possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, pursuant to section 1760(e) of ECRA and sections 766.23 and 766.25 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Jaimes by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

Fourth, in accordance with part 756 of the Regulations, Jaimes may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of part 756 of the Regulations.

Fifth, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to Jaimes and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until September 21, 2028.

John Sonderman,

Director, Office of Export Enforcement. [FR Doc. 2023–09598 Filed 5–4–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DT–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

In the Matter of: Andres Morales, Inmate Number: 61387–479, FCI Forrest City Low, Federal Correctional Institution, P.O. Box 9000, Forrest City, AR 72336; Order Denying Export Privileges

On June 28, 2021, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Andres Morales ("Morales") was convicted of violating 18 U.S.C. 554(a). Specifically, Morales was convicted of smuggling from United States to Mexico, approximately ten (10) to fifteen (15) Barrett .50 caliber rifles; and ten (10) or more AK–47 assault-style rifles. As a result of his conviction, the Court sentenced Morales to 84 months of confinement, three years of supervised release, and a \$200 assessment. Pursuant to section 1760(e) of the Export Control Reform Act ("ECRA"), ¹ the export privileges of any person who has been convicted of certain offenses, including, but not limited to, 18 U.S.C. 554, may be denied for a period of up to ten (10) years from the date of his/her conviction. 50 U.S.C. 4819(e). In addition, any Bureau of Industry and Security ("BIS") licenses or other authorizations issued under ECRA, in which the person had an interest at the time of the conviction, may be revoked. *Id.*

BIS received notice of Morales's conviction for violating 18 U.S.C. 554. As provided in section 766.25 of the Export Administration Regulations ("EAR" or the "Regulations"), BIS provided notice and opportunity for Morales to make a written submission to BIS. 15 CFR 766.25.² BIS has not received a written submission from Morales.

Based upon my review of the record and consultations with BIS's Office of Exporter Services, including its Director, and the facts available to BIS, I have decided to deny Morales's export privileges under the Regulations for a period of 10 years from the date of Morales's conviction. The Office of Exporter Services has also decided to revoke any BIS-issued licenses in which Morales had an interest at the time of his conviction.³

Accordingly, it is hereby *ordered*: First, from the date of this Order until June 28, 2031, Andres Morales, with a last known address of Inmate Number: 61387–479, FCI Forrest City Low Federal Correctional Institution, P.O. Box 9000, Forrest City, AR 72336, and when acting for or on his behalf, his successors, assigns, employees, agents or representatives ("the Denied Person''), may not directly or indirectly participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, license exception, or export control document; B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or engaging in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

Č. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or from any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export, reexport, or transfer (incountry) to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, pursuant to section 1760(e) of ECRA and sections 766.23 and 766.25 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Morales by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be

¹ECRA was enacted on August 13, 2018, as part of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019, and as amended is codified at 50 U.S.C. 4801–4852.

² The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 CFR parts 730– 774 (2022).

³ The Director, Office of Export Enforcement, is the authorizing official for issuance of denial orders pursuant to amendments to the Regulations (85 FR 73411, November 18, 2020).

made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

Fourth, in accordance with part 756 of the Regulations, Morales may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of part 756 of the Regulations.

Fifth, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to Morales and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until June 28, 2031.

John Sonderman,

Director, Office of Export Enforcement. [FR Doc. 2023–09597 Filed 5–4–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DT–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-895]

Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on common alloy aluminum sheet (aluminum sheet) from India. Commerce preliminarily finds that sales of aluminum sheet from India were not sold in the United States at less than normal value (NV) during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. The period of review (POR) is October 15, 2020, through March 31, 2022.

DATES: Applicable May 5, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel Jennings, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6274.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 27, 2021, Commerce published the AD order on aluminum sheet from India.¹ On June 9, 2022, Commerce initiated an administrative review of the *Order*, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² This administrative review covers two producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, Hindalco Industries Limited (Hindalco) and Virgo Aluminum Limited (Virgo).

On December 5, 2022, Commerce extended the deadline for the preliminary results until April 28, 2023.³ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁴

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this *Order* are common alloy aluminum sheet from India. For a full description of the scope, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the

² See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 87 FR 35165 (June 9, 2022).

³ See Memorandum, "Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2020–2022," dated December 5, 2022.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from India; 2020– 2022," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum). public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *https://access.trade.gov*. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is available at *https://access.trade.gov/public/ FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.*

Rates for Non-Examined Company

Neither the Act nor Commerce's regulations address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies that were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally "an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}."

Where the dumping margin for individually examined respondents are all zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available, section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act provides that Commerce may use "any reasonable method to establish the estimated all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the estimated weighted average dumping margins determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated."

In this review, we calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for Hindalco that is zero and we did not calculate any margins which are not zero, *de minimis*, determined entirely on the basis of facts available. Therefore, consistent with section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act, we are applying to Virgo, the company not selected for individual examination in this review, a margin of zero percent.

¹ See Common Alloy Aluminum Sheet from Bahrain, Brazil, Croatia, Egypt, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Oman, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan and the Republic of Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders, 86 FR 22139 (April 27, 2021) (Order).