(4) Demonstrated experience working effectively on teams;

(5) Expertise relevant to current and expected needs of the SRG, in particular, expertise required to provide adequate review and knowledgeable feedback on current or developing stock assessment issues, techniques, *etc.* In practice, this means that each member should have expertise in more than one topic as the species and scientific issues discussed in SRG meetings are diverse; and

(6) No conflict of interest with respect to their duties as a member of the SRG.

## Next Steps

Following review, nominees who are identified by NMFS as potential new members must be vetted and cleared in accordance with Department of Commerce policy. NMFS will contact these individuals and ask them to provide written confirmation that they are not registered Federal lobbyists or registered foreign agents, and to complete a confidential financial disclosure form, which will be reviewed by the Ethics Law and Programs Division within the U.S. Department of Commerce's Office of General Counsel. All nominees will be notified of a selection decision in advance of the 2023 SRG meetings.

Dated: September 7, 2023.

# Evan Howell,

Director, Office of Science and Technology, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 2023–19642 Filed 9–11–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

**COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS** 

# Notice of Meeting

Per 45 CFR Chapter XXI § 2102.3, the next meeting of the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts is scheduled for September 21, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. and will be held via online videoconference. Items of discussion may include buildings, infrastructure, parks, memorials, and public art.

<sup>1</sup> Draft agendas, the link to register for the online public meeting, and additional information regarding the Commission are available on our website: *www.cfa.gov.* Inquiries regarding the agenda, as well as any public testimony, should be addressed to Thomas Luebke, Secretary, U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, at the above address; by emailing *cfastaff@cfa.gov;* or by calling 202–504–2200. Individuals requiring sign language interpretation for the hearing impaired should contact the Secretary at least 10 days before the meeting date. Dated: September 1, 2023 in Washington, DC.

#### Susan M Raposa,

Technical Information Specialist. [FR Doc. 2023–19802 Filed 9–11–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6330–01–P

# COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### **Sunshine Act Meetings**

**FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT:** 88 FR 60442, September 1, 2023.

**PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING:** 9:00 a.m. EDT, Friday, September 8, 2023.

**CHANGES IN THE MEETING:** The meeting has been canceled.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Christopher Kirkpatrick, Secretary of the Commission, 202–418–5964. *Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Dated: September 7, 2023.

# Christopher Kirkpatrick,

Secretary of the Commission. [FR Doc. 2023–19734 Filed 9–8–23; 11:15 am] BILLING CODE 6351–01–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

## Department of the Air Force

# Record of Decision for Comprehensive Airspace Initiative at Moody Air Force Base, Georgia Environmental Impact Statement

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of Record of Decision.

**SUMMARY:** On August 15, 2023, the Department of the Air Force (DAF) signed the Record of Decision (ROD) for Comprehensive Airspace Initiative at Moody Air Force Base, Georgia, Environmental Impact Statement. **ADDRESSES:** Mr. Lorence Busker, 23rd Civil Engineer Squadron, 3485 Georgia Street, Moody Air Force Base, Georgia 31699–1707, Telephone: (229) 257– 2396; *lorence.busker@us.af.mil.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The DAF has selected Modified Alternative 1: Create the Corsair North Low, Corsair South Low, Mustang Low, and Warhawk Low Military Operations Areas (MOAs) with a floor of 1,000 ft above ground level (AGL) and a ceiling of 7,999 ft above mean sea level (MSL) beneath and within the lateral confines of the existing Corsair North, Corsair South, Mustang and Warhawk MOAs, respectively; create the Thud Low MOA with a floor of 4,000 ft AGL and a ceiling of 7,999 ft MSL beneath and within the lateral confines of the existing Thud MOA; create Grand Bay MOA with a floor of 100 ft AGL and a ceiling of 499 ft AGL beneath and within the lateral confines of the existing Restricted Area R–3008C; and lower the floor of Moody 2 North MOA from 500 ft AGL to 100 ft AGL. Based on this decision, the DAF will request the Federal Aviation Administration modify the low-altitude airspace floors to enhance low-altitude training within the Moody Airspace Complex.

The DAF decision documented in the ROD was based on matters discussed in the Final Environmental Impact Statement, inputs from the public and regulatory agencies, and other relevant factors. The Final Environmental Impact Statement was made available to the public on May 19, 2023, through a Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register** (88 FR 32215) with a waiting period that ended on June 20, 2023.

*Authority:* This Notice of Availability is published pursuant to the regulations (40 CFR part 1506.6) implementing the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*) and the Air Force's Environmental Impact Analysis Process (32 CFR parts 989.21(b) and 989.24(b)(7)).

# Mia Day,

Acting Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2023–19557 Filed 9–11–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–10–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### Department of the Air Force

[ARY-230418B-JA]

### Notice of Intent To Grant a Joint Ownership Agreement With an Exclusive Patent License

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Bayh-Dole Act and implementing regulations, the Department of the Air Force hereby gives notice of its intent to grant a joint ownership agreement with an Exclusive Patent License to Licensee, Battelle Memorial Institute having a place of business at 505 King Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43201.

