

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Bureau of Industry and Security****In the Matter of: Emilie Voissem, 172 Forsyth Road, Azle, TX 76020; Order Denying Export Privileges**

On January 12, 2022, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Emilie Voissem (“Voissem”) was convicted of violating 18 U.S.C. 371, the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701, *et seq.*) (“IEEPA”) and 18 U.S.C. 554. Specifically, Voissem was convicted of conspiracy to violate IEEPA, exporting and attempting to export, and smuggling four (4) rEvo III rebreathers from the United States to Libya without the required license or written approval. As a result of her conviction, the Court sentenced her to five months in prison, three years of supervised release and a \$300 special assessment.

Pursuant to Section 1760(e) of the Export Control Reform Act (“ECRA”),¹ the export privileges of any person who has been convicted of certain offenses, including, but not limited to, 18 U.S.C. 371, IEEPA and 18 U.S.C. 554, may be denied for a period of up to ten (10) years from the date of his/her conviction. 50 U.S.C. 4819(e). In addition, any Bureau of Industry and Security (“BIS”) licenses or other authorizations issued under ECRA, in which the person had an interest at the time of the conviction, may be revoked. *Id.*

BIS received notice of Voissem conviction for violating 18 U.S.C. 371, IEEPA and 18 U.S.C. 554. As provided in Section 766.25 of the Export Administration Regulations (“EAR” or the “Regulations”), BIS provided notice and opportunity for Voissem to make a written submission to BIS. 15 CFR 766.25.² BIS has not received a written submission from Voissem.

Based upon my review of the record and consultations with BIS’s Office of Exporter Services, including its Director, and the facts available to BIS, I have decided to deny Voissem’s export privileges under the Regulations for a period of seven years from the date of Voissem’s conviction. The Office of Exporter Services has also decided to revoke any BIS-issued licenses in which

Voissem had an interest at the time of her conviction.³

Accordingly, it is hereby *Ordered*:
First, from the date of this Order until January 12, 2029, Emilie Voissem, with a last known address of 172 Forsyth Road, Azle, TX 76020, and when acting for or on her behalf, her successors, assigns, employees, agents or representatives (“the Denied Person”), may not directly or indirectly participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as “item”) exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, license exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or engaging in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or from any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the

Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, pursuant to section 1760(e) of ECRA and sections 766.23 and 766.25 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Voissem by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

Fourth, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Voissem may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of part 756 of the Regulations.

Fifth, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to Voissem and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until January 12, 2029.

Issued this 10th day of August, 2023.

Jason Seltzer,

Acting Director, Office of Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2023–17543 Filed 8–15–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A–580–883]

Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that

¹ ECRA was enacted on August 13, 2018, as part of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019, and as amended is codified at 50 U.S.C. 4801–4852.

² The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 CFR parts 730 through 774 (2022).

³ The Director, Office of Export Enforcement, is the authorizing official for issuance of denial orders pursuant to amendments to the Regulations (85 FR 73411, November 18, 2020).

certain hot-rolled steel flat products (hot-rolled steel) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) were not sold at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), October 1, 2021, through September 30, 2022.

DATES: Applicable August 16, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dmitry Vladimirov or Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0665 or (202) 482-0410, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 13, 2023, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the 2021–2022 administrative review¹ of the antidumping duty order on hot-rolled steel from Korea.² We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.³ No interested party submitted comments. Accordingly, the final results of review remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*. Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this *Order* are hot-rolled steel from Korea. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is provided in the *Preliminary Results* PDM.⁴

Final Determination of No Shipments

As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, we received a no-shipments claim from Aekyung Chemical Co., Ltd. (Aekyung), and we preliminarily determined that Aekyung had no shipments during the POR.⁵ Following the publication of the *Preliminary Results*, we received no

¹ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022*, 88 FR 38489 (June 13, 2023) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Australia, Brazil, Japan, the Republic of Korea, the Netherlands, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Kingdom: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations for Australia, the Republic of Korea, and the Republic of Turkey and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 67962 (October 3, 2016) (*Order*).

³ See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022*, 88 FR 38489, 38490 (June 13, 2023).

⁴ See *Preliminary Results* PDM.

⁵ See *Preliminary Results*, 88 FR at 38489.

comments from interested parties regarding Aekyung, nor has any party submitted record evidence which calls our preliminary determination of no shipments for this company into question. Therefore, for the final results of review, we continue to find that Aekyung had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. Accordingly, consistent with Commerce's practice, we intend to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate any existing entries of merchandise produced by Aekyung, but exported by other parties, at the rate for the intermediate reseller, if available, or at the all-others rate.⁶

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period October 1, 2021, through September 30, 2022:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Hyundai Steel Company	0.00
POSCO; POSCO International Corporation ⁷	0.00
Companies Not Individually Examined ⁸	0.00

Disclosure

Because Commerce received no comments on the *Preliminary Results*, we have not modified our analysis and no decision memorandum accompanies this **Federal Register** notice. We are adopting the *Preliminary Results* as the final results of this review. Consequently, there are no new calculations to disclose in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b) for these final results of review.

