performance requirements for braking, particularly for disc brakes, which are used in e-bikes but are not included in the current bicycle standards?

22. Do e-bikes need different frame or other component requirements than non-powered bicycles?

23. What different performance standards, if any, should be required for three-wheeled e- bikes?

24. What requirements, if any, should the Commission consider for conspicuity, such as lights or other visibility and audibility of e-bikes? If so, what factors should the Commission take into consideration?

25. Is it appropriate to have marking, labeling, instructional literature, and/or packaging requirements specific to ebikes (especially for new riders)? If so, what are some important points that the Commission should include?

26. What should the Commission consider setting, if any, as minimum and/or maximum limits for acceleration?

27. What, if any, product weight requirements or limitations should the Commission consider for e-bikes?

28. What, if any, maximum and minimum width for e-bike tires should the Commission consider for e-bikes?

29. E-bikes are widely promoted and even subsidized by communities seeking to encourage adoption of sustainable forms of transportation. What performance requirements and warnings can help protect the safety of consumers, especially new riders (including seniors) and parents who are purchasing e-bikes for children?

30. Are there any performance requirements that should be implemented specifically for children's e-bikes such as speed, power, brakes, structural integrity, and conspicuity? If so, what should the age ranges be for those requirements?

31. CPSC is aware of ASTM work item, ASTM WK88946, *New Specification for Electric Powered Balance Bike*. Do electric balance bikes need different performance requirements than other e-bikes?

32. Should there be requirements such as: maximum speed; speed, weight, and throttle capabilities; pedal assist capabilities; or speed governors?

33. Other than the types of requirements noted above, what performance requirements should be considered to mitigate e-bike injuries and deaths?

34. What technologies exist to protect e-bike riders before, during, or after a collision—and how do those technologies affect the risks to riders? 35. Should there be maximum speed requirements for e-bikes intended for off-road use?

36. Should there be different protective gear recommendations for ebikes that are applicable to both children and adults, such as helmets?

37. What other domestic standards, state, and local requirements apply to ebikes and how should the Commission assess the adequacy of any such standards?

38. What other international standards govern e-bikes and how do those standards compare to current U.S. voluntary standards and statutory requirements?

E. Market Information

39. What percentage or share of the market or how many products are solely human powered, low-speed e-bikes, versus higher speed e-bikes and children's e-bikes including electric balance bikes?

40. How prevalent are three-wheeled e-bikes as a percentage or share of the market?

41. Under the existing bicycle industry classification system for ebikes, what is the breakdown of e-bikes sold (*i.e.*, Class 1, 2, and 3)? What information is there on e- bikes outside of the classification system or on children's e-bikes?

42. What types of safety equipment are consumers purchasing with e-bikes?

43. How many additional manufacturer labor hours (if any) are required to assemble/install safety equipment (signal lights, taillights, headlights, reflectors)?

44. How much additional time (if any) is required to manufacture an e-bike as compared to a non-powered bike?

45. How many e-bike conversion kits are sold per year in the U.S.?

46. Are e-bikes with higher top speeds (over 28 mph) marketed for off-road use currently being used on public roads, streets, or highways?

F. Economic Impacts

47. What are the potential benefits of a rule that would require warnings or instructions specific to e-bikes?

48. What are the potential benefits of a rule that would establish additional performance requirements for low-speed e-bikes or new performance requirements for non-low speed e-bikes or specifically for children's e-bikes, including electric balance bikes?

49. What are the potential costs and benefits associated with a mandatory rule for e-bikes?

50. What is the potential impact on small entities of a rule based on the options presented above?

51. What is the typical difference in cost to produce solely human-powered bikes, low- speed e-bikes, higher speed e-bikes, and children's e-bikes?

52. What is the manufacturer's cost to produce various safety features, including research and development costs, and components?

Comments and other submissions should be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided above. All comments and other submissions must be received by May 14, 2024.

