commensurate with the risks associated with the portfolios of its members.

Accordingly, the Commission finds the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with Rule 17Ad–22(e)(6)(i) under the Act because it is designed to assist NSCC in maintaining a risk-based margin system that considers, and produces margin levels commensurate with, the risks and particular attributes of members' portfolios.⁴¹

VII. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act⁴² and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act⁴³ that Proposed Rule Change SR–NSCC–2023– 011, be, and hereby is, *approved*.⁴⁴

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁴⁵

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary. [FR Doc. 2024–00630 Filed 1–12–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Docket No. 2013-0259]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of Renewed Approval of Information Collection: Advisory Circular: Reporting of Laser Illumination of Aircraft

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval renew information collection. The **Federal Register** Notice with a 60day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on August

43 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁴⁴ In approving the Proposed Rule Change, the Commission considered its impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f). ⁴⁵ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12). 17, 2023. The collection involves information to be collected will be used to and/or is necessary because Advisory Circular 70–2B provides guidance to civilian air crews on the reporting of laser illumination incidents and recommended mitigation actions to be taken in order to ensure continued safe and orderly flight operations.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by February 13, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Please send written comments:

By Electronic Docket: www.regulations.gov (Enter docket number into search field)

By mail: Nicholas Torgerson, Federal Aviation Administration, AJR–223, 800 Independence Ave. SW, Washington, DC 20591.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nicholas Torgerson, by email at: Nicholas.d.torgerson@faa.gov; phone: 202–322–4157

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA's performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

OMB Control Number: 2120–0698.

Title: Advisory Circular (AC): Reporting of Laser Illumination of Aircraft.

Form Numbers: Advisory Circular 70–2B, Reporting of Laser Illumination of Aircraft.

Type of Review: Renewal of an information collection.

Background: The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on August 17, 2023 (88 FR 58633). Advisory Circular 70-2B provides guidance to civilian air crews on the reporting of laser illumination incidents and recommended mitigation actions to be taken in order to ensure continued safe and orderly flight operations. Information is collected from pilots and aircrews that are affected by an unauthorized illumination by lasers. The requested reporting involves an immediate broadcast notification to Air Traffic Control (ATC) when the incident occurs, as well as a broadcast warning of the incident if the aircrew is flying in

uncontrolled airspace. In addition, the AC requests that the aircrew supply a written report of the incident and send it by fax or email to the Washington Operations Control Complex (WOCC) as soon as possible.

Respondents: Approximately 1,100 pilots and crewmembers.

Frequency: Information is collected on occasion.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: 10 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 183 hours.

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 10, 2024.

Sandra L. Ray,

Aviation Safety Inspector, AFS–260. [FR Doc. 2024–00687 Filed 1–12–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket Number FRA-2023-0066]

Petition for Waivers of Compliance

Under part 211 of title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this document provides the public notice that on August 10, 2023, Georgia Central Railway, L.P. and Heart of Georgia Railroad, Inc. (Petitioners) submitted a request to the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) under 49 CFR 211.51 for approval of a test program, and the temporary suspension of certain FRA safety regulations in connection with that program (Program). Petitioners explain that the proposed test program involves a system of a "novel, selfpropelled, zero-emission, batteryelectric rail vehicle" (Vehicle) and its associated computer and telemetry technology (System), manufactured by Parallel Systems, Inc. Petitioners state that the goal of the technology is to provide smaller freight railroads an opportunity to meaningfully compete in the short-haul transportation of containers, and the technology also provides "numerous public benefits for the environment, the economy, the national highway system, and communities disproportionately impacted by highway movement of containers." Petitioners contend that "safety is an overriding focus of the proposed program" and the Pilot Test Safety Plan is included in Exhibit C of the submission. Petitioners state that the Program is "designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the system and new operational approaches to rail vehicle technology in the short-haul movement of containers."

^{41 17} CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(6)(i).

⁴²15 U.S.C. 78q–1.

FRA has conducted a preliminary review of Petitioner's application to determine whether it meets the minimum regulatory requirements and provides enough information to be actionable by FRA, and FRA has found the application complete. However, upon analyzing the request, FRA has decided to treat Petitioners' application as a request for waivers of compliance under the relevant provisions of 49 CFR part 211. FRA will also review Petitioners' request for a test program under 49 CFR 211.51.