**DATES:** Written objections must be filed no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the date of publication of this Notice. ADDRESSES: Submit written objections to Dr. Griffin Romigh, Lead, Office of Research and Technology Applications (ORTA), AFRL/RY—Sensors Directorate, Bldg. 600, 2nd Floor, 2241 Avionics Circle, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433; Phone (937) 713–3494; or Email: griffin.romigh@us.af.mil. Include Docket No. ARY–230418B–JA in the subject line of the message.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr.

Griffin Romigh, Lead, Office of Research and Technology Applications (ORTA), AFRL/RY—Sensors Directorate, Bldg. 600, 2nd Floor, 2241 Avionics Circle, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433; Phone (937) 713–3494; or Email: griffin.romigh@us.af.mil.

Abstract of patent application(s): An integrated circuit (IC) validation method consisting of means to acquire an image of an IC under test by scanning an optical beam over the IC under test to optically inject carriers into the IC under test and measuring an output signal generated by the IC under test in response to the optical carrier injection (e.g., Two-photon Optical Beam Induced Current—TOBIC); computing a comparison image between the image of the IC under test and a reference image; and identifying suspect regions of the IC under test based on the computed difference image.

Intellectual property: U.S. Application Serial No. 63/343,204, filed on May 18, 2022 entitled "Non-Destructive Verification of Integrated Circuits".

The Department of the Air Force may grant the prospective license unless a timely objection is received that sufficiently shows the grant of the license would be inconsistent with the Bayh-Dole Act or implementing regulations. A competing application for a patent license agreement, completed in compliance with 37 CFR 404.8 and received by the Air Force within the period for timely objections, will be treated as an objection and may be considered as an alternative to the proposed license.

Authority: 35 U.S.C. 209; 37 CFR 404.

#### Mia Day,

Acting Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2023–19596 Filed 9–11–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–10–P DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Acquisition Regulations System

#### Negotiation of a Reciprocal Defense Procurement Agreement With the Federative Republic of Brazil

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** On behalf of the U.S. Government, DoD is contemplating negotiating and concluding a new Reciprocal Defense Procurement Agreement with the Federative Republic of Brazil. DoD is requesting industry feedback regarding its experience in public defense procurements conducted by or on behalf of the Brazilian Ministry of Defence or Armed Forces.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by October 12, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments by email to *jeffrey.c.grover.civ@mail.mil*.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jeff Grover, telephone +1–703–380–9783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DoD has concluded Reciprocal Defense Procurement (RDP) Agreements with 28 qualifying countries, as defined in the **Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation** Supplement (DFARS) 225.003, at the level of the Secretary of Defense and his counterpart. The purpose of an RDP Agreement is to promote rationalization, standardization, interchangeability, and interoperability of conventional defense equipment with allies and other friendly governments. These Agreements provide a framework for ongoing communication regarding market access and procurement matters that enhance effective defense cooperation.

RDP Agreements generally include language by which the Parties agree that their defense procurements will be conducted in accordance with certain implementing procedures. These procedures relate to—

• Publication of notices of proposed purchases;

• The content and availability of solicitations for proposed purchases;

• Notification to each unsuccessful offeror:

• Feedback, upon request, to unsuccessful offerors concerning the reasons they were not allowed to participate in a procurement or were not awarded a contract; and

• Provision for the hearing and review of complaints arising in

connection with any phase of the procurement process to ensure that, to the extent possible, complaints are equitably and expeditiously resolved.

Based on the Agreement, each country affords the other country certain benefits on a reciprocal basis consistent with national laws and regulations. The benefits that the United States accords to the products of qualifying countries include—

• Offers of qualifying country end products are evaluated without applying the price differentials otherwise required by the Buy American statute and the Balance of Payments Program;

• The chemical warfare protection clothing restrictions in 10 U.S.C. 4862 and the specialty metals restriction in 10 U.S.C. 4863 do not apply to products manufactured in a qualifying country; and

• Customs, taxes, and duties are waived for qualifying country end products and components of defense procurements.

If DoD (for the U.S. Government) concludes a new RDP Agreement with the Federative Republic of Brazil and DoD executes a blanket public interest determination, as intended, Brazil will be listed as one of the qualifying countries at DFARS 225.872–1(a).

While DoD is evaluating Brazil's laws and regulations in this area, DoD would benefit from U.S. industry's experience in participating in Brazilian public defense procurements. DoD is, therefore, asking U.S. firms that have participated or attempted to participate in procurements by or on behalf of Brazil's Ministry of Defence and Armed Forces to let us know if the procurements were conducted with transparency, integrity, fairness, and due process in accordance with published procedures, and if not, the nature of the problems encountered.

DoD is also interested in comments relating to the degree of reciprocity that exists between the United States and Brazil when it comes to the openness of defense procurements to offers of products from the other country. Further, DoD would like to understand the degree to which U.S. industry feels that it would have equal and proportional access to the Brazilian defense market as Brazil would have under an RDP Agreement.

### Jennifer D. Johnson,

Editor/Publisher, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

[FR Doc. 2023–19604 Filed 9–11–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P