

III. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) (RFA) imposes certain requirements with respect to Federal rules that are subject to the notice and comment requirements of section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedures Act (5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.*) and that are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. See the NPRM for the initial regulatory flexibility analysis.

IV. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) requires that agencies assess anticipated costs and benefits and take certain other actions before issuing a final rule that includes any Federal mandate that may result in expenditures in any one year by a State, local, or Tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million (updated annually for inflation). This document does not include any Federal mandate that may result in expenditures by State, local, or Tribal governments, or by the private sector in excess of that threshold.

V. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

Executive Order 13132 (Federalism) prohibits an agency from publishing any rule that has federalism implications if the rule either imposes substantial, direct compliance costs on State and local governments, and is not required by statute, or preempts State law, unless the agency meets the consultation and funding requirements of section 6 of the Executive order. This document does not have federalism implications and does not impose substantial direct compliance costs on State and local governments or preempt State law within the meaning of the Executive order.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this document is the Office of the Associate Chief Counsel (Passthroughs and Special Industries). However, other personnel from the Treasury Department, the DOE, and the IRS participated in the development of the document.

Douglas W. O'Donnell,

Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2024-07644 Filed 4-10-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2024-0205]

RIN 1625-AA11

Regulated Navigation Area; Port of Miami, Miami, FL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of inquiry.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is seeking information and comments on a potential regulated navigation area for certain waters surrounding the Port of Miami. The current proposal in consideration would establish a slow speed zone throughout Fisherman's Channel and the Main Ship Channel for vessels less than 150 meters in length. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before May 13, 2024.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG-2024-0205 using the Federal Decision-Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this notice or study, call or email Mr. David Lieberman, Seventh Coast Guard District (dpw), U.S. Coast Guard; telephone (571) 608-3465, email David.L.Lieberman2@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
NOI Notice of Inquiry
§ Section
U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

The Captain of the Port (COTP) Miami has determined there has been an increase in navigational risk in certain waterways in proximity to the Port of Miami as the port continues to expand and vessel traffic increases. On May 10th and 11th of 2023, Coast Guard Navigation Center (NAVCEN) and Sector Miami held a Ports and Waterways Safety Assessment (PAWSA)

with key local stakeholders. As a result, the workshop identified hazards associated to the port, with the largest concern for navigational safety being the high speed of vessels and wake created by increased vessel traffic. The Coast Guard has received reports of an increasing number of incidents as vessel traffic has increased.

On June 25, 2023, around 3:30 a.m. a recreational vessel traveling at a high rate of speed through the Main ship channel collided with a vehicle ferry. This incident resulted in the loss of life as well as impact to the movement of passenger and cargo vessels in the Port of Miami over a 12-hour period. Additionally, on February 12, 2024, a recreational vessel collided with an inspected passenger vessel in Fisherman's Channel. This incident resulted in 13 injuries. With the creation of a regulated navigation area the Coast Guard intends to reduce the navigational risk associated with high-speed vessel operations in a densely trafficked waterway.

In addition to these incidents, the Port of Miami is expanding its cruise ship terminals and expects vessel and passenger throughput increases over the next several years. This increase in passenger service, accompanied by an increase in Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) bunkering operations in the port introduce a new set of operational risks in the area.

These risks, in combination with the criticality of this port to the local and regional economy, form the basis for evaluation of additional measures to enhance navigation safety. The establishment of a regulated navigation area is expected to promote improvements to vessel traffic management, reduce high speed operations and enhance navigation safety. The current proposal in consideration would establish a slow speed restriction on vessels less than 150 meters within the Port of Miami.

III. Information Requested

To aid us in further developing a proposed rule, we seek responses from waterway users to the following questions:

(1) Do you currently transit through Fisherman's Channel or the Main Channel in Biscayne Bay?

(2) How often do you transit this waterway?

(3) Is there a specific part of either channel that you find to be dangerous to navigate in?

