

BOEM requests information on the following topics:

1. Potential programmatic mitigation measures, including wind energy development alternatives offshore California, and the effects these could have on:

- Biological resources, including bats, birds, coastal fauna, finfish, invertebrates, essential fish habitat, marine mammals, and sea turtles;

- Physical resources and conditions including air quality, water quality, and other waters of the United States;

- Socioeconomic and cultural resources, including any resources of concern to Tribal Nations, commercial fisheries and recreational fishing, demographics, employment, economics, environmental justice, land use and coastal infrastructure, navigation and vessel traffic, other uses (marine minerals, military use, aviation), recreation and tourism, and scenic and visual resources, specifically if further visual analyses beyond the existing 2019 California visual simulations (see <https://www.boem.gov/california>) could sufficiently inform potential programmatic mitigation measures without the site-specific project information that would be available to BOEM when it undertakes further viewshed analysis at the construction and operations review phase.

2. Information on other current or planned activities in, or in the vicinity of, the five California wind energy lease areas under analysis.

3. Possible alternatives and the alternatives' possible impacts on planned activities.

4. Other impacts on the human environment from California wind energy development in the five lease areas, including any mitigation measures.

5. Information on the following for the development of the representative project design envelope and activities scenario: layout of turbines (analyze one or more standard layouts); setbacks identified in the leases; size (wind turbine generator nameplate capacity), dimensions (tip height, hub height, and rotor diameter) and number of turbines; offshore substation type, dimensions, number, and location; type of foundation or mooring design; foundation or mooring installation method; scour protection; approach to cable emplacement (installation methods and disturbance corridor width); location of landfalls; onshore substation location; point of grid interconnection; ports, fabrication facilities, and staging areas; timing of onshore and offshore activities; and associated activities such as vessel trips.

6. BOEM also seeks comment and input from the public and consulting parties under section 106 of the NHPA regarding the identification of other potential consulting parties, the identification of historic properties offshore California, the potential effects on those historic properties from California offshore wind energy development alternatives, including any mitigation measures, and any information that supports identification of historic properties under NHPA.

To promote informed decision-making, comments should be as specific as possible and should provide as much detail as necessary to meaningfully and fully inform BOEM of the commenter's position. Comments must explain why the issues raised are important for consideration in the analysis. The draft PEIS will include a summary of all alternatives, information, and analyses submitted during this scoping process.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*, and 40 CFR 1501.9.

Douglas Boren,

Pacific Regional Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2023-27930 Filed 12-19-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4340-98-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation. No. 337-TA-1382]

Certain Electronic Computing Devices and Components Thereof; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on November 15, 2023, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Lenovo (United States) Inc. of Morrisville, North Carolina. A supplement to the complaint was filed on December 4, 2023. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic computing devices and components thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,760,189 ("the '189 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,792,066 ("the '066 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 8,687,354 ("the '354 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 10,952,203 ("the '203 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the

United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Katherine Hiner, Office of Docket Services, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-1802.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2023).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 14, 2023, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 15 of the '189 patent; claims 1-21 of the '066 patent; claims 1-11 of the '354 patent; and claims 1-18 of the '203 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "laptops, notebooks, 2-in-1 tablet computers, tablets, desktop

PCs, tower PCs, workstations, routers, and components thereof”;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Lenovo (United States) Inc., 8001 Development Drive, Morrisville, NC 27560

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

ASUSTeK Computer Inc., No. 15, Li-De Road, Beitou District, Taipei 112, F5, Taiwan

ASUS Computer International, 48720 Kato Road, Fremont, CA 94358

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainants of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 15, 2023.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2023-27998 Filed 12-19-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation. No. 337-TA-1381]

Certain Disposable Vaporizer Devices and Components and Packaging Thereof; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on October 13, 2023, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company of Winston-Salem, North Carolina and R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company of Winston-Salem, North Carolina. A supplement to complaint was filed on November 1, 2023. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, and in the sale of certain disposable vaporizer devices and components and packaging thereof by reason false advertising, false designation of origin, and unfair competition, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a general exclusion order, or in the alternative a limited exclusion order, and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, telephone (202) 205-2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff

Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2023).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 14, 2023, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(A) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, or in the sale of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of false advertising under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. 1125(a)(1)(B), stated in paragraphs 137 through 142 of the complaint, false designation of origin under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. 1125(a)(1)(A), stated in paragraphs 143 through 147 of the complaint, and unfair competition based on violations of the Prevent All Cigarette Trafficking (PACT) Act, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “disposable vaporizer devices (ENDS devices) and components (specifically e-liquids) and packaging thereof”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, 401 North Main Street, Winston-Salem, NC 27101

R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company, 401 North Main Street, Winston-Salem, NC 27101

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: