

	Department contact
<b>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</b>	
Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells and Modules from China, A-570-979 (2nd Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Canada, A-122-863 (1st Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from China, A-570-077 (1st Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Greece, A-484-803 (1st Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from India, A-533-881 (1st Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Korea, A-580-897 (1st Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Turkey, A-489-833 (1st Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
Plastic Decorative Ribbons from China, A-570-075 (1st Review) .....	Jacky Arrowsmith, (202) 482-5255.
Sodium Hexametaphosphate from China, A-570-908 (3rd Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
<b>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</b>	
Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells and Modules from China, C-570-980 (2nd Review) .....	Jacky Arrowsmith, (202) 482-5255.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from China, C-570-078 (1st Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from India, C-533-882 (1st Review) .....	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Korea, C-580-898 (1st Review) .....	Mary Kolberg, (202) 482-1785.
Large Diameter Welded Pipe from Turkey, C-489-834 (1st Review) .....	Thomas Martin, (202) 482-3936.
Plastic Decorative Ribbons from China, C-570-076 (1st Review) .....	Jacky Arrowsmith, (202) 482-5255.

**Suspended Investigations**

No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in February 2024.

Commerce’s procedures for the conduct of Sunset Review are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. The *Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Review* provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), Commerce will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact Commerce in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if Commerce receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue.

Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).<sup>1</sup>

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

<sup>1</sup> See *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Final Rule*, 88 FR 67069 (September 29, 2023).

Dated: December 15, 2023.

**James Maeder,**  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2023-28823 Filed 12-29-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[C-533-884]

**Glycine From India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2021**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Kumar Industries, India (Kumar), a producer/exporter of glycine from India, received countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021.

**DATES:** Applicable January 2, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scarlet Jaldin or Harrison Tanchuck AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4275 or (202) 482-7421, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On June 30, 2023, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review in the **Federal Register** and invited interest

parties to comment.<sup>1</sup> On September 22, 2023, Commerce extended the deadline for issuing these final results to December 15, 2023.<sup>2</sup> On December 15, 2023, we further extended the deadline for these final results to December 27, 2023.<sup>3</sup> We received timely-filed case briefs from GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc. (the petitioner),<sup>4</sup> the Government of India (GOI),<sup>5</sup> and the mandatory respondent in this review, Kumar Industries, India (Kumar).<sup>6</sup> We received timely-filed rebuttal briefs from the petitioner<sup>7</sup> and Kumar.<sup>8</sup> For a complete description of the events that occurred since the publication of the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Glycine from India: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Rescission, in Part; 2021*, 88 FR 42298 (June 30, 2023) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for the Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2021,” dated September 22, 2023.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, “Second Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2021,” dated December 15, 2023.

<sup>4</sup> See Petitioner’s Letter, “Case Brief of GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc.,” dated July 31, 2023.

<sup>5</sup> See GOI’s Letter, “Case Brief Submission on behalf of Government of India (GOI),” dated July 31, 2023.

<sup>6</sup> See Kumar’s Letter, “Case Brief,” dated July 31, 2023.

<sup>7</sup> See Petitioner’s Letter, “Rebuttal Brief of Geo Specialty Chemicals, Inc.,” dated August 7, 2023.

<sup>8</sup> See Kumar’s Letter, “Rebuttal Brief,” dated August 7, 2023.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Glycine from India; 2021,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

### Scope of the Order<sup>10</sup>

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is glycine from India. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>11</sup>

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by interested parties in their case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is provided in Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties and of the record, we made certain changes from the *Preliminary Results*. For a full description of these revisions, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

### Methodology

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.<sup>12</sup> For a full description of the methodology underlying Commerce's conclusions, including any determination that relied upon the use of adverse facts available (AFA) pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

### Companies Not Selected for Individual Review

In this review, the final rate calculated for Kumar, the mandatory respondent, is not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available.

<sup>10</sup> See *Glycine from India and the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Orders*, 84 FR 29173 (June 21, 2019) (*Order*).

<sup>11</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum at 2.

<sup>12</sup> See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

Therefore, consistent with section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act, for the companies that were not selected as a mandatory respondent, Commerce is basing the final subsidy rate for non-examined companies on the final subsidy rate calculated for Kumar.

### Final Results of Administrative Review

For the period January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021, we determine that the following net countervailable subsidy rates exist:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i> )
Kumar Industries, India <sup>13</sup> .....	7.24
Rudraa International .....	7.24
Rexisize Rasayan Industries	7.24

### Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose to the calculations performed in connection with the final results of review within five days of a public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final results in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by this review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts indicated above on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this

<sup>13</sup> Commerce continues to find that Kumar is cross-owned with Advance Chemical Corporation; therefore, the same subsidy rate applies to both companies. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at 4.

administrative review. For all non-reviewed firms subject to the *Order*, Commerce will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, effective upon publication of these final results, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: December 26, 2023.

