## Tameka Owens,

Deputy Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2023–08767 Filed 4–25–23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–30–C

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### Food and Nutrition Service

### Agency Information Collection Activities, Proposed Collection: Request for Comments on How Have SNAP State Agencies Shifted Operations in the Aftermath of COVID– 19? (SNAP COVID Study)

**AGENCY:** Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), USDA.

## ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on this proposed information collection. This is a new information collection for the contract of the study titled "How Have Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) State Agencies Shifted Operations in the Aftermath of COVID-19? (SNAP COVID study)". The purpose of the SNAP COVID study is to help FNS develop a comprehensive understanding of how SNAP agencies have adapted their operations and norms during the COVID-19 pandemic and increased their preparedness for another major disruption.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before June 26, 2023. ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent to Amanda Wyant, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1320 Braddock Place, 5th floor, Alexandria, VA 22314. Comments may also be submitted via email to Amanda.Wyant@usda.gov. Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will be a matter of public record.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of this information collection should be directed to Amanda Wyant at 703–305–7537.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the

agency's functions, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions that were used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology

*Title:* How Have SNAP State Agencies Shifted Operations in the Aftermath of COVID–19? (SNAP COVID study).

Form Number: N/A. OMB Number: 0584–NEW. Expiration Date: Not yet determined. Type of Request: New collection.

Abstract. As the cornerstone of the nation's nutrition safety net, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) provides monthly benefits to households with low incomes to reduce food insecurity and improve health and well-being. The COVID-19 pandemic and its economic fallout created extraordinary challenges for SNAP and the broader safety net as whole. To keep processing applications and issuing benefits, SNAP agencies had to pivot sharply to adapt their core operations and deliver services primarily or entirely virtually. Drawing on both new and existing waivers and policy options in this uncharted environment required a host of complicated decisions and choices on the part of State SNAP agencies. The study titled "How Have SNAP State Agencies Shifted Operations in the Aftermath of COVID-19? (SNAP COVID study)" will provide the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) with a comprehensive picture of how State SNAP agencies responded to the pandemic, including their decisionmaking processes, experiences with program changes in the short and long terms, and how these experiences have prepared States for major disruptions in the future.

The SNAP COVID study will provide information about State SNAP agencies' experiences with the wide range and mix of operational changes made in response to the evolving pandemic. This gives FNS and State SNAP agencies an important opportunity to assess what did and did not work and why; to describe the decision-making processes that led to States' responses to date and their plans for the period after the public health emergency; to identify changes that are here to stay for the foreseeable future; and to consider the lessons learned to inform continued program improvement and increase preparedness for any future disruptions that affect service delivery.

The study will gather detailed data from all 53 State SNAP agencies via a web-based survey and will conduct case studies in five States. In each of the five site visit States, the study team will conduct interviews with State and local SNAP staff and collect individual-level application and case records and/or aggregate performance data. These data will provide insight on how key metrics such as SNAP caseload size and composition changed after the implementation of program changes. The study team will systematically collect publicly available documents through FNS and web searches to inform the development of data collection instruments for the survey and site visit interviews. The team will use these along with non-public documents (for example, State policy guidance) we will collect from States to confirm and clarify survey responses.

Affected public. Members of the public affected by the data collection include State, local, and Tribal governments from 53 State SNAP agencies. Respondent groups identified include: (1) State or territory agency directors; (2) State or territory data and IT staff; (3) State or territory operations and policy staff; (4) Local directors; (5) Local agency supervisors; (4) Local agency frontline staff.

A survey will be conducted with all 53 State SNAP agency directors and staff. Case studies will be conducted with five of the States, affecting State and local SNAP agency directors and staff.

Estimated number of respondents. The total estimated number of unique respondents for both the pretest and study data collection activities is 284. with four nonrespondents. There are 243 State level staff who will participate. This includes 53 State or territory SNAP directors; 127 State or territory SNAP policy and operations staff; 5 State or territory data staff; and 58 State or territory IT staff. There are 41 local level staff who will participate in the study: 11 local SNAP agency directors; 15 local SNAP agency supervisors, and 15 local SNAP agency frontline staff.

The State or territory SNAP agency directors include respondents from 53 U.S. States and territories (50 U.S. States, the District of Columbia, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Guam). Each State or territory SNAP agency director may designate up to three staff to complete sections of the survey, accounting for up to an additional 159 State or territory staff participating as respondents (212 survey respondents total). This is the highest possible number of survey respondents; FNS expects fewer to participate in the survey. Prior to data collection we expect three of the State or territory SNAP agency directors will participate in the pretest.

Five States will be selected for the case study. Here is a summary of the respondents for the case study:

• 5 State SNAP agency directors (one from each of the five case study States) will participate in the case study. We expect to reach out to 9 SNAP agency directors about the case study but expect that four States will not be able to participate.

• 20 State SNAP policy and operations staff (four from each of the five States).

• 5 State SNAP data staff (one from each of the five States).

• 5 State SNAP IT staff (one from each of the five States).

• 10 local SNAP agency directors (two from each of the five States).

• 15 local SNAP agency supervisors (three from each of the five States).

• 15 local SNAP agency frontline staff (three from each of the five States).

Prior to the start of data collection, we expect that one State SNAP agency director, one State operations and policy staff person, and one local SNAP agency director will participate in the pretest.

Estimated number of responses per respondent. Across all 284<sup>1</sup> unique

respondents (284 respondents and 4 non-respondents) and 2,373 annual responses, the average number of responses is 8.24. State or territory SNAP directors will respond once to a web-based survey with five modules. State or territory SNAP directors will receive an FNS State outreach email to notify them about the web survey. The contractor will then email the States a study description and invitation to complete the web survey. State or territory SNAP agency directors, SNAP operations and policy staff and SNAP IT staff who have not completed the survey will be emailed biweekly to complete the survey (for a total of five possible emails). Those who have not completed the survey in the last four weeks of data collection will receive an urgent survey reminder email every week (for a total of four possible emails). State or territory SNAP directors, SNAP operations and policy staff and SNAP IT staff will be asked to submit documents related to their COVID-19 procedures as part of the survey. If they do not submit their documents, they will be sent reminder emails (for a total of nine possible emails). Starting in Week 6 of data collection, State or territory SNAP directors will receive reminder phone calls.

Five State SNAP agencies that participated in the initial survey will be selected in collaboration with FNS for a case study. The case study will involve interviews with five State SNAP directors, 20 State SNAP operations and policy staff, 5 State SNAP data staff, 5 State SNAP IT staff, 10 local SNAP agency directors, 15 local SNAP agency supervisors, and 15 local SNAP agency

frontline staff. The State or territory SNAP directors will receive an initial email from FNS notifying them about the case studies. Following that, an email will come from the research team introducing the directors to the case studies and asking them to schedule a call with the research team to discuss the case studies. State SNAP directors that do not respond to this initial email will receive a reminder email and, if needed, a reminder call to schedule a time to discuss the case studies with the research team. The State SNAP directors will then participate in an hour-long call to discuss the case study. Once the local agencies are identified in collaboration with the State, the research team will reach out to the local agencies by email to schedule their portion of the site visit.

Prior to the start of data collection, we expect that one State SNAP agency director, one State operations and policy staff person, and one local SNAP agency director will participate in the pretest.

Estimated total annual responses. 2,373

*Estimated time per response.* The estimated time per response varies from 0.03 hours for activities related to reading email reminders for the survey and case studies to 20 hours for state IT staff to provide administrative data. The response time will vary depending on the respondent group, as shown in the attached table, with an average estimated time of 33.53 minutes (0.56 hours).

Estimated total annual burden on respondents. The total estimated burden on respondents is 22,564.20 minutes (376.07 hours). See the table below for estimated total annual burden for each type of respondent.

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are a total of 284 unique respondents estimated to participate in this study. The same 5 State SNAP directors who participate in the web survey will also participate in the case studies. We estimate that we will need to reach out to a total of 9 State SNAP directors to ask if they can participate in the study. Of these, we expect 4 State SNAP directors will be non-respondents for the

case studies. The 9 State SNAP directors who will be reached out for the case studies are only counted once in the sample size totals.

	I UU	erai	Keg	13101	/ 001.	00,	110.	00	/ weu	mea	Juay	, дрі	II 2	20,	20237	INU	nice	3			
I otal annualized cost of respondent burden	\$417.17	S1,754.74	S2,980.37	\$214.78	\$280.76	\$421.14	\$140.38	\$124.49	\$151.03	\$76.19	\$108.60	\$131.75	\$66.46	\$92.70	\$112.47	\$56.74	\$76.81	\$93.19	\$47.01	\$60.92	
Total cost with fringe benefits (33%)		,	1	ï	ı		'		ı	I		ı	,	ı		ı		1			
Hourly Wage rate*	\$79.46	\$79.46	\$48.20	\$48.63	\$79.46	\$79.46	\$79.46	\$79.46	\$48.20	\$48.63	\$79.46	\$48.20	\$48.63	\$79.46	\$48.20	\$48.63	\$79.46	\$48.20	\$48.63	\$79.46	
Grand total annual burden estimate (hours)	5.25	22.08	61.83	4.42	3.53	5.30	1.77	1.57	3.13	1.57	1.37	2.73	1.37	1.17	2.33	1.17	0.97	1.93	0.97	0.77	
Annual a burden (hours)	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.000	0000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Total annual H sponses re	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	
Frequenc Total y of annual Hours per response responses response	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of I non- respondents	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		22.08	61.83	4.42	3.53	5.30	1.77	1.57	3.13	1.57	1.37	2.73	1.37	1.17	2.33	1.17	0.97	1.93	0.97	17.0	
Hours / per b	1.7500	0.4167	0.5833	0.0833	0.0667	0.1000	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	0.0333	
Total annual sponses n	е	53	106	53	53	53	53	47	94	47	41	82	41	35	02	35	29	58	58	23	
Total Hours Annual Frequency annual per burden of response response (hours)	-	-	-	-	~	~	<del>.                                    </del>	-	~	-	<del>~</del>	~	-	~	~	-	<del>~</del>	~	~	-	
Number of I respondents o		53	106	53	53	53	53	47	94	47	41	82	41	35	70	35	29	58	29	23	
Sample size re	~	53	106	53	53	53	53	53	106	53	53	106	53	53	106	53	53	106	53	53	
Instruments	SNAP COVID Survey pretest	SNAP COVID Survey	SNAP COVID Survey	SNAP COVID Survey ENS State	FIND State Recruitment Email for Survey Survey study team	outreach email from Mathematica	description	blweekiy reminder email for survey #1	Biweekly reminder email for survey #1	Blweekly reminder email for survey #1	Biweekly reminder email for survey #2	Biweekly reminder email for survey #2	email for survey #2	Biweekly reminder email for survey #3	Biweekty reminder email for survey #3	Biweekly reminder email for survey #3	Biweekly reminder email for survey #4	Biweekly reminder email for survev #4	Biweekly reminder email for survey #4	Biweekly reminder email for survey #5	
Type of respondents	State or territory SNAP director	State or territory SNAP director	State or territory SNAP operations and policy staff	State or territory SNAP IT staff	State or territory SNAP director State or territory	SNAP director	SNAP director	SNAP director			<ol> <li>State or territory SNAP director State or territory</li> </ol>	SNAP operations and policy staff	SNAP IT staff	State or territory SNAP director	State or territory SNAP operations and policy staff	SNAP IT staff	State or territory SNAP director	state or territory SNAP operations and policy staff	State or territory SNAP IT staff	State or territory SNAP director	
Affected public	-									state, local, and Tribal	government										

NON-RESPONDENTS

RESPONDENTS

RESPONDENTS

\$27.56 \$76.19 \$108.60 \$131.75 \$66.46 \$92.70 \$37.28 \$54.63 14 \$35.35 \$8.11 \$2.65 \$124.49 \$151.03 \$73.91 \$45.03 \$17.83 \$13.24 \$16.07 \$3.21 \$1.62 Total annualized cost of respondent burden \$29. Total cost with fringe benefits (33%) \$48.20 \$48.63 \$79.46 \$48.63 \$79.46 \$48.20 \$48.63 \$79.46 \$48.20 \$48.63 \$79.46 \$48.20 \$48.63 \$79.46 \$48.20 \$48.63 \$48.20 \$48.63 \$79.46 \$48.20 9 Hourly Wage rate\* \$79.4 Grand total Grand total Annual annual burden respondents response response response (hours) (hours) 53 0.77 1.13 0.73 0.37 0.17 0.33 0.17 0.03 3.13 2.73 1.17 0.57 0.37 0.03 0.07 1.57 1.57 1.37 0.57 37 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000.0 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 NON-RESPONDENTS 0  $^{\circ}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total Hours Annual Number of Frequency annual per burden respondents of responses response (hours) 0.77 1.13 0.57 0.37 0.73 0.37 0.17 0.33 0.17 0.03 0.03 1.57 3.13 1.57 1.37 2.73 1.37 1.17 1.53 0.57 0.07 0.0333 \$ 33 ഹ 0 S  $\sim$ 17 34  $\geq$ 23  $\sum$ 4 94 83 35 Ť 47 4 4 S 9 ഹ 2 33 35 46 17 34 17 22  $\sum$ 4 94 47 4 82 4 Sample size I 33 90 106 60 33 53 106 53 106 33 53 53 ŝ 53 33 106 53 53 106 33 33 Biweekly reminder email for survey #5 Document reminder Document reminder email #3 Document reminder Document reminder Document reminder reminder Document reminder email for survey #5 Biweekly reminder Instruments Urgent reminder Urgent reminder Urgent reminder Urgent reminder Urgent reminder Jrgent reminder Jrgent reminder Urgent reminder Jrgent reminder Urgent reminder Urgent reminder Urgent reminder Document email #1 email #4 email #4 email #1 email #2 email #1 email #2 email #3 email #3 email #3 email #4 email #2 email #2 email #2 email #2 email #1 email #1 email #1 SNAP director State or territory SNAP operations SNAP director State or territory SNAP operations and policy staff State or territory SNAP IT staff State or territory SNAP director State or territory SNAP operations and policy staff State or territory SNAP director State or territory SNAP director State or territory SNAP director State or territory SNAP operations Type of respondents SNAP operations SNAP operations State or territory SNAP IT staff State or territory and policy staff State or territory SNAP IT staff State or territory SNAP operations State or territory SNAP IT staff and policy staff State or territory SNAP IT staff State or territory State or territory SNAP IT staff State or territory State or territory State or territory and policy staff and policy staff and policy staff State or territory SNAP director SNAP director Affected public

Federal Register / Vol. 88, No. 80 / Wednesday, April 26, 2023 / Notices

25365

10	
Ë	
5	
ίū	
z	
0	
ē.	
5	
ш	
2	
<u>.</u>	

NON-RESPONDENTS

		гeu	era	all	reg	iste	яг /	vu	<u>п.</u>	00,	IN	0.	00	/ V	veu	ne	sua	iy,	A	pri	1 4	20,	, Z(	JZ3	/1	٩Û	uc	es					_
•	Total annualized cost of respondent burden	\$112.47	1 L U	47.0C¢	\$76.81	\$93.19	0.100	\$47.01	\$60.92		\$73.91	\$37.28		\$40.03	\$5463	<u>}</u>	\$27.56	\$29.14		\$35.35		\$17.83	\$13.24		\$16.07	44 0 44	40. I	\$2.65		\$3.21	\$1.62	\$336.91	-
	Total cost with fringe benefits (33%)	ı		ı	1			ı	,						,		T			T		,	I		ı		I			T			
	Hourly V Wage rate*	\$48.20	ζų.	\$48.03	\$79.46	S48 20	07.040	\$48.63	\$79.46		\$48.20	\$48.63		91 A	\$48.20	24.21	\$48.63	\$79.46		\$48.20		\$48.63	\$79.46		\$48.20	0 0 V	\$40.00	\$79.46		\$48.20	\$48.63	\$79.46	
	Grand total annual burden estimate (hours)	2.33		1.1.1	0.97	1 93	22	0.97	0.77		1.53	0.77		/6.0	1 13	2	0.57	0.37		0.73		0.37	0.17		0.33		U. I/	0.03		0.07	0.03	4.24	
	Annual a burden (hours)	0	c	D	0	C	>	0	0		0	0		0	C	>	0	0		0	•	0	0		0	c	5	0		0	0	0	
		0.000		nnnnn	0000	0000	0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.000	0000	2000	0.0000	0.000		0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000		0.000	0.000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
	Total annual H esponses I	0	c	5	0	C	2	0	0		0	0		0	C	0	0	0		0		0	0		0	c	5	0		0	0	0	
	Frequenc Total y of annual Hours per response response	0	c	D	0	C	>	0	0		0	0		0	C	>	0	0		0		0	0		0	c	>	0		0	0	0	
-	Number of non- respondents	0	c	D	0	C	S	0	0		0	0		0	C	0	0	0		0	d	0	0		0	c	0	0		0	0	0	
	Annual burden (hours) n	2.33	1	/I'I.	0.97	1.93	<u>}</u>	76.0	0.77		1.53	0.77		/0.0	113	2	0.57	0.37		0.73		0.37	0.17		0.33	1	0.17	0.03		0.07	0.03	4.24	
	Hours / per k ssponse (	0.0333		U.U333	0.0333	0.0333	2000	0.0333	0.0333		0.0333	0.0333		0.0333	0.0333	2000	0.0333	0.0333		0.0333		0.0333	0.0333		0.0333		0.0330	0.0333		0.0333	0.0333	0.0800	
	Total annual esponses re	20	50	ዩ	58	29	3	29	53		46	33	:	71	34	5	17	£		23	:	L L	5		10	U	0	~		2	←	53	
	Total Hours Frequency annual per of responses response	-	Ţ		-	~	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		<del></del>	-		-	•	_	-		-	-	-	
	Number of F respondents o	20	50	ę	29	58	8	29	23		46	23	1	1/	34	5	17	£		22	3	<del>.</del>	5		10	u	0	~		2	~	53	
	Sample Ni size res	106	C L	S	53	106	2	53	53		106	53	c,	22	106	2	53	53		106	e L	53	53		106	ç	20	53		106	53	53	
	Sa Instruments	Document reminder email #3	Document reminder	email #3 Document reminder	email #4	Document reminder	Document reminder	email #4 Document reminder	email #5	Document reminder	email #5	Document reminder email #5	Document reminder	email #6	Document reminder email #6	Document reminder	email #6	email #7	-	Document reminder email #7	Document reminder	email #7 Document reminder	email #8	Document reminder	email #8	Document reminder	emair#o Document reminder	email #9	Document reminder	email #9	email #9	survey reminder call script	
	Type of respondents	SNAP operations and policy staff	State or territory	State or territory	SNAP director State or territory	SNAP operations and noticy staff	State or territory	SNAP IT staff State or territory	SNAP director	State or territory SNAP operations	and policy staff	State or territory SNAP IT staff	State or territory	SNAP director State or territory	SNAP operations and policy staff	State or territory	SNAP IT staff	SNAP director	State or territory	SIVAF operations and policy staff	State or territory	SNAP IT staff State or territory	SNAP director	State or territory SNAP operations	and policy staff	State or territory	State or territory	SNAP director	State or territory SNAP operations	and policy staff	SNAP IT staff	state or territory SNAP director	
	c fed																																

