has further determined to review and, on review, to take no position on certain of the FID's invalidity findings and the findings that certain accused products satisfy a dependent claim limitation of the '351 patent. The Commission has determined not to review the remainder of the FID.

The Commission issues its opinion herewith setting forth its determinations on certain issues. The investigation is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on March 7, 2024.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 7, 2024.

Katherine Hiner,

Supervisory Attorney. [FR Doc. 2024–05274 Filed 3–12–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1291]

Certain Replacement Automotive Lamps; Notice of the Commission's Final Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined that complainants Kia Corporation and Kia America, Inc. failed to demonstrate a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, by any of the named respondents in the abovecaptioned investigation. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.* General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (*https://www.usitc.gov*). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 24, 2022, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930. as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on a complaint filed on behalf of complainants Kia Corporation of Seoul, Korea and Kia America, Inc. of Irvine, California (collectively, "Kia"). 87 FR 3584-85 (Jan. 24, 2022). The complaint, as supplemented and amended, alleges a violation of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale after importation within the United States after importation of certain replacement automotive lamps by reason of infringement of U.S. Design Patent Nos. D592,773 (the "'773 patent"); D635,701 (the "'701 patent"); D636,506 (the '''506 patent''); D650,931 (the "'931 patent"); D695,933 (the "'933 patent''); D705,963 (the "'963 patent''); D709,218 (the '''218 patent''); D714,975 (the "'975 patent"); D714,976 (the "'976 patent''); D720,871 (the "'871 patent''); D749,757 (the '''757 patent''); D749,762 (the "'762 patent"); D749,764 (the "'764 patent''); D774,222 (the "'222 patent''); D774,223 (the '''223 patent''); D776,311 (the "'311 patent"); D781,471 (the "'471 patent''); D785,833 (the "'833 patent''); D785,836 (the '''836 patent''); and D792,989 (the "'989 patent") (together, "Asserted Patents"). Id. at 3584. The notice of investigation names as respondents TYC Brother Industrial Co., Ltd. of Tainan, Taiwan; Genera Corporation (dba TYC Genera) of Brea, California; LKQ Corporation of Chicago, Illinois; and Keystone Automotive Industries, Inc. of Exeter, Pennsylvania (together, "Respondents"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation.

On February 7, 2022, the Chief ALJ ("CALJ") ordered an evidentiary hearing for both Inv. Nos. 337–TA–1291 and 337–TA–1292 on the economic prong pursuant to the Commission's pilot program for interim initial determinations ("IID"). Order No. 6 (Feb. 7, 2022). The combined evidentiary hearing was held on April 20, 2022. On July 1, 2022, the CALJ issued an IID finding that Kia has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to all of the asserted design patents. On August 24, 2022, the Commission determined to review the IID. Notice (Aug. 24, 2022). The

investigation was reassigned to the presiding ALJ on July 6, 2022.

On January 24, 2023, the presiding ALJ issued a final initial determination ("Final ID") finding a violation of section 337 by Respondents with respect to the '773, '701, '506, '931, '933, '218, '975, '976, '871, '762, '764, '222, '223, '311, '833, '836, and '989 patents. Final ID at 1. The Final ID finds no violation with respect to the '963, '757, and '471 patents based on noninfringement and failure to satisfy the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement. Id. at 1, 284-86. The Final ID also finds that no asserted patent is invalid as anticipated or obvious. *Id.* Concerning the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement, the Final ID reduced Kia's alleged investments due to Kia's failure to establish that certain of its alleged domestic industry products are representative of other alleged domestic industry products, but finds that the economic prong of domestic industry requirement is satisfied for all of the Asserted Patents. Id. at 33-37.

On February 6, 2023, Respondents filed a petition for review challenging the Final ID's findings on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement, infringement, and validity. Also on February 6, 2023, Kia filed a petition for review challenging the Final ID's findings of noninfringement and contingently petitioning regarding the Final ID's findings concerning nonsatisfaction of the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement regarding the '963, '757, and '471 patents. On February 14, 2023, Kia and Respondents filed responses to each other's petitions.

On January 25, 2023, the Commission requested submissions regarding the public interest. 88 FR 5919–20 (Jan. 30, 2023). The Commission received submissions from Thomas Lee, Dennis Shiau, Peter Nguyen, John Chang, Raymond Tsai, Christopher Patti, Edward Salamy, Paul Tetrault, Clark Plucinski, Gay Gordon-Byrne, the Alliance for Automotive Innovation, and Gregory Cote. On February 23, 2023, the Commission also received submissions on the public interest from Respondents pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(a)(4). 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4).

