

**III. Request for Comment**

The ARCAP draft ISG titled, “Chapter 2, ‘Site Information,’” that is the subject of this FRN for which the staff is seeking comment, was developed because the current application and review guidance related to control of site information is directly applicable only to light water reactors and may not fully (or efficiently) identify the information to be included in a technology-inclusive, risk-informed, and performance-based application or provide a review

approach for such an application. The Chapter 2 draft ISG also refers to several NRC-issued, approved, or endorsed documents and the NRC is requesting comment on this proposed ISG’s use of those documents.

Additionally, the staff is issuing for public comment a draft regulatory analysis. The staff developed a regulatory analysis to assess the value of issuing or revising a regulatory guide as well as alternative courses of action. The development of both application guidance and staff review guidance is

warranted. If finalized, this ISG will serve as the non-LWR application and review guidance for site information.

**IV. Availability of Documents**

The table in this notice provides the document description, ADAMS accession number, and, if appropriate, the docket identification number referencing the request for public comment on supporting documents associated with the document that is the subject of this FRN.

Document description	ADAMS accession No.	Regulations.gov Docket ID No.
Draft Interim Staff Guidance DANU–ISG–2022–01 “Advanced Reactor Content of Application Project, ‘Review of Risk-Informed, Technology Inclusive Advanced Reactor Applications—Roadmap’”.	ML22048B546	NRC–2022–0074
Draft Interim Staff Guidance DANU–ISG–2022–02, “Advanced Reactor Content of Application Project Chapter 2, ‘Site Information’”.	ML22048B541	NRC–2022–0075
Draft Interim Staff Guidance DANU–ISG–2022–03, “Advanced Reactor Content of Application Project Chapter 9, ‘Control of Routine Plant Radioactive Effluents, Plant Contamination and Solid Waste’”.	ML22048B543	NRC–2022–0076
Draft Interim Staff Guidance DANU–ISG–2022–04, “Advanced Reactor Content of Application Project Chapter 10, ‘Control of Occupational Dose’”.	ML22048B544	NRC–2022–0077
Draft Interim Staff Guidance DANU–ISG–2022–05, “Advanced Reactor Content of Application Project Chapter 11, ‘Organization and Human-System Considerations’”.	ML22048B542	NRC–2022–0078
Draft Interim Staff Guidance DANU–ISG–2022–06, “Advanced Reactor Content of Application Project Chapter 12, ‘Post-Construction Inspection, Testing, and Analysis Program’”.	ML22048B545	NRC–2022–0079
Draft Interim Staff Guidance DANU–ISG–2022–07, “Advanced Reactor Content of Application Project, ‘Risk-informed Inservice Inspection/Inservice Testing’”.	ML22048B549	NRC–2022–0080
Draft Interim Staff Guidance DANU–ISG–2022–08, “Advanced Reactor Content of Application Project, ‘Risk-Informed Technical Specifications’”.	ML22048B548	NRC–2022–0081
Draft Interim Staff Guidance DANU–ISG–2022–09, “Advanced Reactor Content of Application Project, ‘Risk-informed Performance-based Fire Protection Program (for Operations)’”.	ML22048B547	NRC–2022–0082
DG–1404, “Guidance for a Technology-Inclusive Content of Application Methodology to Inform the Licensing Basis and Content of Applications for Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Non-Light-Water Reactors”.	ML22076A003	NRC–2022–0073
Regulatory Analysis for ARCAP ISGs .....	ML23093A099	NRC–2022–0074

**V. Backfitting, Forward Fitting, and Issue Finality**

DANU–ISG–2022–02, if finalized, would not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109, “Backfitting,” and as described in Management Directive (MD) 8.4, “Management of Backfitting, Forward Fitting, Issue Finality, and Information Requests”; constitute forward fitting as that term is defined and described in MD 8.4; or affect the issue finality of any approval issued under 10 CFR part 52. The guidance would not apply to any current licensees or applicants or existing or requested approvals under 10 CFR part 52, and therefore its issuance cannot be a backfit or forward fit or affect issue finality. Further, as explained in DANU–ISG–2022–02, applicants and licensees would not be required to comply with the positions set forth in DANU–ISG–2022–02.

Dated: May 22, 2023.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Steven T. Lynch,**

*Chief, Advanced Reactor Policy Branch, Division of Advanced Reactors and Non-Power Production and Utilization Facilities, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2023–11182 Filed 5–24–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

**OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT**

**Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee; Virtual Public Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** According to the provisions of section 10 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that a virtual meeting of the Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee will be held on Thursday, June 15, 2023. There will be no in-person gathering for this meeting.

