

conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the transfers will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. The findings set forth above are supported by an NRC staff safety evaluation dated the same date as this order, which is available at ADAMS Accession No. ML23283A328.

III.

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 161b, 161i, and 184 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 2201(b), 2201(i), and 2234; and 10 CFR 50.80, 70.36, 72.50, and 110.50(d), IT IS

HEREBY ORDERED that the license transfer application, as described herein, is approved.

It is further ordered that after receipt of all required regulatory approvals of the proposed transaction, the applicants shall inform the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation and the Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards in writing of such receipt at least one (1) business day before all actions necessary to accomplish the indirect transfers of control are completed. Should the proposed indirect transfers not be completed within 1 year of the date of this order, this order shall become null and void, provided, however, that upon timely written application and for good cause shown, such date may be extended by order.

This order is effective upon issuance.

For further details with respect to this order, see the application dated May 30, 2023, as supplemented by letters dated June 20, 2023, June 26, 2023, and September 27, 2023, and the associated NRC staff safety evaluation dated the same date as this order. Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are accessible electronically through ADAMS in the NRC Library at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737 or by email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov.

Dated: November 30, 2023.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert M. Taylor, Deputy Director,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation for

Andrea D. Veil, Director,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

John W. Lubinski, Director,
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2023-27005 Filed 12-7-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP2023-94; MC2024-90 and CP2024-92; MC2024-91 and CP2024-93; MC2024-92 and CP2024-94; MC2024-93 and CP2024-95]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* December 11, 2023.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the Market Dominant or the Competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the Market Dominant or the Competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of

the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.¹

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern Market Dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern Competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s):* CP2023-94; *Filing Title:* USPS Notice of Amendment to Parcel Select Contract 56, Filed Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* December 1, 2023; *Filing Authority:* 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative:* Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due:* December 11, 2023.

2. *Docket No(s):* MC2024-90 and CP2024-92; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add Priority Mail & USPS Ground Advantage Contract 128 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* December 1, 2023; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative:* Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due:* December 11, 2023.

3. *Docket No(s):* MC2024-91 and CP2024-93; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add USPS Ground Advantage Contract 8 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* December 1, 2023; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative:* Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due:* December 11, 2023.

4. *Docket No(s):* MC2024-92 and CP2024-94; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail & USPS Ground Advantage Contract 27 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* December 1, 2023; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through

¹ See Docket No. RM2018-3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19-22 (Order No. 4679).

3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: December 11, 2023.

5. *Docket No(s)*: MC2024–93 and CP2024–95; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail, USPS Ground Advantage & Parcel Select Contract 1 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: December 1, 2023; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: December 11, 2023.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2023–26931 Filed 12–7–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270–819, OMB Control No. 3235–0780]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Extension: Rule 0–5

Upon Written Request, Copies Available

From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–2736

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 0–5 (17 CFR 270.0–5) under the Investment Company Act (the “Act”) (15 U.S.C. 80a *et seq.*) entitled “Procedure with Respect to Applications and Other Matters,” sets forth procedure for applications seeking orders for exemptions or other relief under the Investment Company Act. Rule 0–5(e) requires applicants seeking expedited review to include certain information with the application. Rule 0–5(e)(1) requires that the cover page of the application include a notation prominently stating “EXPEDITED REVIEW REQUESTED UNDER 17 CFR 270.0–5(d).” Rule 0–5(e)(2) requires applicants to submit exhibits with marked copies of the application showing changes from the final versions of two precedent applications identified

as substantially identical. Rule 0–5(e)(3) requires an accompanying cover letter, signed, on behalf of the applicant, by the person executing the application (i) identifying two substantially identical applications and explaining why the applicant chose those particular applications, and if more recent applications of the same type have been approved, why the applications chosen, rather than the more recent applications, are appropriate; and (ii) certifying that that the applicant believes the application meets the requirements of rule 0–5(d) and that the marked copies required by rule 0–5(e)(2) are complete and accurate.

