

In order for the test data to be acceptable for a compound, percent R must be 70 percent \geq R \leq 130 percent. If the percent R value does not meet this criterion for a target compound, the test data is not acceptable for that compound and the test must be repeated for that analyte (*i.e.*, the sampling and/or analytical procedure should be adjusted before a retest). The percent R value for each compound must be reported in the test report, and all field measurements must be corrected with the calculated percent R value for that compound by using the following equation:

$$\text{Reported Results} = \left(\frac{\text{Measured Concentration in Stack}}{\text{percent R}} \right) \times 100.$$

The EPA is incorporating by reference the VCS ASTM D6784–16, “Standard Test Method for Elemental, Oxidized, Particle-Bound and Total Mercury in Flue Gas Generated from Coal-Fired Stationary Sources (Ontario Hydro Method),” as an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 29 (portion for mercury only) as a method for measuring elemental, oxidized, particle-bound, and total mercury concentrations ranging from approximately 0.5 to 100 micrograms per normal cubic meter. This test method describes equipment and procedures for obtaining samples from effluent ducts and stacks, equipment and procedures for laboratory analysis, and procedures for calculating results. VCS ASTM D6784–16 allows for additional flexibility in the sampling and analytical procedures for the earlier version of the same standard VCS ASTM D6784–02 (Reapproved 2008).

ASTM D6784–16 and ASTM D6348–12e1 are available at ASTM International, 1850 M Street NW, Suite 1030, Washington, DC 20036. See <https://www.astm.org/>. The standards are available to everyone at a cost determined by ASTM (\$82). The costs of obtaining these methods are not a significant financial burden, making the methods reasonably available.

Additionally, the EPA is incorporating by reference EPA/100/R–10/005, “Recommended Toxicity Equivalence Factors (TEFs) for Human Health Risk Assessments of 2, 3, 7, 8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and Dioxin-Like Compounds,” December 2010, which is the source of the toxicity equivalence factors (TEF) for dioxins and furans used in calculating the toxic equivalence quotient of the proposed dioxin and furan standard. This document describes the EPA’s updated approach for evaluating the human health risks from exposures to environmental media containing dioxin-

like compounds. The EPA recommends that the TEF methodology, a component mixture method, be used to evaluate human health risks posed by these mixtures, using TCDD as the index chemical. The EPA recommends the use of the consensus TEF values for 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and dioxin-like compounds published in 2005 by the World Health Organization. EPA/100/R–10/005 is available on the EPA website, <https://www.epa.gov/risk/documents-recommended-toxicity-equivalency-factors-human-health-risk-assessments-dioxin-and>.

J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations and Executive Order 14096: Revitalizing Our Nation’s Commitment to Environmental Justice for All

The EPA believes that the human health or environmental conditions that exist prior to this action result in or have the potential to result in disproportionate and adverse human health or environmental effects on communities with environmental justice (EJ) concerns. The assessment of populations in close proximity of lime manufacturing facilities shows Hispanic and linguistically isolated groups are higher than the national average (see section V.E. of the preamble). The higher percentages are driven by 4 of the 34 facilities in the source category.

The EPA believes that this action is likely to reduce existing disproportionate and adverse effects on communities with EJ concerns. The EPA is proposing MACT standards for HCl, mercury, organic HAP, and D/F. The EPA expects that the 4 facilities would have to implement control measures to reduce emissions to comply with the MACT standards and that HAP exposures for the people of color and low-income individuals living near these facilities would decrease.

The EPA will additionally identify and address environmental justice concerns by conducting outreach after signature of this proposed rule. The EPA will address this rule during the monthly Environmental Justice call for communities burdened by disproportionate environmental impacts.

The information supporting these Executive Orders is contained in section V.E. of this preamble.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Incorporation by reference,

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Michael S. Regan,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2024–02299 Filed 2–8–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2023–0069; FRL–10579–12–OCSP]

Receipt of a Pesticide Petition Filed for Residues of Pesticide Chemicals in or on Various Commodities (December 2023)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of filing of petition and request for comment.

SUMMARY: This document announces the Agency’s receipt of an initial filing of a pesticide petition requesting the establishment or modification of regulations for residues of pesticide chemicals in or on various commodities.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 11, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2023–0069, through the *Federal eRulemaking Portal* at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Additional instructions on commenting and visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Madison H. Le, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (BPPD) (7511M), main telephone number: (202) 566–1400, email address: BPPDFRNotices@epa.gov; or Dan Rosenblatt, Registration Division (RD) (7505T), main telephone number: (202) 566–2875, email address: RDFFRNotices@epa.gov. The mailing address for each contact person is Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001. As part of the mailing address, include the contact person’s name, division, and mail code. The division to contact is listed at the end of each application summary.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html>.

