

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: Aerocyonics, Inc., East Greenwich, RI; Auburn University, Auburn, AL; Averatek Corp., Santa Clara, CA; Calumet Electronics Corp., Calumet, MI; CPS Technologies Corp., Norton, MA; Idaho Scientific, Boise, ID; Integra Technologies LLC, Wichita, KS; ISOLA USA Corp., Chandler, AZ; Matrix Technologies, Gainesville, FL; Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN; Rogers Corp., Chandler, AZ; ScanCAD International, Inc., Conifer, CO; STI Electronics, Inc., Madison, AL; Streamline Circuits dba Summit Interconnect, Santa Clara, CA; and TTM Technologies, Stafford Springs, CO. The general area of DEC’s planned activity is to bolster the security and resiliency of the defense electronics supply chain. The mission of the DEC is to strengthen the economic and force posture of the U.S. defense electronics industrial base and provide the DoD with deeper insights and connections to the U.S. electronics industry while providing industry with greater access to DoD opportunities.

Suzanne Morris,
Deputy Director Civil Enforcement
Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 2023–16911 Filed 8–7–23; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105–0NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; New Collection; Crime Victims’ Rights Act Complaint Form

AGENCY: Executive Office for United States Attorneys, Department of Justice.
ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Victims’ Rights Ombuds, Executive Office for United States Attorneys, Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in

accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.
DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until October 10, 2023.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Ellen Fitzgerald, Victims’ Rights Ombudsman, Executive Office for United States Attorneys, 202–252–1010, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Room 2261, Washington, DC 20530 (Email: USAEO.RegulatoryComments@usdoj.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Abstract: The Crime Victims’ Rights Act of 2004, 18 U.S.C. 3771 (CVRA), sets forth the rights of a federal crime victim to file a complaint against any

Department of Justice employee who violated or failed to provide rights established under the CVRA. The Department of Justice has created the Office of the Victims’ Rights Ombudsman to receive and investigate complaints filed by federal crime victims against its employees and has implemented “Procedures to Promote Compliance with Crime Victims’ Rights Obligations,” 28 CFR 45.10. The complaint process is not designed for the correction of specific victims’ rights violations but is instead used to request corrective or disciplinary action against Department of Justice employees who may have failed to provide rights to crime victims. The Department of Justice will investigate the allegations in the complaint to determine whether the employee used his or her “best efforts” to provide crime victim rights. The Office of the Crime Victims Rights Ombudsman does not administer crime victim funds or provide services.

- Overview of this information collection:*
1. *Type of Information Collection:* New information collection request.
 2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Crime Victims’ Rights Act Complain Form.
 3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Not applicable.
 4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as the obligation to respond:* The affected public are individuals. The obligation to respond is voluntary.
 5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 100 respondents will complete the form annually. The time to complete the form is approximately 45 minutes.
 6. *An estimate of the total annual burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total annual burden hours for this collection is 75 hours.
 7. *An estimate of the total annual cost burden associated with the collection, if applicable:*
 - 8.

TOTAL BURDEN HOURS

Activity	Number of respondents	Frequency	Total annual responses	Time per response (min)	Total annual burden (hours)
Complaint Form (completed by individuals)	100	Annually	100	45	75
Unduplicated Totals	100	100	75

If additional information is required contact: Darwin Arceo, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 4W-218, Washington, DC.

Dated: July 10, 2023.

Darwin Arceo,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2023-14886 Filed 8-7-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act and Clean Water Act

On August 2, 2023, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York in the lawsuit entitled *United States and State of New York v. FrieslandCampina Ingredients North America, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 3:23-cv-00937-TJM-ML.

The United States and the State of New York filed this civil enforcement action for injunctive relief and civil penalties pursuant to section 113 of the Clean Air Act (“CAA”), 42 U.S.C. 7413, section 309 of the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), 33 U.S.C. 1319, and article 19 of the New York Environmental Conservation Law (“ECL”), and regulations promulgated thereto, against FrieslandCampina Ingredients North America, Inc. (“Friesland” or “Defendant”), as owner and operator of a hydrolyzed protein powder facility (“Facility”) located at 40196 State Highway 10, Delhi, New York.

The complaint alleges that Friesland violated the CAA by failing to: obtain a modification of its title V CAA permit before its Facility became a major source of volatile organic compound (“VOC”) emissions; perform a Reasonably Available Control Technology (“RACT”) demonstration and implement RACT before commencing operation of a major source of VOC emissions; obtain a permit before constructing a new, modified, or existing air contamination source at the Facility; and report and maintain annual reports of its VOC (toluene) emissions. The complaint also alleges that Friesland violated the CWA by: failing to comply with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (“NYSDEC”) State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (“SPDES”) Permit No. NY262838; discharging non-contact cooling water

to the Delaware River at temperatures that exceeded the Facility’s permit limit of 70 degrees Fahrenheit; introducing total suspended solids into the Village of Delhi’s publicly owned treatment works in quantities that caused pass through and/or interference with the treatment works; and failing to comply with its New York SPDES Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (GP-0-17-004—No. NYR00F872) No Exposure Certification.

The settlement, set forth in a consent decree lodged with the court, would resolve violations of the CAA, CWA, and the ECL, and would require Friesland to reduce harmful toluene emissions through the installation and operation of pollution controls and comply with its permits. Friesland would also pay a civil penalty of \$2,880,000 (\$1,440,000 of which will be directed to New York State, exclusively to fund projects to prevent, abate, restore, mitigate, or control any identifiable instance of prior or ongoing water, land, or air pollution, as authorized by New York State Finance Law section 4(11) and New York Executive Law section 63(16)), and implement a Supplemental Environmental Project (“SEP”) at the Facility to reduce the adverse impacts of its thermal discharges and overall environmental risk to the Delaware River, by installing a closed-loop cooling tower system to replace its once-through, non-contact cooling water process that discharges excess heat into the adjacent watershed. The SEP would reduce groundwater withdrawals needed for Friesland’s operations and the volume of discharges of heated water to the Delaware River, which would enhance trout habitat.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and State of New York v. FrieslandCampina Ingredients North America, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-2-1-12387. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Henry Friedman,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2023-16919 Filed 8-7-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121-0329]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Previously Approved Collection; OJP Solicitation Template

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until October 10, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Jennifer Tyson, Office of Audit, Assessment, and Management, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 Seventh Street NW, Washington, DC 20531 or