

to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services for contacting Ms. Jardine. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DOE–LM filed an application with the BLM that requests the Secretary of the Interior to permanently withdraw and transfer administrative jurisdiction over the following described public lands and federally owned minerals, subject to valid existing rights. DOE–LM has requested that the land and minerals be withdrawn from location and entry under the United States mining laws, from leasing under the mineral or geothermal leasing laws, and from disposal under the mineral materials laws, subject to valid existing rights. Under UMTRCA, as amended by the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Amendments Act of 1988 (42 U.S.C. 7916), the Secretary of the Interior may make such permanent withdrawals and transfers of administrative jurisdiction. The Secretary's actions under UMTRCA are explicitly exempt from the withdrawal and transfer provisions of Section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended. The following public lands are requested for permanent withdrawal and jurisdictional transfer for long term maintenance and monitoring by the DOE–LM under applicable provisions of UMTRCA:

**New Mexico Principal Meridian,
Colorado**

T. 46 N., R. 16 W.,
sec. 34, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 35, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and
W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The area described contains 70 acres of public lands, according to the official plat of the survey of the said land on file with the BLM.

The purpose of the requested withdrawal and transfer of administrative jurisdiction is to allow the DOE–LM to administer the lands in perpetuity as a hazardous material site under the authority of UMTRCA, 42 U.S.C. 7902 *et seq.*

For a period until April 12, 2024, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the DOE–LM application may present their views in writing to the BLM Colorado State Office at the address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section above. Records related to the applications may be examined by contacting the BLM Colorado State Office at the address listed in the **ADDRESSES**; section above. The BLM is preparing an environmental assessment under the National Environmental Policy Act in connection with the requested withdrawal and jurisdictional transfer. On March 22, 2022, the BLM posted a project description for DOI–BLM–CO–S050–2022–0013–EA on its e-Planning site at eplanning.blm.gov/eplanning-ui/project/2018643/510.

Comments will be available for public review at the BLM Colorado State Office during regular business hours, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

(Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7916)

Douglas J. Vilsack,
BLM Colorado State Director.

[FR Doc. 2024–05341 Filed 3–12–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[USITC SE–24–012]

Sunshine Act Meetings

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: March 21, 2024 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. *Agendas for future meetings:* none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Commission vote on Inv. No. 731–TA–1203 (Review) (Xanthan Gum from China). The Commission currently is

scheduled to complete and file its determination and views of the Commission on April 1, 2024.

5. *Outstanding action jackets:* none.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Sharon Bellamy, Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer, 202–205–2000.

The Commission is holding the meeting under the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b). In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 11, 2024.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2024–05484 Filed 3–11–24; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1292]

Certain Replacement Automotive Lamps II; Notice of the Commission's Final Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has found no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the above-captioned investigation. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lynde Herzbach, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3228. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 24, 2022, the Commission instituted this investigation under

section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on a complaint filed by Hyundai Motor Company of Seoul, Republic of Korea and Hyundai Motor America, Inc. of Fountain Valley, CA (collectively, “Hyundai”). See 87 FR 3583–84 (Jan. 24, 2022). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale after importation into the United States of certain replacement automotive lamps by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Design Patent Nos. D617,478; D618,835; D618,836; D631,583; D637,319; D640,812; D655,835; D664,690; D709,217; D736,436; D738,003; D739,057; D739,574; D740,980; D759,864; D759,865; D771,292; D780,351; D818,163; D829,947; and D834,225 (collectively, “Asserted Patents”). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names four respondents: (1) TYC Brother Industrial Co., Ltd. of Tainan, Taiwan; (2) Genera Corporation (dba. TYC Genera) of Brea, California; (3) LKQ Corporation of Chicago, Illinois; and (4) Keystone Automotive Industries, Inc. of Exeter, Pennsylvania (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not named as a party.

On February 7, 2022, the Chief Administrative Law Judge (“CALJ”) ordered an evidentiary hearing for both Inv. Nos. 337–TA–1291 and 337–TA–1292 on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement pursuant to the Commission’s pilot program for interim initial determinations (“IID”). See Order No. 7 (Feb. 7, 2022). The combined evidentiary hearing was held on April 20, 2022. On July 1, 2022, the CALJ issued an IID finding that Hyundai has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to all of the asserted design patents. On August 24, 2022, the Commission determined to review the IID. See Comm’n Notice (Aug. 24, 2022).

On January 24, 2023, the CALJ issued a final initial determination (“FID”) finding a violation of section 337 by Respondents based on infringement of each of the Asserted Patents. The FID also finds that no Asserted Patent is invalid as anticipated or obvious. The FID further finds that Hyundai has satisfied the technical prong as to certain representative domestic industry products. Concerning the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement, the FID reduces Hyundai’s alleged investments due to Hyundai’s failure to establish that certain of its alleged domestic industry products are

representative of other alleged domestic industry products. The FID finds, however, that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement is satisfied for all of the Asserted Patents based on the reduced investments. The CALJ also simultaneously issued a recommended determination on remedy and bonding (“RD”) recommending that, if the Commission finds a violation, it should issue a limited exclusion order but not issue any cease and desist order against any of Respondents.

On February 6, 2023, Respondents filed a petition for review challenging the FID’s findings on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement, infringement, and validity. Also on February 6, 2023, Hyundai filed a petition for review challenging the RD’s recommendations and contingently petitioning regarding the FID’s findings concerning non-satisfaction of the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for certain non-representative products. On February 14, 2023, Respondents and Hyundai filed responses to each other’s petitions.

On February 23, 2023, the Commission received public interest submissions pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4) from the LKQ Respondents and the TYC Respondents. 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4). On February 22 and 23, 2023, the Commission received twelve responses to the Commission notice seeking public interest submission. 88 FR 7759–7760 (Feb. 6, 2023).

On May 11, 2023, the Commission determined to review the FID in its entirety. 88 FR 31522–24 (May 17, 2023). The Commission asked the parties to address four questions, which related to infringement, the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement, and the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. *Id.* The Commission also requested briefing from the parties, interested government agencies, and the public concerning remedy, bonding, and the public interest. *Id.*

On May 25, 2023, Hyundai and Respondents filed their initial written responses to the Commission’s request for briefing. On June 1, 2023, Hyundai and Respondents filed their reply submission.

On June 15, 2023, Respondents filed a motion to strike a declaration filed with the Hyundai reply submission. On June 26, 2023, Hyundai filed an opposition to the motion to strike.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the IID, the FID, and the parties’ petitions, responses, and other submissions, the Commission

has determined to find no violation of section 337 with respect to the Asserted Patents. Specifically, the Commission has determined to vacate the IID and the FID’s economic prong findings and find that Hyundai has failed to satisfy the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to any of the Asserted Patents. The Commission has further determined to take no position on the issues of infringement, satisfaction of the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement, and invalidity. The Commission further finds that Respondents’ motion to strike the declaration filed with Hyundai’s reply submission is moot in view of the finding that there is no violation of section 337 and the public interest factors do not need to be addressed.

The investigation is terminated with a finding of no violation of section 337. The Commission’s reasoning in support of its determinations is set forth more fully in its opinion.

Commissioner Schmidlein does not join the majority’s opinion but agrees that Hyundai has failed to establish the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement for any of the Asserted Patents. She therefore agrees that there has been no violation of section 337 in this investigation. She explains her views in a concurring opinion.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on March 7, 2024.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 7, 2024.

Katherine Hiner,

Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2024–05273 Filed 3–12–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P