

If the SOI for POJ is amended in the manner as requested in the petition, there may also be some nutritional changes to POJ. Specifically, the Nutrition Fact labels provided by the petitioners show that several nutrients, such as potassium, folate, and vitamin C, would change with the Brix. Would such products have lower levels of certain nutrients than POJ under the current SOI? If so, would such decreases in nutrient levels lead consumers to not accept such products? Would consumers be willing to accept POJ with differing amounts of certain nutrients? Would it depend on the specific type of nutrient? Please be specific and explain your reasoning. Would it depend on the amount the nutrient declaration was changed? Please be specific about what (if any amount) would be acceptable at either a higher or lower level of what is currently declared for POJ.

3. Orange juice that does not meet the minimum Brix of 10.5° in the SOI may, under § 146.140(a) and (b), be blended with one or more of the optional concentrated orange juice ingredients (which would be labeled as specified in § 146.140(e)(1)) or with a higher-Brix POJ to meet the 10.5° Brix minimum.

(a) Would the use of concentrated orange juice ingredients impact consumers' decisions to purchase or consume POJ products? What if concentrated orange juice ingredients only contribute one-fourth of the total orange juice solids in the finished product, as currently specified by the SOI (§ 146.140(b))? Do consumers expect that POJ is produced entirely from non-concentrate orange juice? Please explain your reasoning.

(b) Oranges from other countries and states may be used to produce POJ with a higher Brix. Would the use of orange juice from other countries or other states impact consumers' decisions to purchase or consume POJ products? Please explain your reasoning.

4. Would orange juice producers apply for a TMP under § 130.17 to market POJ with Brix levels between 10° and 10.5° in order to gather data on consumers' expectations and acceptance of POJ with Brix levels in this range? If orange producers would not apply for such a TMP, please explain why. To satisfy the labeling provision under § 130.17(c)(9), would labeling POJ with Brix in this range as having lower Brix or lower sugar be feasible? Please explain why or why not. Is there another way that POJ with Brix between 10° and 10.5° could be labeled if it were market-tested under a TMP? If so, please explain how it could be labeled.

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321, 341, 343, 348, 371, 379e.

Dated: August 9, 2023.

**Lauren K. Roth,**

*Associate Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 2023-17453 Filed 8-15-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4164-01-C**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 147

[EPA-HQ-OW-2023-0073; FRL 9916-03-OW]

#### State of Louisiana Underground Injection Control Program; Class VI Program Revision Application; Notice of Availability of New Information

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** This document supplements the proposed “State of Louisiana Underground Injection Control Program; Class VI Program Revision Application” rule of May 4, 2023, to approve a revision to the State’s Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) section 1422 UIC program to include Class VI injection well primary enforcement responsibility (primacy). On June 30, 2023, the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources (LDNR) supplemented its Class VI primacy application to include Act No. 378 (HB 571), which revised portions of Louisiana law relevant to LDNR’s application. On June 14, 2023, Act No. 378 was signed into law and went into effect during the comment period for EPA’s proposal. This document presents and requests public comment on LDNR’s supplement to its application, which was not available in the docket EPA-HQ-OW-2023-0073 at the time of the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) May 4, 2023, proposal.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 15, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2023-0073, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <https://www.regulations.gov/> (our preferred method). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center, Water Docket, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building,

Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center’s hours of operations are 8:30 a.m.–4:30 p.m., Monday–Friday (except Federal Holidays).

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received may be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov/>, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the “Public Participation” heading of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Kelly, Drinking Water Infrastructure Development Division, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (4606M), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564-3887; or Lisa Pham, U.S. EPA Region 6, Groundwater/UIC Section (Mail code WDDG), 1201 Elm Street, Suite 500, Dallas, Texas 75720-2102; telephone number: (214) 665-8326. Both can be reached by emailing: [LAClassVINO@epa.gov](mailto:LAClassVINO@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Public Participation

###### A. Written Comments

Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2023-0073, at [https://www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov/) (our preferred method), or the other methods identified in the **ADDRESSES** section. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from the docket. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit to EPA’s docket at [https://www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov/) any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI), Proprietary Business Information (PBI), or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. If you need to submit CBI, contact Lisa Pham, contact information available in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). Please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets> for additional submission methods; the full

EPA public comment policy; information about CBI, PBI, or multimedia submissions; and general guidance on making effective comments.