**Abdelali Elouaradia,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Rate for Non-Examined Companies
- V. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VI. Interest Rates, Discount Rates, and Benchmarks
- VII. Analysis of Programs
- VIII. Discussion of the Issues
  - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Should Apply Adverse Facts Available to Kumar
  - Comment 2: Whether the Duty Drawback Program is Countervailable
  - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Correctly Found Interest Equalization Scheme on Pre- and Post-Shipment Rupee Export Credit and Pre- and Post-Shipment Finance to be Separate Countervailable Programs
  - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Adjust the Calculations for the Interest Equalization Scheme on Pre- and Post-Shipment Rupee Export Credit and Pre- and Post-Shipment Finance
  - Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Use the Petitioner's Benchmarks for the Interest Equalization Scheme on Pre- and Post-Shipment Rupee Export Credit and Pre- and Post-Shipment Finance Programs
  - Comment 6: Whether the State Government of Gujarat Conferred a Benefit to Kumar

through its Provision of Land for Less than Adequate Remuneration  
IX. Recommendation  
[FR Doc. 2023-28842 Filed 12-29-23; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-549-846]

**Boltless Steel Shelving Units Prepackaged for Sale From Thailand: Amended Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less-Than-Fair-Value**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is amending the preliminary affirmative determination of sales at less-than-fair-value on boltless steel shelving units prepackaged for sale (boltless steel shelving) from Thailand to correct a significant ministerial error.

**DATES:** Applicable January 2, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Fred Baker, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-6274.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On November 29, 2023, Commerce published its preliminary affirmative determination in the less-than-fair-value investigation of boltless steel shelving from Thailand.<sup>1</sup> On December 4, 2023, we received a timely ministerial error allegation from Siam Metal Tech Co., Ltd. (Siam Metal) that Commerce made significant ministerial errors in the *Preliminary Determination* with respect to the calculation of Siam Metal’s weighted-average dumping margin.<sup>2</sup> We are amending our preliminary

determination to find that subject merchandise is not being, or is not likely to be, sold in the United States by Siam Metal at less than fair value (LTFV).

**Period of Investigation**

The period of investigation (POI) is April 1, 2022, through March 31, 2023.

**Scope of the Investigation**

The products covered by this investigation are boltless steel shelving units prepackaged for sale from Thailand. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see appendix.

**Analysis of Significant Ministerial Error Allegation**

Commerce will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any significant ministerial error by amending the preliminary determination according to 19 CFR 351.224(e). A ministerial error is defined in 19 CFR 351.224(f) as “an error in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial.”<sup>3</sup> A significant ministerial error is defined as a ministerial error, the correction of which, singly or in combination with other errors, would result in: (1) a change of at least five absolute percentage points in, but not less than 25 percent of, the weighted average dumping margin calculated in the original (erroneous) preliminary determination; or (2) a difference between a weighted-average dumping margin of zero (or *de minimis*) and a weighted-average dumping margin greater than *de minimis*, or vice versa.<sup>4</sup>

**Amended Preliminary Determination**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e) and (g)(1), Commerce is amending the

*Preliminary Determination* to reflect the correction of a ministerial error made in the calculation of the weighted-average dumping margin for Siam Metal.<sup>5</sup> Specifically, when calculating the costs, we inadvertently used the incorrect “Affiliated COP” and percentage of cost of manufacturing figures in applying the major input analysis. Commerce finds that this ministerial error is a significant error within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.224(g), because correction of this error decreases Siam Metal’s weighted-average dumping margin from 7.58 percent to 1.40 percent, which is a change that is at least five absolute percentage points in, but not less than 25 percent of, the weighted-average dumping margin calculated in the original (erroneous) preliminary determination; furthermore, the weighted-average dumping margin in the original *Preliminary Determination* was greater than *de minimis*, while the corrected weighted-average dumping margin is *de minimis* for Siam Metal. As such, in this amended preliminary determination, we find that Siam Metal is not, or is not likely to, make sales of subject merchandise at LTFV. As Siam Metal’s amended preliminary weighted-average margin is *de minimis*, we are also amending the all-others rate. Consistent with section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate will be equivalent to the rate calculated in the *Preliminary Determination* for Bangkok Sheet Metal Public Co. (Bangkok Sheet Metal), which is 2.54 percent. For a complete discussion of the alleged ministerial errors, see the Preliminary Ministerial Error Analysis Memo.

**Amended Preliminary Determination**

As a result of correcting this ministerial error, Commerce determines the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exits:

Exporter	Producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Siam Metal .....	Siam Metal .....	1.40 ( <i>de minimis</i> ).
Bangkok Sheet Metal Public Co .....	Bangkok Sheet Metal Public Co .....	2.54.
All-others rate		2.54.

<sup>1</sup> See *Boltless Steel Shelving Units Prepackaged for Sale from Thailand: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 88 FR 83389 (November 29, 2023) (*Preliminary Determination*),

and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> See Siam Metal’s Letter, “Ministerial Error Comments,” dated December 4, 2023 (Siam Metal Ministerial Comments).

<sup>3</sup> See section 735(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(g).

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, “Allegation of Ministerial Errors in the Preliminary Determination,” dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Ministerial Error Analysis Memo).