Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd., 4-5-33, Kitahama, Chuo-ku, 541-0041, Osaka, Japan

Sumitomo Electric Lightwave Corp., 201 South Rogers Lane, Suite 100, Raleigh, NC 27610

Sumitomo Electric U.S.A., Inc., 21241 S Western Avenue, Suite 120, Torrance, CA 90501

EZconn Corp., 13F, No. 27-8, Zhongzheng E. Rd., Sec. 2, New Taipei City, 25170 Taiwan

Flexoptix GmbH, Muehlstr. 153, 64297, Darmstadt, Germany

Changzhou Co-Net Electronic Technology Co., Ltd., 3rd Floor, Building 3, No. 92, Renmin East Road, Yaoguan Town, Economic Development Zone, 213161 Changzhou, Jiangsu, China

Shenzhen UnitekFiber Solution Ltd., 8F, Datang Shidai Building, No. 2203, Meilong Road, Longhua District, Shenzhen, Guangdong province, China

Hubbell Inc., 40 Waterview Drive, Shelton, CT 06484

Hubbell Premise Wiring, Inc., 40 Waterview Drive, Shelton, CT 06484

Shenzhen IH Optics Co., Ltd., G608-609, Baoanzhigu, Yintian Rd., Xixiang, Baoan Dist., Shenzhen, China 518126

Rayoptic Communication Co., Ltd, Floor 3, Building E, Dahong Science And Technology Park, No. B-10, Baihua Community, Guangming Street, Guangming New District, Shenzhen, China

HuNan Surfiber Technology Co., Ltd., 3rd Floor, Building A8, Desiqin Venture Street, No. 686 Yingxin Road, l Yuhua District, Changsha, Hunan, China

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 22, 2024.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024-08940 Filed 4-25-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On April 22, 2024, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of California in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. City of San Diego, San Diego Unified Port District, and San Diego County Regional Airport Authority*, Civil Action No. 3:23-cv-00541-LL-BGS.

The Consent Decree resolves claims against the San Diego Unified Port District and the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority pursuant to section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act for reimbursement of response costs incurred for response actions taken in connection with the release of hazardous substances at the Installation Restoration Site 12, the Boat Channel Sediments Site, at the former Naval Training Center in San Diego, California. The proposed Consent Decree requires a payment by both parties collectively of \$2,412,029.89, in exchange for a covenant not to sue and contribution protection. The City of San Diego is not a party to the Consent Decree.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney