

- 12. Goodluck India Ltd.
- 13. GVN Fuels Ltd.
- 14. Fiber Tech Composite Pvt. Ltd.
- 15. Hydromatik.
- 16. Jindal Quality Tubular Ltd.
- 17. KLT Automatic & Tubular Products Ltd.
- 18. Lloyds Line Pipes Ltd.; Lloyds Metals & Engineers Limited.
- 19. MARINEtrans India Private Ltd.
- 20. Patton International Ltd.
- 21. Raajratna Ventures Ltd.
- 22. Ratnamani Metals Tubes Ltd.
- 23. SAR Transport Systems Pvt. Ltd.
- 24. Surya Global Steel Tubes Ltd.
- 25. Vallourec Heat Exchanger Tubes Ltd.
- 26. Welspun India Ltd.
- 27. Zenith Birla (India) Ltd.
- 28. Zenith Birla Steels Private Ltd.
- 29. Zenith Dyeintermediates Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2024-02295 Filed 2-5-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-830]

Strontium Chromate From France: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that sales of strontium chromate from France by Société Nouvelle des Couleurs Zinciques (SNCZ) were not made at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) November 1, 2021, through October 31, 2022.

DATES: Applicable February 6, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Schueler, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-9175.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* on November 3, 2023 and invited interested parties to comment.¹ No interested party submitted comments. Accordingly, the final results are unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section

¹ See *Strontium Chromate from France: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021–2022*; 88 FR 75556 (November 3, 2023) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order²

The product covered by this *Order* is strontium chromate from France. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the *Preliminary Results*.

Final Results of Review

As no parties submitted comments regarding the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce made no changes to its determinations for the final results of this review. As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the POR:

| Exporter/producer | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|---|---|
| Société Nouvelle des Couleurs Zinciques | 0.00 |

Disclosure

Because Commerce received no comments on the *Preliminary Results*, we have not modified our analysis and no decision memorandum accompanies this **Federal Register** notice. We are adopting the *Preliminary Results* as the final results of this review. Consequently, there are no new calculations to disclose in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b) for these final results.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Where the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is either zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. Accordingly, because SNCZ’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero percent, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce’s “reseller policy” will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by SNCZ included in these final results of review for which the reviewed company did

² See *Strontium Chromate from Austria and France: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 84 FR 65349 (November 27, 2019) (*Order*).

not know that the merchandise it sold to the intermediary (*e.g.*, a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instance, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.³

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for SNCZ will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review (*i.e.*, 0.00 percent); (2) for merchandise exported by a producer or exporter not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of the proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers and exporters will continue to be 32.16 percent *ad valorem*, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.⁴ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement

³ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

⁴ See *Order*, 84 FR at 65350.

of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: January 29, 2024.

Abdelali Elouaradia,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2024-02292 Filed 2-5-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-557-816]

Certain Steel Nails From Malaysia: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain steel nails (nails) from Malaysia were sold at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2022.

DATES: Applicable February 6, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Drury or Tyler Weinhold, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0195 or (202) 482-1121, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 4, 2023, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2021–2022 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on nails from Malaysia.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.² On November 17, 2023, we extended the deadline for these final results until January 31, 2024.³ This review covers two mandatory respondents: Region and Inmax.⁴ The producers/exporters not selected for individual examination are referenced in the “Final Results of Review” section below and listed in Appendix II of this notice. For a complete description of the events that followed the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁵ Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the scope of the order are nails from Malaysia. For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by interested parties in this review are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics included in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized

¹ See *Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022*, 88 FR 51775 (August 4, 2023) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See *Preliminary Results*, 88 FR at 51776.

³ See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated November 17, 2023.

⁴ As in the last completed administrative review, in this administrative review, Commerce continues to treat Region International Co. Ltd. and Region System Sdn. Bhd. (collectively, Region) as a collapsed single entity, and to treat Inmax Sdn. Bhd. and Inmax Industries Sdn. Bhd. (collectively, Inmax) as a collapsed single entity. See, e.g., *Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 87 FR 5794 (February 2, 2022).

⁵ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2021–2022 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from Malaysia,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁶ *Id.* at 1–2.

Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be found at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce determined that Astrotech Steels Private Limited, Trinity Steel Private Limited, Geekay Wires Limited, and Modern Factory for Steel Industries Co. Ltd., made no shipments of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. No parties commented on this determination. Therefore, for the final results of review, we continue to find that these companies made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Consistent with our practice, we will issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) based on our final results.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the margin calculation methodology used in the *Preliminary Results* and have changed the dumping margin for these final results of review, as discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Selected Respondents

The statute and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

In this review, we preliminarily calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for Region that is not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts available, but calculated a