standards enumerated in paragraph 7 of the Act.

Comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551–0001, not later than October 27, 2023.

- A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (Colette A. Fried, Assistant Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60690–1414. Comments can also be sent electronically to Comments.applications@chi.frb.org:
- 1. George B. Bley II, Palm Harbor, Florida, individually, and acting in concert with the Bley Family Control Group; to retain voting shares of Petefish, Skiles Bancshares, Inc., and thereby indirectly retain voting shares of Petefish, Skiles & Company, both of Virginia, Illinois.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Michele Taylor Fennell,

Deputy Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 2023–22582 Filed 10–11–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board's Freedom of Information Office at https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/ request.htm. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551–0001, not later than November 13, 2023.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (Jeffrey Imgarten, Assistant Vice President) 1 Memorial Drive, Kansas City, Missouri 64198–0001. Comments can also be sent electronically to KCApplicationComments@kc.frb.org:

1. State Holding, Inc., Richmond, Missouri; to become a bank holding company by acquiring The State Bank, Richmond, Missouri.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Ann Misback,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2023–22590 Filed 10–11–23; 8:45 am] ${\bf BILLING\ CODE\ P}$

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Supplemental Evidence and Data Request on Documentation Burden

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), HHS.

ACTION: Request for supplemental evidence and data submission.

SUMMARY: The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) is seeking scientific information submissions from the public. Scientific information is being solicited to inform our review on *Documentation Burden*, which is currently being conducted by the AHRQ's Evidence-based Practice Centers (EPC) Program. Access to published and unpublished pertinent scientific information will improve the quality of this review.

DATES: Submission Deadline on or before November 13, 2023.

ADDRESSES:

Email submissions: epc@ ahrq.hhs.gov.

Print submissions: Mailing Address: Center for Evidence and Practice Improvement, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, ATTN: EPC SEADs Coordinator, 5600 Fishers Lane, Mail Stop 06E53A, Rockville, MD 20857.

Shipping Address (FedEx, UPS, etc.): Center for Evidence and Practice Improvement, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, ATTN: EPC SEADs Coordinator, 5600 Fishers Lane, Mail Stop 06E77D, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kelly Carper, Telephone: 301–427–1656 or Email: *epc@ahrq.hhs.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality has commissioned the Evidence-based Practice Centers (EPC) Program to complete a review of the evidence for *Documentation Burden*. AHRQ is conducting this review pursuant to Section 902 of the Public Health Service Act, 42 U.S.C. 299a.

The EPC Program is dedicated to identifying as many studies as possible that are relevant to the questions for each of its reviews. In order to do so, we are supplementing the usual manual and electronic database searches of the literature by requesting information from the public (e.g., details of studies conducted). We are looking for studies that report on Documentation Burden. The entire research protocol is available online at: https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/documentation-burden/protocol.

This is to notify the public that the EPC Program would find the following information on *Documentation Burden* helpful:

- A list of completed studies that your organization has sponsored for this topic. In the list, please *indicate* whether results are available on ClinicalTrials.gov along with the ClinicalTrials.gov trial number.
- For completed studies that do not have results on ClinicalTrials.gov, a summary, including the following elements, if relevant: study number, study period, design, methodology, indication and diagnosis, proper use instructions, inclusion and exclusion criteria, primary and secondary outcomes, baseline characteristics, number of patients screened/eligible/enrolled/lost to follow-up/withdrawn/analyzed, effectiveness/efficacy, and safety results.
- A list of ongoing studies that your organization has sponsored for this topic. In the list, please provide the ClinicalTrials.gov trial number or, if the trial is not registered, the protocol for the study including, if relevant, a study number, the study period, design, methodology, indication and diagnosis, proper use instructions, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and primary and secondary outcomes.
- Description of whether the above studies constitute *ALL Phase II and above clinical trials* sponsored by your organization for this topic and an index

outlining the relevant information in each submitted file.

Your contribution is very beneficial to the Program. Materials submitted must be publicly available or able to be made public. Materials that are considered confidential; marketing materials; study types not included in the review; or information on topics not included in the review cannot be used by the EPC Program. This is a voluntary request for information, and all costs for complying with this request must be borne by the submitter.

The draft of this review will be posted on AHRQ's EPC Program website and available for public comment for a period of 4 weeks. If you would like to be notified when the draft is posted, please sign up for the email list at: https://www.effectivehealthcare. ahrq.gov/email-updates.

The review will answer the following questions. This information is provided as background. AHRQ is not requesting that the public provide answers to these questions.

Guiding Questions

Description/Overview of Measurements of Documentation Burden

- (1) What metrics of documentation burden that have been developed or used (including metrics broadly—quantitative and qualitative)?
- (a) For which settings, populations, and intended uses were the metrics developed?
- (b) How have these metrics been applied?
- (c) Is there published information available on validity of the metrics?
- (d) What are the key strengths and weaknesses of different metrics that have been used?

- (2) What are the different perspectives on the appropriateness of different metrics of documentation burden that have been applied/proposed (e.g., scalability, resource intensiveness to collect, equitable across populations)?
- (3) What are the perceptions of documentation burden from the perspective of people in different clinical roles (e.g., doctor, nurse, etc.) and patients/caregivers?

Factors Influencing Documentation Burden

- (4) What is the role of patients in documentation burden?
- (5) What is the role of setting (*i.e.*, rural vs. urban, hospital, outpatient, academic institution, etc.) in documentation burden?

PICOTS (POPULATIONS, INTERVENTIONS, COMPARATORS, OUTCOMES, TIMING, AND SETTINGS)

PICOTS elements	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Population	Healthcare professionals, including but not limited to: • Physicians. • Nurses.	Any healthcare professional without direct patient contact.
Interventions (Exposure)	Other professionals. EHR. Electronic prescribing.	• None.
Comporatora	Electronic patient portals. Computerized physician order entry.	None.
Comparators Outcomes	None Metrics of documentation burden, including but not limited to: WOW.	
	Time on Inbox. Time on Encounter Note Documentation. Excessive workload. Time on EHR.	
	Administrative tasks. Fragmentation of workflow. Physician-patient interaction.	
Timing	• All	None.
Settings	Any clinical settings	
Study design	RCTs. Comparative observational studies.	In vitro studies.Erratum.
	Surveys.	Editorials.
	Qualitative studies.	Letters.
	Mixed-method studies.	Case studies/case reports.
	Systematic review or meta-analysis.	Narrative reviews.
Publications	Studies published in English as peer reviewed full-text articles Published after the year 2000	Foreign language studies.
		Conference abstracts.

Abbreviations: EHR = electronic health record; RCT = randomized clinical trials; WOW = Work Outside of Work.

Dated: October 5, 2023.

Marquita Cullom, Associate Director.

[FR Doc. 2023-22503 Filed 10-11-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-90-P