Rule 0–5(g) provides that, if an applicant has not responded in writing to a request for clarification or modification of an application filed under standard review within 120 days after the request, the application will be deemed withdrawn. As an oral response would not stop an application from being deemed withdrawn, rule 0–5(g), requires applicants to respond “in writing” and therefore create an additional cost within the meaning of the PRA.

The information collected under rule 0–5(g) and (e) is intended to provide an expedited review procedure for certain applications and establish an internal timeframe for review of applications outside of the expedited procedure. The rule is meant to provide relief as efficiently and timely as possible, while also ensuring that applications continue to be carefully analyzed consistent with the relevant statutory standards.

Applicants for orders under the Act can include investment companies and affiliated persons of investment companies. Applicants file applications as they deem necessary. The Commission receives approximately 116 applications per year under the Act, and of the 116 applications, we estimate to receive approximately 32 applications seeking expedited review under the Act. Although each application is typically submitted on behalf of multiple entities, the entities in the vast majority of cases are related companies and are treated as a single applicant for purposes of this analysis. Each application subject to rules 0–5(e) and 0–5(g) does not impose any ongoing obligations or burdens on the part of an applicant.

Much of the work of preparing an application is performed by outside counsel. Based on conversations with applicants and Staff experience, approximately 20 percent of applications are prepared by in-house counsel.

The mandatory requirements under rule 0–5(e) increase the estimated hour

or cost burden for applicants utilizing in-house counsel by 7 hours¹ or \$3,388² per application. Therefore, the mandatory requirements under rule 0–5(e) increase the total estimated annual hour burden by approximately 50 hours utilizing in-house counsel.³ The total estimated annual cost burden for utilizing in-house counsel is \$24,200.⁴

We estimate to receive approximately 84 applications⁵ per year seeking standard review under the Act and of the 84 applications, we estimate that in approximately 10 percent of those, the applicants respond “in writing” to avoid the application being deemed withdrawn pursuant to rule 0–5(g). We believe the “in writing” requirement under rule 0–5(g) increases the burden for applicants utilizing in-house counsel by 2 hours or \$968 per application.⁶ Therefore, the “in writing” requirement under rule 0–5(g) increases the total estimated annual hour burden by approximately 3.36 hours utilizing in-house counsel.⁷ The total estimated

¹ This estimate is based on the following calculation: 5 hours (estimated hours per application to prepare the marked copies) + 2 hours (estimated hours per application to explain, notate, and certify) = 7 hours.

² This estimate is based on the following calculation: 5 (estimated hours per application to prepare the marked copies) × \$484 (hourly rate for an in-house counsel) = \$2,420; 2 (estimated hours per application to explain, notate, and certify) × \$484 (hourly rate for an in-house counsel) = \$968; \$2,420 (estimated cost per application to prepare the marked copies) + \$968 (estimated cost per application to explain, notate, and certify) = \$3,388; the hourly wages data is from the Securities Industry Financial Markets Association’s Management & Professional Earnings in the Securities Industry 2013, modified by Commission Staff to account for an 1,800-hour work-year and inflation, and multiplied by 5.35 (professionals) to account for bonuses, firm size, employee benefits, and overhead, suggests that the cost for in-house counsel is \$484 per hour.

³ This estimate is based on the following calculations: [5 (estimated hours per application to prepare the marked copies) + 2 (estimated hours per application to explain, notate, and certify)] × 32 (estimated number of applications under expedited review) × 0.20 (approximate percentage of applications prepared by in-house counsel) = 44.8 (rounded up to 50).

⁴ This estimate is based on the following calculation: 50 (estimated total hours utilizing in-house counsel) × \$484 (hourly rate for an in-house counsel) = \$24,200.

⁵ This estimate is based on the following calculation: 116 (estimated number of all applications) – 32 (estimated number of applications under expedited review) = 84.

⁶ This estimate is based on the following calculation: 2 (estimated hours to prepare “in writing” response) × \$484 (hourly rate for an in-house counsel) = \$968.

⁷ This estimate is based on the following calculations: 2 (estimated hours to prepare “in writing” response) × 84 (estimated number of applications under standard review) × 0.10 (approximate percentage of application required to respond “in writing”) × 0.20 (approximate percentage of applications prepared by in-house counsel) = 3.36.