FRA assigned the petition Docket Number FRA–2023–0066. A copy of the petition, as well as any written communications concerning the petition, is available for review online at *www.regulations.gov.*

Petitioners assert that suspending (waiving) certain provisions of the

Federal railroad safety regulations is
necessary for the conduct of the
Program, and, accordingly, Petitioners
request that FRA temporarily suspend
certain provisions of the CFR
throughout the Program. Specifically,
petitioners request relief from specific
regulatory requirements during each
phase of testing, as listed in the table
below:

SUMMARY	OF F	REGULATORY	RELIEF	REQUESTED
---------	------	------------	--------	-----------

Reference	Regulation summary	Basis for relief	Petitioner comments
Part 218, subpart D.	Operating Practices—Prohibition Against Tam- pering with Safety Devices: §§ 218.55–59 prohibit disabling of safety devices or know- ingly operating a train with disabled safety devices.	In order to support testing of certain features of the System, some Program procedures will require installing, removing, disabling, and bypassing onboard sensors, self-diag- nostic devices, and other devices of the Ve- hicles.	Alternative safety protections designed to miti- gate the risks associated with the disabled functions will remain operative even if safety devices on the Vehicles are disabled. The petitioner's safety plan (Safety Plan) is in- cluded as Appendix A to the petition.
Part 229	Locomotive Safety Standards—multiple provi- sions of part 229 require suspension given the unique design of the Vehicle and the braking system.	 In summary, the following design features of the System and characteristics of the Pro- gram are directly related to the part 229 temporary suspension requests: —Remotely Directed Operation. —Platooning Capability. —Alternative Braking Technology. 	For those aspects of the requested part 229 temporary suspensions that are not predi- cated on the absence of conventional fea- tures due to remotely directed operation, the platooning capability or the alternate braking technology of the Vehicle, the procedures and the System design and operational fea- tures explained in the Safety Plan will as- sure safety.
	229.9, Movement of Non-Complying Loco- motives: §229.9(a)(3).	The provision requires tagging of the loco- motive at certain locations. This Vehicle does not have a locomotive cab.	The Vehicle will be tagged on the low voltage isolation switch, which controls power to the Vehicle computers.
	229.13, Control of Locomotives: §229.13	The provision includes requirements when multiple locomotives are coupled. This Vehi- cle does not couple.	Safety Plan sections 2.7 and 5.4 describe the safety features of the platooning technology that offer an alternative safety standard to traditional coupling, brake, and lead loco- motive systems.
	229.15, Locomotives with Control Units: §229.15(a)(10).	This provision requires locomotives with radio control units to be designed with specific self-diagnostics.	This Vehicle has designed integrity-monitoring features described in Safety Plan section 5.2 and these features are part of the test program.
	229.29, Air Brake System Calibration, Mainte- nance and Testing: §229.29.	This provision defines the calibration, mainte- nance, and testing of locomotive braking systems.	Safety Plan section 5.6 describes the mainte- nance, calibration, and testing of the brake technology that the Vehicles will feature. These features are part of the test program.
	229.47, Emergency Brake Valves: §229.47	This provision states that the brake pipe valve must be accessible to members of the crew in the cab other than the engineer and must be attached to a wall adjacent to an end exit door. This Vehicle does not have a loco- motive cab.	Safety Plan section 4.2 describes the emer- gency-stop function of the Vehicles. These features are part of the test program.
	229.53, Brake Gauges: §229.53	This provision requires all gauges and indica- tors to be located so the engineer can con- veniently read them in the locomotive cab. This Vehicle does not have a cab.	Monitoring associated with movement of the Vehicle is available on the devices used to control movements. These features are part of the test program.
	229.55, Piston Travel: §229.55(b)	This provision includes requirements of the brake cylinder. This Vehicle includes alter- native braking technology.	The Program will evaluate the effectiveness of different brake technology than what is present on conventional locomotives.
	229.71, Clearance Above Top of Rail: §229.71	This provision prohibits any part or appliance to be less than 2.5 inches above the top of rail.	The Vehicle will comply with § 229.71 except that if test data indicates that the Vehicle does not reliably activate track circuits by shunting, electrical rail contacts may be added less than 2.5 inches above the top of rail to improve shunting performance for the testing program.
	229.115(a), (c), Slip/Side Alarms: §229.115(a) and (c).	This provision requires audible and visual alarms in the cab if wheels slip or slide. This Vehicle does not have a cab.	Monitoring associated with movement of the Vehicle is available on the devices used to control movements. These features are part of the test program.
	229.117, Speed Indicators: §229.117	This provision requires the locomotive speed to be readable from the engineer's normal position. This Vehicle does not have a cab.	Monitoring associated with movement of the Vehicle is available on the devices used to control movements. These features are part of the test program.
	229.119, Cabs, Floors, and Passageways: §§ 229.119 and 229.127, Cab Lights: § 229.127.	This provision sets requirements for loco- motive cabs, floors, passageways.	This Vehicle does not have a cab.
	229.131(a), Sanders: §229.131(a)	This provision requires each locomotive to be equipped with operative sanders. This Vehi- cle includes alternative braking technology.	The Program will evaluate the effectiveness of different brake technology than what is present on conventional locomotives, includ- ing testing of wheel slip and slide.

