INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–575 and 731– TA–1360–1361 (Review)]

Tool Chests and Cabinets From China and Vietnam; Determinations; Correction

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: Correction is made to the publication number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of July 7, 2023 (88 FR 43399) in FR Doc. 2023–14302, under Background, the publication number should be USITC Publication 5439 (June 2023).

Issued: July 7, 2023.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2023–14781 Filed 7–11–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1358]

Certain LED Landscape Lighting Devices, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 7) of the presiding chief administrative law judge ("CALJ") granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward S. Jou, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3316. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *https://edis.usitc.gov.* For help accessing EDIS, please email *EDIS3Help@usitc.gov.* General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at *https://www.usitc.gov*. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on April 14, 2023, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of Wangs Alliance Corporation d/b/a WAC Lighting ("WAC") of Port Washington, New York. 88 FR 23096-97 (Apr. 14, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain LED landscape lighting devices, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,920,971 (the "'971 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 10,969,088, and 11,274,816. The Commission's notice of investigation named as the respondent Hinkley Lighting, Inc. (''Hīnkley'') of Avon Lāke, Ŏhio. Id. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party in this investigation. Id.

On June 6, 2023, WAC and Hinkley jointly moved to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon a settlement agreement.

On June 8, 2023, the CALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion. The ID found that the motion complies with the requirements of 19 CFR 210.21(b)(1), including the attachment of confidential and public versions of the parties' settlement agreement. ID at 1-2. The ID also found "no evidence that terminating this investigation based on settlement would adversely affect the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers." Id. at 2–3. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on July 6, 2023.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission. Issued: July 6, 2023.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2023–14671 Filed 7–11–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1288]

Certain Playards and Strollers; Notice of a Commission Determination To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation; Request for Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding; Extension of Target Date

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined to review in part a final initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ"), finding a violation. The Commission requests written submissions from the parties on the issues under review and submissions from the parties, interested government agencies, and other interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding, under the schedule set forth below. The Commission has also determined to extend the target date for completion of the investigation to August 28, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benjamin S. Richards, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5453. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation by publication in the **Federal Register** on December 27, 2021. 86 FR 73318 (Dec. 27, 2021). The complainants are Graco Children's Products Inc., of Atlanta, GA ("Graco") and Wonderland Nurservgoods Co., Ltd. of Taipei, Taiwan ("Wonderland"). Graco and Wonderland's complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain playards and strollers by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,706,855 ("the '855 patent"); 9,414,694 ("the '694 patent"); RE43,919 ("the '919 patent"); and 6,979,017 ("the '017 patent"). Id. The complaint further alleged that a domestic industry exists. Id. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Baby Trend, Inc. of Fontana, CA ("Baby Trend"); Dongguan Golden Prosper Baby Products Co., Ltd., of Guangdong, China ("Golden Prosper"); Sichuan Hobbies Baby Products Co., Ltd., of Sichuan, China ("Sichuan Hobbies"); and Anhui Chile Baby Products Co., Ltd. of Anhui Province, China ("Anhui Chile"). Id. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in the investigation. Id.

On April 1, 2022, the Commission determined not to review an ID terminating the investigation as to the '017 patent. Order No. 7 (Mar. 7, 2022), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Apr. 1, 2022). On April 12, 2022, the Commission determined not to review an ID terminating the investigation as to respondent Golden Prosper based on withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 8 (Mar. 23, 2022), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Apr. 12, 2022). And, on December 14, 2022, the Commission determined not to review an ID terminating the investigation as to claims 3–9, 11–12, 14, and 16–20 of the '855 patent, claims 2, 4–9, 11–17, and 19-20 of the '694 patent, and claims 8, 10-12, 14-19, and 27-28 of the '919 patent as to all respondents, and terminating the investigation as to claim 20 of the '919 patent as to respondents Sichuan Hobbies and Anhui Chile (but not Baby Trend). Order No. 21 (Nov. 15, 2022), unreviewed by Comm'n Notice (Dec. 14, 2022).

The ALJ held an evidentiary hearing from December 12–15, 2022, at which point, only claims 1, 2, 10, 13, and 15 of the '855 patent and claims 1, 10, and 18 of the '694 patent remained as to all respondents and claim 20 of the '919 patent remained as to respondent Baby Trend. At the time of the evidentiary hearing, there were three remaining respondents in this investigation: Baby Trend, Sichuan Hobbies, and Anhui Chile ("Respondents").

On March 31, 2023, the ALJ issued the final ID in this investigation. The ID found that a violation of section 337 has occurred based on the respondents' importation and sale of products that infringe certain claims of the '855 patent and the '694 patent. By contrast, the ID found that no violation has occurred in connection with the '919 patent. The ALJ issued his recommended determination ("RD") on remedy and bond concurrently with the ID. The RD recommended issuance of a limited exclusion order ("LEO") directed to accused products that infringe the '855 or '694 patents. In addition to the LEO, the RD recommended the issuance of a cease-and-desist order ("CDO"). As to bond, the RD recommended a bond rate of 4% for the product accused of infringing only the '919 patent and a bond rate of 59% for the remaining accused products.

The parties filed petitions for review of the ID on April 14, 2023, and responses thereto on April 24, 2023.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the final ID, the parties' submissions to the ALJ, and the petitions for review, the Commission has determined to review the ID in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review: (1) for the '855 patent, whether claim 15 is anticipated by Gabriella, and whether claims 1, 2, 10, and 13 are obvious based on Troutman and Song or Hsia and Song; (2) for the '694 patent, whether claim 18 is anticipated by Hsia and whether claims 1 and 10 are obvious based on Troutman and Tharalson; (3) the '919 patent in its entirety; and (4) whether the technical and economic prongs of the domestic industry requirement are met for all three patents.

In connection with its review, the Commission requests responses to the following questions. The parties are requested to brief their positions with reference to the applicable law and the existing evidentiary record.

(1) Must the Commission identify a reason that an ordinary artisan would have been motivated to add legs like those claimed in claim 1 and 10 of the '855 patent (such as those disclosed in Song) to the stationary bassinet of Troutman, as opposed to adding legs generally? *See, e.g.,* ID at 64 (''I find that Respondents have established that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add legs to Troutman's infant support unit.''). Does the evidence of record demonstrate clearly and convincingly that such a motivation exists?

(2) On page five (5) of their petition for review, Respondents identify "[w]hether . . . Hsia anticipates . . . claim 18 of the '694 Patent'' as an issue for review. Identify where, if anywhere, Respondents raised that issue in their pre- and/or post-hearing briefs before the ALJ? Did the ALJ address that issue?

(3) What is the status of the *Wonderland Nursery Goods Co., Ltd.* v. *Baby Trend Inc.,* Case No. 5:14–cv–01153–JWH–SP, district court decision? Is it a final decision? Has an appeal been filed? Must the Commission give the judgment preclusive effect with regard to invalidity under 35 U.S.C. 251?

(4) Did Respondents preserve the argument that the recited "attachment structure" in claim 20 of the '919 patent excludes external fasteners?

(5) Is Complainants' argument that "mount and secure" as used in the '919 patent requires only that the fabric member be "held securely" along the inside of the support tubes a new claim construction that is waived?

(6) Is there any evidence in the record that a skilled searcher conducting a diligent search reasonably could have been expected to discover Mariol, Tabarin, or Noblet?

(7) Should the Manufacturing Respondents, against whom claim 20 of the '919 patent was not asserted, be allowed to assert a defense of invalidity as to claim 20?

(8) For purposes of determining estoppel in a second proceeding, does privity require that there be a relationship between Baby Trend and the Manufacturing Respondents at the time of the first proceeding or the second? Is the answer different for purposes of IPR estoppel? Did Complainants establish that privity exists between Baby Trend and the Manufacturing Respondents for the purposes of IPR estoppel?

(9) Did the ALJ address privity with regard to the second Manufacturer Respondent, Anhui Chile?

(10) Do the customer-manufacturer contracts between Baby Trend and each Manufacturing Respondent create privity for purposes of IPR estoppel?

(11) Does claim 20 of the '919 patent require the Clamped/Slit connection? Does the specification clearly and unequivocally disclose any embodiments that do not use the Clamped/Slit connection?

(12) The Final ID considered the investments for the '855 and '694 patents together. *See, e.g.,* ID at 118. If the Commission determines that one or more claims of the '855 patent and/or '694 patent asserted for purposes of domestic industry in this case have been shown to be invalid, please identify, with citations to the record, the appropriate domestic industry investments attributable to each patent.

(13) Can investments made by an entity that is a contractor/subcontractor, but is not a licensee of the complainant, be considered part of the domestic industry under the facts in this investigation? For purposes of determining significant or substantial investments or employment with respect to articles that practice the patents asserted in this investigation under section 337(a)(3), should the Commission consider the actual investments made by the entity or the payments made to that party by the Complainant for contracted manufacturing activity?

(14) Please discuss whether, in an investigation in which the DI products are manufactured outside the United States, it is consistent with the statute, legislative history, and court and Commission precedent not to consider foreign manufacturing expenses in determining the significance of domestic industry investments and expenditures.

The parties are invited to brief only the discrete issues requested above. The parties are not to brief other issues on review, which are adequately presented in the parties' existing filings.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the statute authorizes issuance of, inter alia, (1) an exclusion order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States; and/ or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in the respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub. No. 2843, Comm'n Op. at 7–10 (Dec. 1994).

The statute requires the Commission to consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The public interest factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and cease and desist orders would have on: (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve, disapprove, or take no action on the Commission's determination. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issues identified in this notice. Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. The parties should specifically address, among other things, whether the Commission should issue a cease and desist order as to all respondents or just to Baby Trend.

In its initial submission, Complainants are also requested to identify the remedy sought and to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainants are further requested to provide the HTSUS subheadings under which the accused products are imported, and to supply the identification information for all known importers of the products at issue in this investigation. The initial written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on July 20, 2023. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on July 27, 2023. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission. Opening submissions are limited to 75 pages. Reply submissions are limited to 35 pages. No further submissions on any of these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above. The Commission's paper filing requirements in 19 CFR 210.4(f) are currently waived. 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020). Submissions should refer to the investigation number (Inv. No. 337–TA–1288) in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, https://www.usitc.gov/ documents/handbook on filing procedures.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary, (202) 205-2000.

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment by marking each document with a header indicating that the document contains confidential information. This marking will be deemed to satisfy the request procedure set forth in Rules 201.6(b) and 210.5(e)(2) (19 CFR 201.6(b) & 210.5(e)(2)). Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. Any non-party wishing to submit comments containing confidential information must serve those comments on the parties to the investigation pursuant to the applicable Administrative Protective Order. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed with the Commission and served on any parties to the investigation within two business days of any confidential filing. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection on EDIS.

The Commission has also determined to extend the target date for completion of this investigation to August 28, 2023.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on July 6, 2023.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission. Issued: July 6, 2023.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2023–14778 Filed 7–11–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1104 (Third Review)]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: June 5, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tyler Berard (202–205–3354), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On June 5, 2023, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (88 FR 12987, March 1, 2023) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).²

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review on July 25, 2025. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,³ and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before August 2, 2023 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by August 2, 2023. However, should the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's

rules. The Commission's Handbook on Filing Procedures, available on the Commission's website at https:// www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_ on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined this review is extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: July 7, 2023.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2023–14727 Filed 7–11–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-1223]

Importer of Controlled Substances Application: Restek Corporation

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice. **ACTION:** Notice of application.

SUMMARY: Restek Corporation has applied to be registered as an importer of basic class(es) of controlled substance(s). Refer to Supplementary Information listed below for further drug information.

DATES: Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic class(es), and applicants therefore, may submit electronic comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration on or before August 11, 2023. Such persons may also file a written request for a hearing on the application on or before August 11, 2023.

ADDRESSES: The Drug Enforcement Administration requires that all comments be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal, which provides the ability to type short

¹A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

² Chairman David S. Johanson voted to conduct a full review.

³ The Commission has found the joint response submitted on behalf of Fiber Industries; Indorama Ventures Holdings LP; and Nan Ya Plastics Corp, America to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).