**DATES:** The virtual meeting will be held on June 15, 2023, beginning at 10:00 a.m. (ET).

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will convene virtually.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ana Paunoiu, 202–606–2858, or email *pay-leave-policy@opm.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee is composed of a Chair, five representatives from labor unions holding exclusive bargaining rights for Federal prevailing rate employees, and five representatives from Federal agencies. Entitlement to membership on the Committee is provided for in 5 U.S.C. 5347.

The Committee’s primary responsibility is to review the Prevailing Rate System and other matters pertinent to establishing prevailing rates under subchapter IV, chapter 53, 5 U.S.C., as amended, and from time to time advise the Office of Personnel Management.

Annually, the Chair compiles a report of pay issues discussed and concluded recommendations. These reports are

available to the public. Reports for calendar years 2008 to 2020 are posted at <http://www.opm.gov/fprac>. Previous reports are also available, upon written request to the Committee.

The public is invited to submit material in writing to the Chair on Federal Wage System pay matters felt to be deserving of the Committee's attention. Additional information on these meetings may be obtained by contacting the Committee at Office of Personnel Management, Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee, Room 7H31, 1900 E Street NW, Washington, DC 20415, (202) 606-2858.

This meeting is open to the public, with an audio option for listening. This notice sets forth the agenda for the meeting and the participation guidelines.

**Meeting Agenda.** The tentative agenda for this meeting includes the following Federal Wage System items:

- The definition of Monroe County, PA
- The definition of San Joaquin County, CA
- The definition of the Salinas-Monterey, CA, wage area
- The definition of the Puerto Rico wage area

**Public Participation:** The June 15, 2023, meeting of the Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee is open to the public through advance registration. Public participation is available for the meeting. All individuals who plan to attend the virtual public meeting to listen must register by sending an email to [pay-leave-policy@opm.gov](mailto:pay-leave-policy@opm.gov) with the subject line "June 15, 2023" no later than Tuesday, June 13, 2023.

The following information must be provided when registering:

- Name.
- Agency and duty station.
- Email address.
- Your topic of interest.

Members of the press, in addition to registering for this event, must also RSVP to [media@opm.gov](mailto:media@opm.gov) by June 13, 2023.

A confirmation email will be sent upon receipt of the registration. Audio teleconference information for participation will be sent to registrants the morning of the virtual meeting.

Office of Personnel Management.

**Kayyonne Marston,**  
*Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. 2023-11221 Filed 5-24-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-49-P**

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### Submission for Renewal of a Previously Approved Information Collection: Questionnaire for National Security Positions, Standard Form 86 (SF 86)

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) proposes to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to renew a previously-approved information collection request (ICR), Questionnaire for National Security Positions, Standard Form 86 (SF 86).

**DATES:** Comments will be accepted until July 24, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number and/or Regulatory Information Number and title, by the following method:

*Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number or RIN for this document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by email to [SuitEAForms@opm.gov](mailto:SuitEAForms@opm.gov), or by contacting Alexys Stanley, 202-606-1800, or U. S. Office of Personnel Management, Suitability Executive Agent Programs, P.O. Bo 699, Slippery Rock, PA 16057.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** OPM is soliciting comments for this collection as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13 44 U.S.C. chapter 35) as amended by the Clinger-Cohen Act (Pub. L. 104-106). OPM is particularly interested in comments that:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

### Background

The Questionnaire for National Security Positions, Standard Form 86 (SF 86) is completed by civilian employees of the Federal Government, military personnel, and non-federal employees, including general contractors and individuals otherwise not directly employed by the Federal Government but who perform work for or on behalf of the Federal Government. For applicants for civilian Federal employment, the SF 86 is to be used only after a conditional offer of employment has been made. The Electronic Questionnaires for Investigations Processing (e-QIP) is a web-based system application that houses the SF 86. A variable in assessing burden hours is the nature of the electronic application. The electronic application includes branching questions and instructions which provide for a tailored collection from the respondent based on varying factors in the respondent's personal history. The burden on the respondent is reduced when the respondent's personal history is not relevant to particular questions, since the question branches, or expands for additional details, only for those persons who have pertinent information to provide regarding that line of questioning. Accordingly, the burden on the respondent will vary depending on whether the information collection relates to the respondent's personal history.

OPM recommends renewal of the form without any proposed changes. This recommendation is due to the forthcoming plan to replace the SF-86 with the Personnel Vetting Questionnaire, which is currently under review for approval by the Office of Management and Budget (87 FR 71700 and 88 FR 12703).

### Analysis

*Agency:* U.S. Office of Personnel Management.