3. *Environmental justice.* EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low-income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticides discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

II. What action is the Agency taking?

EPA is announcing receipt of a pesticide petition filed under section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a, requesting the establishment or modification of regulations in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of pesticide chemicals in or on various food commodities. The Agency is taking public comment on the request before responding to the petitioner. EPA is not proposing any particular action at this time. EPA has determined that the pesticide petition described in this document contains data or information prescribed in the FFDCA section 408(d)(2), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2); however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data supports granting of the pesticide petition. After considering the public comments, EPA intends to evaluate whether and what action may be warranted. Additional data may be needed before EPA can make a final determination on this pesticide petition.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 180.7(f), a summary of the petition that is the subject of this document, prepared by the petitioner, is included in a docket EPA has created for this rulemaking. The docket for this petition is available at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

As specified in the FFDCA section 408(d)(3), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(3), EPA is publishing notice of the petition so that the public has an opportunity to comment on this request for the establishment or modification of regulations for residues of pesticides in or on food commodities. Further information on the petition may be obtained through the petition summary referenced in this unit.

A. Notice of Filing—Amended Tolerances for Non-Inerts

PP 2E9037. EPA–HQ–OPP–2023–0077. Interregional Research Project #4 (IR–4), North Carolina State University, 1730 Varsity Drive, Venture IV, Suite 210, Raleigh, NC 27606, requests, pursuant to section 408(d) of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(d), to amend 40 CFR part 180 by withdrawing the existing tolerance for residues of the insecticide cyclaniliprole, 3-bromo-N-[2-bromo-4-chloro-6-[[1-(1-cyclopropylethyl)amino]carbonyl]phenyl]-1-(3-chloro-2-pyridinyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide, including its metabolites and degradates, in or on the raw agricultural commodity Vegetable, cucurbit, group 9 at 0.15 parts per million (ppm). *Contact:* RD.

B. New Tolerance Exemptions for Non-Inerts (Except PIPS)

1. *PP 2F9043.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2023–0503. Indigo Ag, Inc., 500 Rutherford Ave., Charlestown, MA 02129, requests to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the nematicide *Pseudomonas oryzae* strain SYM23945 in or on all food commodities. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because genomic analysis and in-depth literature analysis indicate no metabolites of concern are produced. *Contact:* BPPD.

2. *PP 3F9071.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2023–0621. Indigo Ag, Inc., 500 Rutherford Ave., Charlestown, MA 02129, requests to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the fungicide *Bacillus aryabhattai* strain SYM36613 in or on all food commodities. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance is being proposed. *Contact:* BPPD.

C. New Tolerances for Non-Inerts

1. *PP 2E9037.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2023–0077. IR–4, North Carolina State University, 1730 Varsity Drive, Venture IV, Suite 210, Raleigh, NC 27606, requests, pursuant to section 408(d) of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(d), to amend 40 CFR part 180 by establishing a tolerance for residues of the insecticide cyclaniliprole, 3-bromo-N-[2-bromo-4-chloro-6-[[1-(1-cyclopropylethyl)amino]carbonyl]phenyl]-1-(3-chloro-2-pyridinyl)-1H-pyrazole-5-carboxamide, including its metabolites and degradates, in or on the raw agricultural commodity vegetable, cucurbit, group 9 at 0.3 ppm. Adequate analytical methods for determining dodine in/on appropriate raw agricultural commodities and processed commodities have been developed and validated. *Contact:* RD.

2. *PP 2E9039.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2023–0639. Bayer CropScience, AG, 800 N. Lindbergh Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63141, requests to establish a tolerance in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the insecticide and miticide, Spiromesifen, in or on oranges at 0.15 ppm and orange oil at 40 ppm. The High-Performance Liquid Chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) is used to measure and evaluate the chemical residues of Spiromesifen and residues of the metabolites, Spiromesifen-enol. *Contact:* RD.