SUMMARY OF REGULATORY RELIEF REQUESTED—Continued

Reference	Regulation summary	Basis for relief	Petitioner comments
	229.137, Sanitation, General Requirements and 229.139, Sanitation, Servicing Require- ments.	These provisions are premised upon having a locomotive cab.	This Vehicle does not have a cab.
	229.141, Body Structure, MU Locomotives: §229.141.	These provisions are premised upon the MU locomotive cars being coupled together. This Vehicle does not couple.	Safety Plan sections 2.7 and 5.4 describe the safety features of the platooning technology that offer an alternative safety standard to traditional coupling, brake, and lead locomotive systems.
	Part 229, subpart D, Locomotive Crash- worthiness Design Requirements: §§ 229.201–206.	These provisions are premised upon having a locomotive cab.	This vehicle does not have a cab.
	229.311, Review of Locomotive Electronics Safety Analysis Before Use: §§229.301–319.	Petitioner seeks temporary suspension of the 60-day notice requirement and will provide details throughout the testing program.	Petitioner will comply with the rest of part 229, subpart E, including being subject to FRA's authority to audit the safety analysis any time after the System is placed in use under the Program.
Part 231	Railroad Safety Appliance Standards— —§231.6(a), Handbrakes, —§231.6(d), End Handholds, §231.6(a), Line Laurer	These provisions that detail the placement and design of safety appliance equipment are not applicable to this alterative Vehicle de-	This temporary suspension request is predi- cated on the fact that the Vehicles will not have and do not need ladders, running boards or drawhare
Part 232	 \$231.6(e), Uncoupling Levers. Brake System Safety Standards for Freight and Other Non-Passenger Trains and Equipment; End-of-Train Devices 232.103(f), (g), (j), (k), (l), (m), (n), (o), Gen- eral Requirements for All Train Brake Sys- tems. 232.205–212, Brake Tests. 232.215, Transfer Train Brake Tests. 232.303, General Requirements (for periodic maintenance). 232.305, Single Car Air Brake Tests. 232.505, Process to Introduce New Brake System Technology. 	sign. Certain design features of the Vehicle, includ- ing its platooning capability and alternative braking technology, are drivers of the part 232 temporary suspension requests. The Vehicles will not be coupled, and the Pro- gram will test a novel braking technology that is different than air brakes and evaluate the effectiveness of the self-monitoring ca- pabilities of the Vehicles. The testing program includes extensive testing of the new brake system technology and will be iterative. FRA test monitoring will review the results of testing as the testing pro- gresses through the various stages.	boards or drawbars. The Petitioner will conduct inspections and tests that are functionally similar to the re- quirements of part 232. Analogous func- tional features of the novel braking tech- nology will be inspected and tested, but in- spections and tests will reference compo- nents and metrics that are relevant to the design of the novel brake technology.
Part 236	 —232.505, Pre-Revenue Service Acceptance Testing Plan. Rules, Standards, and Instructions Governing 	This testing will be performed in dark territory	Future development of this Vehicle will likely
	the Installation, Inspection, Maintenance, and Repair of Signal and Train Control Sys- tems, Devices, and Appliances, § 236.913 Product Safety Plan for Processor-Based Signal and Train Control Systems.	under track warrant control. Special test pro- visions will be in place to protect the testing. The Vehicle is not equipped with a tradi- tional train control system.	include interface between the locomotive electronics and a signal and train control system.
Parts 240 and 242.	Qualification and Certification of Engineers and Conductors —240.103 and 242.103 Approval of Design of Individual Railroad Programs by FRA.	The remotely directed operation of the Vehicle alters the traditional role and requirements of Engineers and Conductors. This test pro- gram will be used to determine the qualifica- tion and certification requirements for Engi- neers and Conductors operating a Vehicle by remotely directed operation.	This testing will be performed by trained test- ing professionals following the test program and Safety Plan. The test program will be supported by qualified and certified Georgia Central Railway and Heart of Georgia Rail- road Engineers and Conductors.

Recognizing that 49 CFR part 231 involves compliance with certain statutory requirements, Petitioners also request that FRA exercise its authority under 49 U.S.C. 20306 to exempt the rail equipment involved in the Program from the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 20302, which, in part, requires rail equipment to be equipped with certain safety appliances (e.g., couplers, sill steps, hand brakes, grab irons, hand appliances, and power brakes). In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 20306, FRA may exempt Petitioners from these statutory requirements based on evidence received and findings developed at a hearing demonstrating that the statutory requirements "preclude the development or implementation of more efficient railroad transportation equipment or other transportation innovations under

existing law"¹ or an "agreement between national railroad labor representatives and the developer of the new equipment or technology."²