⁶ See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 26922, 26923 (May 13, 2010), unchanged in *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989 (September 17, 2010).

⁷ We initiated this review with respect to the following companies: POSCO and POSCO International Corporation. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 87 FR 74404, 74407. Commerce previously treated POSCO and POSCO International Corporation as a single entity. See *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 86 FR 59985 (October 29, 2021), and accompanying PDM at 6–13, unchanged in *Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 87 FR 12660 (March 7, 2022).

⁸ See the appendix for a full list of companies not individually examined in this review.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. Because both of the respondents' weighted-average dumping margins or an importer-specific assessment rates are zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁹ The final results of this administrative review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.¹⁰

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by either of the respondents for which they did not know that the merchandise was destined to the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹¹ For the companies identified in the Appendix that were not selected for individual examination, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of hot-rolled steel from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section

⁹ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102–03 (February 14, 2012); see also 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

¹⁰ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

¹¹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the respondents will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original investigation but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 6.05 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.¹² These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing the final results of this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: August 10, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination

1. AJU Besteel Co., Ltd.
2. Ameri Source Korea
3. Chemaven Co., Ltd.
4. Cj Cheiljedang Corp.
5. Cj Global Logistics Service Inc.
6. Dongkuk Industries Co., Ltd.
7. Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd.
8. Geco Industries Co., Ltd.
9. Geumok Tech. Co., Ltd.
10. Goi Tech Industries Co., Ltd.
11. Golden State Corporation
12. Gs Global Corp.
13. Gs Holdings Corp.
14. Hanawell Co., Ltd.
15. Hanjin Gls Co., Ltd.
16. Hankook Co., Ltd.
17. HISTEEL
18. Hyosung Corporation
19. Hyosung Tnc Corporation
20. Hyundai Glovis Co., Ltd.
21. Hyundai Rb Co., Ltd.
22. Il Jin Nts Co., Ltd.
23. Inchang Electronics Co., Ltd.
24. J&K Korea Co., Ltd.
25. Jeil Industries Co., Ltd.
26. Jeil Metal Co., Ltd.
27. Jin Young Metal
28. Jun Il Co., Ltd.
29. KG Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.
30. KG Steel Corporation
31. Kumkang Kind Co., Ltd.
32. Lg Electronics Inc.
33. Maxflex Corp.
34. Mitsubishi Corp. Korea
35. Mitsui Chemicals & Skc Polyurethane
36. Nexteel Co., Ltd.
37. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
38. SeAH Steel Corporation
39. Sja Inc. (Korea)
40. Solvay Silica Korea
41. Soon Ho Co., Ltd.
42. Sumitomo Corp. Korea Ltd.
43. Sungjin Precision
44. Wintec Korea Inc.
45. Wonbangtech Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2023-17524 Filed 8-15-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Application No. 99-15A05]

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of issuance of an amended export trade certificate of review.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Commerce, through the Office of Trade and Economic Analysis (OTE), issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review (Certificate) to California Almond Export Association, LLC (CAEA) on June 26, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Flynn, Director, OTEA, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at etca@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4011-21) ("the Act") authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from State and Federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325. OTEA is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Secretary of Commerce to publish a summary of the certification in the **Federal Register**. Under Section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

Description of Certified Conduct

CAEA's Certificate has been amended as follows:

1. Removed the following Member:
 - Baldwin-Minkler Farms (Orland, CA)
2. Changed the names of the following Members:
 - Fair Trade Corner, Inc. (Chico, CA) is now Farmer's International, Inc. (Chico, CA)
 - Nutco, LLC d.b.a. Spycher Brothers (Turlock, CA) is now Nutco, LLC d.b.a. Spycher Brothers—Select Harvest (Turlock, CA)
3. Corrected the name of the following Member:
 - VF Marking Corporation DBA Vann Family Orchards (Williams, CA) is now VF Marketing Corporation DBA Vann Family Orchards (Williams, CA)

CAEA's amended Certificate Membership is as follows:

Almonds California Pride, Inc., Caruthers, CA
 Bear Republic Nut, Chico, CA
 Blue Diamond Growers, Sacramento, CA
 Campos Brothers, Caruthers, CA
 Chico Nut Company, Chico, CA
 Del Rio Nut Company, Livingston, CA
 Farmer's International, Inc., Chico, CA
 Fisher Nut Company, Modesto, CA
 Hilltop Ranch, Inc., Ballico, CA
 Hughson Nut, Inc., Hughson, CA

¹² See *Order*, 81 FR at 67965.