## II. General Information

This document presents LDNR's supplement to its primacy application regarding Louisiana State Act No. 378. This act was signed into law and went into effect on June 14, 2023, during the comment period for EPA's proposed rulemaking, "State of Louisiana Underground Injection Control Program; Class VI Program Revision Application" (88 FR 28450, May 4, 2023). LDNR thereafter supplemented its Class VI primacy application to incorporate the new act, which revised portions of Louisiana law relevant to LDNR's Class VI primacy application. In LDNR's letter supplementing its application, LDNR stated that it found that Act 378 had no substantive impact on its pending application. The purpose of this document is to provide public notice and the opportunity for comment specific to LDNR's supplement to its primacy application regarding Louisiana State Act No. 378, which was not available for public review and comment at the time of the proposal. EPA is not reopening the overall comment period for the Agency's proposed approval of Louisiana's Class VI primacy application. EPA continues to review the comments received on the Agency's proposed approval and will address those comments and the comments submitted in response to this document in the final action.

Act 378 revised portions of Louisiana law relevant to LDNR's Class VI primacy application. For instance, it codified a parish notification requirement for permit applications for Class VI wells (and Class V wells related to geologic sequestration of carbon dioxide). It also codified Class VI quarterly and twenty-four-hour reporting requirements. It also revised Louisiana's long term liability provision in Louisiana Revised Statute (LA R.S.) 30:1109. As mentioned in the May 4, 2023, proposal, EPA is aware that stakeholders have raised concerns about this provision. EPA has reviewed Act 378, including its revisions to the long-term liability provision at LA R.S. 30:1109, and continues to propose approving Louisiana's application for Class VI primacy. EPA has determined that the application as supplemented continues to meet all applicable requirements for approval under SDWA section 1422.

Persons interested in the Class VI UIC program established by the State of Louisiana, and its proposed

incorporation under Section 1422 of the SDWA are encouraged to read the new information presented and respond to this document. Additionally, owners and operators, States, Tribes, and State co-regulators involved in geologic sequestration activities in Louisiana may also wish to comment on this publication. EPA is not reopening the overall comment period for the Agency's proposed approval of Louisiana's Class VI primacy application. EPA continues to review the comments received on the Agency's proposed rule approval and will address those comments and the comments submitted in response to this document in the final action.

**Radhika Fox,**

*Assistant Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2023-17517 Filed 8-15-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 300

[EPA-HQ-OLEM-2023-0299; EPA-HQ-OLEM-2023-0304; EPA-HQ-OLEM-2023-0382; FRL-11238-01-OLEM]

### Proposed Deletion From the National Priorities List

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is issuing a Notice of Intent to delete one site and partially delete two sites from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comments on this proposed action. The NPL, promulgated pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended, is an appendix of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). The EPA and the states, through their designated state agency, have determined that all appropriate response actions under CERCLA have been completed. However, this deletion does not preclude future actions under Superfund.

**DATES:** Comments regarding this proposed action must be submitted on or before September 15, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under the Docket Identification numbers included in Table 1 in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document. Submit your comments, identified by

the appropriate Docket ID number, by one of the following methods:

- <https://www.regulations.gov>.

Follow on-line instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

- *Email:* Table 2 in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document provides an email address to submit public comments for the proposed deletion action.

*Instructions:* Direct your comments to the Docket Identification number included in Table 1 in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through <https://www.regulations.gov> or email. The <https://www.regulations.gov> website is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through <https://www.regulations.gov>, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any