Alberta E. Mills,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission. [FR Doc. 2024–05472 Filed 3–14–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R10-OAR-2023-0600; FRL-11593-03-R10]

Air Plan Approval; OR; Regional Haze Plan for the Second Implementation Period; Extension of the Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of the comment period.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is extending the comment period for the proposed rulemaking entitled "Air Plan Approval; OR; Regional Haze Plan for the Second Implementation Period" that published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 2024. The comment period is scheduled to close on March 25, 2024. However, in response to requests for additional time to develop and submit comments on the proposed rulemaking, the EPA is extending the comment period for an additional 30 days through April 24, 2024.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rulemaking published February 23, 2024 (89 FR 13622), is extended. Comments must be received on or before April 24, 2024. **ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-R10-OAR-2023-0600, through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Additional

instructions on commenting and visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at https://www.epa.gov/dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Hunt, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155, Seattle, WA 98101, at (206) 553-0256 or hunt.jeff@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document extends the public comment period established in the Federal Register of February 23, 2024 (89 FR 13622) (FRL-11593-01-R10) for 30 days, from March 25, 2024, to April 24, 2024.

This extension is in response to requests that the EPA received which asked for additional time to develop and submit comments on the proposed rulemaking. After considering several factors, the EPA believes it is appropriate to extend the comment period for 30 days to give stakeholders additional time to review the documents and prepare comments. As discussed in the Federal Register document of February 23, 2024 (89 FR 13622 (FRL-11593–01–R10)), the EPA is proposing approval of the regional haze state implementation plan revision submitted by Oregon on April 29, 2022, as supplemented on November 22, 2023, as satisfying applicable requirements under the Clean Air Act and the EPA's Regional Haze Rule for the program's second implementation period. If you have questions, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: March 8, 2024.

Casey Sixkiller,

Regional Administrator, Region 10. [FR Doc. 2024-05510 Filed 3-14-24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR PART 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2020-0055; FRL-11687-03-R5]

Air Plan Approval; Ohio; Withdrawal of **Technical Amendment**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is extending the comment period for a proposed rule published February 22, 2024.

DATES: The comment period is extended to April 24, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2020-0055 at https:// www.regulations.gov, or via email to arra.sarah@epa.gov. Additional instructions to comment can be found in the notice of proposed rulemaking published February 22, 2024 (89 FR 13304).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christos Panos, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8328, panos.christos@ epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 22, 2024, EPA proposed to determine that its November 19, 2020, final action to remove the Air Nuisance Rule (ANR) from the Ohio State Implementation Plan using the Clean Air Act error correction provision was in error, and to correct that action by reinstating the ANR as part of the Ohio SIP. In response to a request in a public comment, EPA is extending the comment period for 30 days.

Dated: March 8, 2024.

Debra Shore,

Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 2024-05448 Filed 3-14-24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

42 CFR Part 84

[Docket No. CDC-2024-0005; NIOSH-351]

RIN 0920-AA83

Approval Tests and Standards for **Combination Unit Respirators**

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Department of Health and Human Service (HHS) proposes to amend regulatory requirements that would be used by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) to test and

approve combination unit respirators. This rulemaking would establish this new class of respiratory protective device, combination unit respirators (CURs), by incorporating by reference the performance requirements established in the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) voluntary consensus standard NFPA 1987, Standard on Combination Unit Respirator Systems for Tactical and Technical Operations.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 14, 2024. Comments on the information collection approval request sought under the Paperwork Reduction Act must be received by May 14, 2024. ADDRESSES:

Written comments: Comments, including those related to the Paperwork Reduction Act, may be submitted by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments to the docket.

• Mail: NIOSH Docket Office, Robert A. Taft Laboratories, MS-C34, 1090 Tusculum Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45226.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, HHS) and docket number (CDC-2024-0005; NIOSH-351) or Regulation Identifier Number (0920-AA83) for this rulemaking. All relevant comments, including any personal information provided, will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov. Do not submit comments by email. CDC does not accept comments by email. For detailed instructions on submitting public comments, see the "Public Participation" heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of

this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey Peterson, NIOSH National Personal Protective Technology Laboratory (NPPTL), Pittsburgh, PA; (412) 386-6111 (this is not a toll-free number); email to *NIOSHregs@cdc.gov*. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Public Participation

Interested persons or organizations are invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written views, opinions, recommendations, and data. Comments received, including attachments and other supporting materials, are part of the public record and subject to public disclosure. Any information in comments or supporting materials that is confidential or inappropriate for public disclosure