

## National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), as implemented by the regulations published by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), the NSF prepared an Environmental Analysis (EA) to consider the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to the human environment from the planned marine geophysical survey off of North Carolina. NSF's EA was made available to the public for review and comment in relation to its suitability for adoption by NMFS in order to assess the impacts to the human environment of issuance of an IHA to L-DEO. In compliance with NEPA and the Council on Environmental Quality regulations, as well as NOAA Administrative Order 216–6, NMFS has reviewed the NSF's EA, determined it to be sufficient, and adopted that EA and signed a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) available on our website at <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/action/incidental-take-authorization-lamont-doherty-earth-observatorys-marine-geophysical-surveys>. NSF's EA is available at <https://www.nsf.gov/geo/oce/envcomp/>.

### Authorization

NMFS has issued an IHA to L-DEO for the incidental harassment of small numbers of 29 marine mammal species incidental to a marine geophysical survey of Blake Plateau in the northwest Atlantic Ocean that includes the previously explained mitigation, monitoring and reporting requirements.

Dated: July 10, 2023.

#### Kimberly Damon-Randall,

Director, Office of Protected Resources,  
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2023–14946 Filed 7–13–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

[Docket Number DARS–2022–0012]

### Department of Defense Contract Finance Study Follow-Up Activity

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Request for information.

**SUMMARY:** This notice requests input on improving the timeliness of payments to defense subcontractors as a means of

enhancing and securing the financial health of these critical members of the Defense Industrial Base, as well as attracting new entrants into the Defense Industrial Base while retaining existing participants. Input is solicited from the public, including companies currently participating in the Defense Industrial Base as a prime contractor, subcontractor, supplier, or vendor; as well as other interested parties.

**DATES:** Interested parties should submit written comments to the address shown in the **ADDRESSES** section on or before September 12, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in response to the questions provided below, using either of the following methods:

○ *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <https://www.regulations.gov>. Search for “Docket Number DARS–2022–0012.” Select “Comment” and follow the instructions to submit a comment. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “Docket Number DARS–2022–0012” on any attached document(s).

○ *Email:* [osd.pentagon.ousd-a-s.mbx.dpc-pcf@mail.mil](mailto:osd.pentagon.ousd-a-s.mbx.dpc-pcf@mail.mil). Include “DoD Contract Finance Study Follow-up Activity” in the subject line of the message.

Comments received generally will be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check <https://www.regulations.gov>, approximately two to three days after submission, to verify posting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Regina Bova, telephone 937–200–4020.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Background

The DoD Contract Finance Study, published in April 2023 and available at <https://www.acq.osd.mil/asda/dpc/pcf/finance-study.html>, was the first comprehensive contract finance study since publication of the Defense Financial and Investment Review in June 1985. The DoD Contract Finance Study concluded that, in the aggregate, the defense industry is financially healthy, and that its financial health has improved over time. However, the findings were not as auspicious when specifically considering the supply base (the members of the Defense Industrial Base operating as first-tier or lower-tier subcontractors and suppliers). The DoD Contract Finance Study found that defense subcontractors and suppliers generally do not receive favorable cash flow benefits as consistently or to the

same extent enjoyed by defense prime contractors. This is a crucial finding, as the Government Accountability Office (GAO) has noted estimates of 60 to 70% of defense work being performed by subcontractors (GAO–11–61r). In response to the findings of the DoD Contract Finance Study, the Department is investigating ways to improve cash flow and payment timeliness for the supplier base. Enhancements in this area would not only improve the financial health of defense subcontractors and suppliers, but could potentially assist in attracting new entrants into the Defense Industrial Base, including at the supply chain level.

#### B. Areas of Interest

The Department is seeking input on the following questions, all of which but one relate to Tenet 2 in the DoD Contract Finance Study Report (see page 6 of the Report for the Summary Table identifying all tenets). The Department anticipates requesting public comments on other areas of the DoD Contract Finance Study in subsequent **Federal Register** notices (*e.g.*, responses to question 6.c. may inform further exploration of Tenet 4).

1. What are your thoughts about extending the protections provided by the Prompt Payment Act to subcontractors? Generally, the Prompt Payment Act establishes payment due dates (in most cases, 30 days after receipt of a proper invoice or after acceptance of the product or service, whichever is later); establishes constructive acceptance criteria for purposes of starting the “interest clock”; and requires payment of interest from the payment due date to the actual payment date when payment is not made timely. (Reference: Tenet 2, Action 2a; details available in the Study Report, Section 3, under the headings of “Favorable Payment Terms and the Prompt Payment Act” and “Payment Timeliness”; see pages 56–62.)