On May 11, 2023, the Commission determined to review the Final ID in its entirety and sought briefing from the parties on certain issues and briefing from the parties, interested government agencies, and the public concerning remedy, bonding, and the public interest. 88 FR 31520–22 (May 17, 2023). Kia and Respondents filed initial submissions on May 25, 2023, and reply submissions on June 1, 2023.

On June 15, 2023, Respondents moved to strike a declaration of Brian Sciumbato, who testified regarding the public interest factors.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the IID, the Final ID, the petitions, responses, and other submissions from the parties and the public, the Commission has determined to vacate the IID and the Final ID's economic prong findings and find that Kia has failed to satisfy the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement with respect to any of the Asserted Patents. The Commission has further determined to take no position on the issues of infringement, satisfaction of the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement, and invalidity. The Commission also denies Respondents' motion to strike the Sciumbato declaration as moot.

The Commission's determinations are explained more fully in the accompanying Opinion. All other findings in the ID under review that are consistent with the Commission's determinations are affirmed. The investigation is hereby terminated.

Commissioner Schmidtlein does not join the majority's opinion but agrees that Kia has failed to establish the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement for any of the Asserted Patents. She therefore agrees that there has been no violation of section 337 in this investigation. She explains her views in a concurring opinion.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on March 7, 2024.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 7, 2024.

Katherine Hiner,

Supervisory Attorney. [FR Doc. 2024–05272 Filed 3–12–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. TA-201-078]

Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber; Institution of Investigation, Scheduling of Public Hearings, and Determination That the Investigation Is Extraordinarily Complicated

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of institution of investigation and scheduling of public hearings.

SUMMARY: Following receipt of a petition for import relief, as filed on February 28, 2024, the Commission has instituted investigation No. TA-201-078 pursuant to section 202 of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") to determine whether fine denier polyester staple fiber ("fine denier PSF") is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to be a substantial cause of serious injury, or the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article. The Commission has deemed the petition to have been filed on February 28, 2024. The Commission has determined that this investigation is "extraordinarily complicated," and will make its injury determination by July 9, 2024. The Commission will submit to the President the report required under section 202(f) of the Act within 180 days after the date on which the petition was filed, or by August 26, 2024.

DATES: Applicable February 28, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristina Lara (202-205-3386), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—This investigation is being instituted, pursuant to section 202 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2252), in response to a petition, as filed on February 28, 2024, by Fiber Industries LLC d/b/a Darling Fibers ("Darling"), Nan Ya Plastics Corp, America ("Nan Ya"), and Sun Fiber LLC ("Sun Fiber"), producers of fine denier PSF in the United States. Darling, Nan Ya, and Sun Fiber allege that fine denier PSF is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to be a substantial cause of serious injury, and the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article. The Commission must submit its report on this investigation to the President no later than 180 days after institution, which in this case falls on August 26, 2024. (19 U.S.C. 2252(f)).

The imported article covered by this investigation is fine denier PSF, not carded or combed, measuring less than 3.3 decitex (3 denier) in diameter. The scope covers all fine denier PSF, whether coated or uncoated. The following products are not covered by this investigation:

(1) PSF equal to or greater than 3.3 decitex (more than 3 denier, inclusive) currently imported under HTSUS statistical reporting numbers 5503.20.0045 and 5503.20.0065.

(2) Low-melt PSF defined as a bicomponent polyester fiber having a polyester fiber component that melts at a lower temperature than the other polyester fiber component, which is currently imported under HTSUS statistical reporting number 5503.20.0015.

For Customs purposes, the fine denier PSF covered by the investigation is provided for under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheading 5503.20.0025. These HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Determination that investigation is extraordinarily complicated.-The Commission has determined that this investigation is "extraordinarily complicated" within the meaning of section 202(b)(2)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2252(b)(2)(B)). The Commission's decision to designate this investigation "extraordinarily complicated" is based on the complexity of the issues, including the existence of antidumping and countervailing duty orders on certain imports covered by this investigation. Ordinarily, the Commission would have been required to make its injury determination within 120 days after the petition was filed, or by June 27, 2024. (19 U.S.C. 2252 (b)(2)(A)). The statute permits the Commission to take up to 30 additional days to make its injury determination in an investigation where it determines that the investigation is extraordinarily