(4) Has your vessel or other property ever been damaged as a result of vessel operations in this area?

(5) Is there a specific speed limitation you think would help reduce the risk of transiting through this area?

(6) Is there a specific part of either channel you think should require a reduced speed?

(7) Is there a specific part of either channel you think should not require a reduced speed?

(8) What challenges have you experienced when transiting this area due to increased vessel traffic?

(9) How would a speed restriction impact your ability to safely operate your vessel?

(10) Do you think other measures would be more appropriate than a speed restriction? If so, what measures would you propose?

(11) Are there any other factors you think the Coast Guard should consider before moving forward with this rulemaking?

IV. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We encourage you to submit comments in response to this NOI through the Federal Decision Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. To do so, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG-2024-0205 in the search box and click "Search." Next, look for this document in the Search Results column, and click on it. Then click on the Comment option. In your submission, please include the docket number for this notice of inquiry and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation. If your material cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov> contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

To view documents mentioned in this proposed rule as being available in the docket, find the docket as described in the previous paragraph, and then select "Supporting & Related Material" in the Document Type column. Public comments will also be placed in our online docket and can be viewed by following instructions on the <https://www.regulations.gov> Frequently Asked Questions web page. We review all comments received, but we may choose not to post off-topic, inappropriate, or duplicate comments that we receive. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to <https://www.regulations.gov> will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions in response to this

document, see DHS's eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

We may hold a public meeting, if necessary, to receive oral comments on this Notice of Inquiry and will announce the date, time, and location in a separate document published in the **Federal Register**. If you signed up for docket email alerts mentioned in the paragraph above, you will receive an email notice when the public meeting notice is published and placed in the docket.

This document is issued under authority of 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 46 U.S.C. 70034.

Dated: April 8, 2024.

Nicholas C. Seniuk,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Seventh Coast Guard District Waterways Management.

[FR Doc. 2024-07704 Filed 4-10-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

39 CFR Part 3030

[Docket No. RM2024-4; Order No. 7032]

RIN 3211-AA37

System for Regulating Rates and Classes for Market Dominant Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking seeks comment on the Commission's review of the system for regulating rates and classes for Market Dominant products (ratemaking system). The Commission previously reviewed the ratemaking system and adopted final rules via Order No. 5763. After the final rules took effect on January 14, 2021, the Postal Service filed five notices proposing to adjust rates for Market Dominant products, and Market Dominant volume and pieces have declined year-over-year. These declines and stakeholder concerns prompted the Commission to initiate another review of the ratemaking system. This document informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES:

Comments are due: July 9, 2024.

Reply comments are due: August 13, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit

comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives. The Rule Summary can be found on the Commission's Rule Summary Page at <https://www.prc.gov/rule-summary-page>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 503 and 3622(d)(3), the Commission finds that it is appropriate to initiate this proceeding to review the system for regulating rates and classes for Market Dominant products (collectively, "ratemaking system") to determine if the ratemaking system is achieving the objectives appearing in 39 U.S.C. 3622(b), taking into account the factors in 39 U.S.C. 3622(c). The Commission seeks comments regarding this review.

Interested persons are invited to provide written comments to facilitate the Commission's review of the ratemaking system. Commenters are encouraged to comment as generally or specifically as they deem appropriate. Below the Commission identifies specific topics on which it would particularly appreciate comment. However, commenters are not limited to addressing these identified topics—the Commission will consider all comments that fall within the scope of this proceeding.

1. Is the ratemaking system achieving the statutory objectives, while taking into account the statutory factors? Why or why not?

2. If the ratemaking system is not achieving the statutory objectives, while taking into account the statutory factors, should modifications be made or an alternative system be adopted to achieve the statutory objectives?

a. Why or why not?

b. If so, what modifications to the ratemaking system should be made or what alternative system should be adopted?

By the Commission.

Erica A. Barker,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-07635 Filed 4-10-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P