2. What are your ideas about how to improve the timeliness of payments to subcontractors? (Reference: Tenet 2, Action 2b; details available in the Study Report, Section 3, under the heading of “Payment Timeliness”; see pages 60–62.)

3. Do you think it is necessary to improve the ability of subcontractors to bring payment issues to the attention of the Government contracting officer? If so, how can the Department facilitate subcontractor reporting of nonpayment issues to the cognizant contracting officer? (Reference: Tenet 2, Action 2d; details available in the Study Report, Section 3, under the heading “Oversight

Concerns and Recourse for Non-payment”; see pages 64–66.)

4. Please share your thoughts about how to improve the implementation of the Executive Branch policy on accelerating payments to small business subcontractors, which was originally laid out by Office of Management and Budget memorandum M–12–16. (This policy is currently implemented through Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) clause 52.232–40, Providing Accelerated Payments to Small Business Subcontractors.) What are your thoughts about the concerns laid out in Section 4 of the Defense Contract Finance Study Report regarding providing accelerated payments to small business contractors? For example, on the potential effectiveness of expanding the flowdown of 52.232–40 to all subcontractors, rather than only small business subcontractors? (Reference: Tenet 2, Action 2e; details available in the Study Report, Section 4, under the heading “FAR 52.232–40, Providing Accelerated Payments to Small Business Subcontractors”; see pages 76–78.)

5. Do you have any other ideas for improving payments to subcontractors on DoD contracts? (Reference: Tenet 2.)

6. Please tell us about your business relationship to the areas of interest enumerated above:

a. In what capacity are you commenting? If you are commenting on behalf of a professional association or a company, what is the size status (see FAR part 19) of your company (or for associations, member companies) for the majority of your contracts and subcontracts? What is your company’s (or for associations, member companies’) usual role or position in the DoD supply chain? (For context, please see page 53 of the Study Report, Section 3, “Financing and Payment Policy Impacts to Subcontractors,” first paragraph.)

b. If you have experienced payment timeliness issues as a member of the DoD supply chain, please provide insights into these experiences, including your role or position in the DoD supply chain at the time, the timeframe (when this occurred and how long it continued), and how frequently such experiences occurred.

c. If you have experienced an inability to obtain financing as a member of the DoD supply chain, please provide insights into these experiences, including your role or position in the DoD supply chain at the time, the timeframe, and how frequently such experiences occurred.

*Authority:* DoD Instruction 5000.35, Defense Acquisition Regulations (DAR) System.

**Jennifer D. Johnson,**  
*Editor/Publisher, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.*

[FR Doc. 2023–14959 Filed 7–13–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–06–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED–2023–SCC–0131]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; eZ-Audit: Electronic Submission of 90/10 Revenue Attestations for Proprietary Institutions

**AGENCY:** Federal Student Aid (FSA), Department of Education (ED).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Department is proposing a new of a currently approved information collection request (ICR).

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before September 12, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** To access and review all the documents related to the information collection listed in this notice, please use <https://www.regulations.gov> by searching the Docket ID number ED–2023–SCC–0131. Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> by selecting the Docket ID number or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. If the [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) site is not available to the public for any reason, the Department will temporarily accept comments at [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov). Please include the docket ID number and the title of the information collection request when requesting documents or submitting comments. Please note that comments submitted after the comment period will not be accepted. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Manager of the Strategic Collections and Clearance Governance and Strategy Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave. SW, LBJ, Room 6W203, Washington, DC 20202–8240.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Beth Grebeldinger, (202) 377–4018.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department, in accordance with the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department’s information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. The Department is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

*Title of Collection:* eZ-Audit: Electronic Submission of 90/10 Revenue Attestations for Proprietary Institutions. *OMB Control Number:* 1845–NEW.

*Type of Review:* New of a currently approved ICR.

*Respondents/Affected Public:* Private Sector.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 157,500.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 2,042.

*Abstract:* This is a new information collection request for the eZ-Audit—Electronic Submission of 90/10 Revenue Attestation for Proprietary Institutions. The request includes changes to the collection for domestic and foreign proprietary/for-profit schools’ 90/10 Revenue Attestation, and updates to the 90/10 Revenue Attestation calculation and reporting requirements per The American Rescue Plan of 2021 (ARP) which amended the Higher Education Act (HEA) of 1965 and the update in regulatory requirements made to 34 CFR 668.28.

Dated: July 11, 2023.

**Kun Mullan,**

*PRA Coordinator, Strategic Collections and Clearance Governance and Strategy Division, Office of Chief Data Officer, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development.*

[FR Doc. 2023–14936 Filed 7–13–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000–01–P**