FRA views the petition as consisting of (1) a request for the temporary suspension of certain FRA regulations or waivers of compliance, and (2) a request for approval of a centralized test program that would utilize that requested relief. FRA intends to review Petitioners' requests for temporary suspension of the above-listed regulatory requirements as petitions for waivers of compliance pursuant to 49 CFR part 211, subpart C. FRA will also review the proposed test program under 49 CFR 211.51 and will address its evaluation of the test program in a

¹49 U.S.C. 20306(a).

separate decision after it completes its review of the requested waivers.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments relating to the Program, the temporary waiver of regulations, or both. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment and a public hearing, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request. In addition, absent an agreement under 49 U.S.C. 20306(b)(2), FRA will hold a hearing on Petitioners' application and notice of such hearing will be published at a later date.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify Docket Number FRA–2023–0066 and may be submitted at *www.regulations.gov*, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

² Id. at (b)(2).

Communications received by March 18, 2024 will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered if practicable.

Anyone can search the electronic form of any written communications and comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the document, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). Under 5 U.S.C. 553(c), the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) solicits comments from the public to better inform its processes. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at https://www.transportation.gov/privacy. See also https://www.regulations.gov/ privacy-notice for the privacy notice of regulations.gov.

Issued in Washington, DC.

John Karl Alexy,

Associate Administrator for Railroad Safety, Chief Safety Officer.

[FR Doc. 2024–00664 Filed 1–12–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2023-0002-N-44]

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) and its implementing regulations, this notice announces that FRA is forwarding the Information Collection Request (ICR) summarized below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the information collection and its expected burden. On November 2, 2023, FRA published a notice providing a 60day period for public comment on the ICR. FRA received no comments related to the proposed collection of information.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before February 15, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed ICR should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to *www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain.* Find the particular ICR by selecting "Currently under Review—Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Joanne Swafford, Information Collection Clearance Officer, at email: *joanne.swafford@dot.gov* or telephone: (757) 897–9908 or *arlette.mussington@ dot.gov* or telephone: (571) 609–1285.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520, and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR part 1320, require Federal agencies to issue two notices seeking public comment on information collection activities before OMB may approve paperwork packages. *See* 44 U.S.C. 3506, 3507; 5 CFR 1320.8 through 1320.12. On November 2, 2023, FRA published a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** soliciting comment on the ICR for which it is now seeking OMB approval. *See* 88 FR 75368. FRA received no comments related to the proposed collection of information.

Before OMB decides whether to approve the proposed collection of information, it must provide 30 days for public comment. Federal law requires OMB to approve or disapprove paperwork packages between 30 and 60 days after the 30-day notice is published. 44 U.S.C. 3507(b)-(c); 5 CFR 1320.12(d); see also 60 FR 44978, 44983 Aug. 29, 1995. OMB believes the 30-day notice informs the regulated community to file relevant comments and affords the agency adequate time to digest public comments before it renders a decision. 60 FR 44983, Aug. 29, 1995. Therefore, respondents should submit their respective comments to OMB within 30 days of publication to best ensure having their full effect.

Comments are invited on the following ICR regarding: (1) whether the information collection activities are necessary for FRA to properly execute its functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FRA's estimates of the burden of the information collection activities, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used to determine the estimates; (3) ways for FRA to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information being collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of information collection activities on the public, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The summary below describes the ICR that FRA will submit for OMB clearance as the PRA requires:

Title: Workforce Development Survey.

OMB Control Number: 2130–0621.

Abstract: FRA has statutory responsibility to ensure the safety of railroad operations under 49 U.S.C. 20103. To conduct safe railroad operations, the workforce must have the requisite knowledge and skills to operate equipment and utilize technologies. FRA therefore seeks to promote workforce development policies and standards to ensure that the workforce has the necessary knowledge and skills to conduct safe railroad operations. Due to an increasingly dynamic and maturing workforce, combined with continual changes in knowledge and skills required to use new technologies, there is an increasing risk of not having the necessary talent pools to fill critical railroad operational positions. FRA uses this information collection to survey a select group of railroad industry personnel to gain insight into the current workforce development strategies.

Type of Request: Extension without change (with changes in estimates) of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Class I freight and passenger railroads, short line and regional railroads, labor unions, railroad associations, academia, and specialty experts.

Form(s): FRA F240.

Respondent Universe: 818.1

Frequency of Submission: One-time.

Total Estimated Annual Responses: 258.

Total Estimated Annual Burden: 107 hours.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hour Dollar Cost Equivalent: \$4,924.

FRA informs all interested parties that it may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, a collection of information that does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520.

Christopher S. Van Nostrand,

Acting Deputy Chief Counsel. [FR Doc. 2024–00620 Filed 1–12–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

¹ The respondent universe in the published 60day FR notice reflected 967. In this 30-day notice the respondent universe is 818. The change reflects the current number of short-line and regional railroads.