3. *PP 3E9052.* EPA–HQ–OPP–2023–0259. IR–4, North Carolina State University, 1730 Varsity Drive, Venture IV, Suite 210, Raleigh, NC 27606,

requests to establish tolerances in 40 CFR 180.622 for residues of the fungicide, ethaboxam, (RS)-N-[cyano(2-thienyl)methyl]-4-ethyl-2-(ethylamino)thiazole-5-carboxamid in or on the raw agricultural commodity: Leaf petiole vegetable subgroup 22B at 0.15 parts per million. An adequate enforcement methodology LC/MS/MS is available to measure and evaluate the residues of ethaboxam to enforce the tolerance expression. *Contact:* RD.

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a.

Dated: January 26, 2024.

Delores Barber,

Director, Information Technology and Resources Management Division, Office of Program Support.

[FR Doc. 2024-02805 Filed 2-8-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 1

[WC Docket No. 17-84; Report No. 3209; FR ID 201345]

Petitions for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Petition for Reconsideration; correction.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission published a document in the **Federal Register** on January 29, 2024, announcing the dates for filing oppositions and replies to a Petition for Reconsideration of Action in a Rulemaking Proceeding in WC Docket No. 17-84, adopted by the Commission on December 13, 2023. There is an error in the Dates section of this document, incorrectly setting the deadline for replies to oppositions as February 8, 2024 rather than February 23, 2024.

DATES: February 9, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, please contact Michael Ray, Competition Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at Michael.Ray@fcc.gov, 202-418-0357.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of January 29, 2024, in FR Doc. 2024-01633, on page 5439, in the third column, fourth paragraph from the bottom, correct the "Dates" caption to read:

DATES: Oppositions to the Petitions must be filed on or before February 13, 2024. Replies to oppositions must be filed on or before February 23, 2024.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-02624 Filed 2-8-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 300

[Docket No. 240130-0031]

RIN 0648-BM75

Pacific Halibut Fisheries of the West Coast; 2024 Catch Sharing Plan and Recreational Fishery Management Measures

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS proposes to approve changes to the Pacific Halibut Catch Sharing Plan for the International Pacific Halibut Commission's regulatory Area 2A off Washington, Oregon, and California. In addition, NMFS proposes to implement new management measures for the 2024 recreational fisheries in Area 2A that are not implemented through the International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC). These measures include the recreational fishery seasons and subarea allocations for Area 2A. This action would also add a new inseason management provision to transfer anticipated uncaught recreational fishery allocation between states. Additionally, this action proposes to establish a new management line at Point Arena, CA, creating two subareas with separate allocations off California. These actions are intended to conserve Pacific halibut and provide angler opportunity where available.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule must be received on or before March 11, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by NOAA-NMFS-2024-0014, by either of the following methods:

- **Electronic Submission:** Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal. Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and enter NOAA-NMFS-2024-0014 in the Search box. Click on the "Comment" icon, complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments.

- **Mail:** Submit written comments to Jennifer Quan, Regional Administrator, c/o Melissa Mandrup, West Coast Region, NMFS, 501 W Ocean Blvd., Long Beach, CA 90802.

Instructions: NMFS may not consider comments if they are sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the comment period ends. All comments received are a part of the public record and NMFS will post them for public viewing on <https://www.regulations.gov> without change. All personal identifying information (e.g., name, address, etc.), confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the sender is publicly accessible. NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter "N/A" in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous).

Docket: This rule is accessible via the internet at the Office of the Federal Register website at <https://www.federalregister.gov>. Background information and documents are available at the NMFS West Coast Region Pacific Halibut Recreational Fishery website at <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/action/2023-pacific-halibut-recreational-fishery> and at the Council's website at <http://www.pcouncil.org>. Other comments received may be accessed through [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melissa Mandrup, phone: 562-980-3231 or email: melissa.mandrup@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Northern Pacific Halibut Act of 1982 (Halibut Act), 16 U.S.C. 773-773k, gives the Secretary of Commerce responsibility for implementing the provisions of the Convention between Canada and the United States for the Preservation of the Halibut Fishery of the North Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea (Halibut Convention), signed at Ottawa, Ontario, on March 2, 1953, as amended by a Protocol Amending the Convention (signed at Washington, DC, on March 29, 1979). The Halibut Act requires that the Secretary of Commerce adopt regulations to carry out the purposes and objectives of the Halibut Convention and Halibut Act (16 U.S.C. 773c). Additionally, as provided in the Halibut Act, the regional fishery management councils having authority for the geographic area concerned may develop, and the Secretary of Commerce may implement, regulations governing Pacific halibut fishing in U.S. waters that are in addition to, and not in