Affected public

25366

-

ß
E
ã
SPC
Ë

NON-RESPONDENTS

				Ϋ́Ε,	KESPUNDENIS					NUN-KESPUNDENIS							
Affected Ty	Type of		Sample	Sample Number of	Total Hours Annual Frequency annual per burden of common common Annual	Total annual	Hours	Annual burden	Number of non-	Frequenc Total y of annual Hours per	Total annual	Hours per	Annual burden	Grand total annual burden estimate	Hourly Wage	Total cost with fringe benefits	Total annualized cost of respondent
	territory	Current reminder coll				esciloresi	leinnes	(emoil) a		aciindear	sellodes	vellodesi o		(sinoil)		(b/ cc)	
and policy staff	and policy staff	survey reminuer call script	106	106	~	105	0.0800	0 8.48	0	0	0	00000	0	8.48	\$48.20	'	\$408.74
State or territory SNAP IT staff	territory staff	Survey reminder call script	53	56	•	56	0.0800	0 4.48	0	0	0	0.0000	0	4.48	\$48.63	,	\$217.86
State or territory	territory	Case Study	S														
SNAP director	rector	Discussion Guide pretest	<u>_</u>	~	•	*	1.5000	0 1.50	0	D	0	0.0000	0	1.50	\$79.46	,	\$119.19
State or territory	territory	Advance email from				•											
SNAP director	irector	FNS for case study	<del>о</del>	5		Ω.	0.0333	3 0.17	4	-	4	0.1000	0.40	0.57	\$79.46	,	\$45.03
SNAP director	rector	Email from Mathematica to															
		discuss case study participation	σ	с С	~	цс	0 0333	3 017	4	-	4	0 1000	0 40	0.57	\$79.46		\$45.03
State or territory	territory	SNAP COVID Study	0	>	-	0			-	-		201.0		5			
SNAP director	rector	description	თ	5	~	Q	0.0333	3 0.17	4	-	Ф	0.1000	0.40	0.57	\$79.46		\$45.03
SNAP director	rector	Keminder email ror case study	ι. Ω	2	~	5 D	0.0333	3 0.17	0	0	0	00000	0	0.17	\$79.46		\$13.24
State or territory	territory	Case study call	1			1											
SNAP director	irector torritory	script Caso Study	5 D	5	-	Ω.	1.0000	0 5.00	0	0	0	00000	0	5.00	\$79.46		\$397.30
SNAP director	rector	Case Study Discussion Guide	ю	5	~	5	1.5000	0 7.50	0	0	0	0.0000	0	7.50	\$79.46		\$595.95
State or territory	territory	Case Study															
SNAP operation and policy staff	SNAP operations and policy staff	Discussion Guide pretest	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ	÷	1 5000	150	C	_	0	0000		1 50	\$48.20		05 623
State or territory	territory		-	-	-	-					,			20.1			00.7 ie
SNAP op	SNAP operations	Case Study	:			:											
and policy staff	cy staff	Discussion Guide	50	20	~	20	1.0000	0 20.00	0	0	0	0.0000	0	20.00	\$48.20	1	\$964.00
State or territory SNAP data staff	territory ata staff	Case Study Discussion Guide	LC	ις.	~	LC.	1 0000	0 200	C	C	C			5.00	\$48.63		\$243 15
State or territory	territory	Case Study	)	,	-	0					,					'	
SNAP IT staff	staff	Discussion Guide	2	5	~	5	20.0000	0 100.00	0	0	0	00000 (	0	100.00	\$48.63		\$4,863.00
Subto	tal of State ( SNAP direct	Subtotal of State or territory agency SNAP director/mananer	243	540	9.55	0.320	0 1340	0 313 N3	P	e.	1	0.1000	1.20	314.23			S17 286 78
Local SN	Local SNAP agency Case Study	Case Study	2	2	8					•	1						
director		Discussion Guide															
		pretest Dominder omoil for	-	~	~	-	1.5000	0 1.50	0	0	0	00000	0	1.50	\$79.46		\$119.19
director	writ agenuy	case study	10	10	~	10	0 0333	3 033	0	C	0	0 0000	0	0.33	\$79.46		\$26 49
Local SN	Local SNAP agency	Case Study								•	,						
director	1	Discussion Guide	10	10	~	10	1.5000	0 15.00	0	0	0	000000	0	15.00	\$79.46		\$1,191.90
frontline staff	Local SNAP agency frontline staff	case study Discussion Guide	15	15	~	15	1.5000	0 22.50	0	0	0	0.0000	0	22.50	\$21.71	I	\$488.48
Local SN	Local SNAP agency Case Study	Case Study	1	75							c			00 60			
supervisors Suhtot	urs Motal of Inca	arvisors – Discussion Guide Subtotal of Iocal agency SNAP	<u>o</u>	ũ		C	nnne	NC:77 L	D		0	nnnn n		NC 77	\$/A.40	,	C9./Q/   ¢
directu	or/manager	director/manager and frontline staff	41	41	1.00	41	1.5081	1 61.83	0	0	0		00.0 0	61.83	'	•	\$3,613.90
Subtotal unique State and Tribal government	State and Tri	ibal government	784	787	<u>я</u> 31	2361		8 374 87	P	"	5			376.07		•	SON AND AG
	Granc	Grand total	<b>284</b>	<b>284</b>	8.31	2,361	0.1568	8 374.87	4		12	0.4000	120	376.07		nla \$27,797.91	\$20,900.69

Affected public

				RE	RESPONDENTS					NON-RESPONDENTS	ONDENTS						
Affected public	Type of respondents	Instruments	Sample size	Number of respondents	Total Hours Annual Number of Frequenc Total Annual Sample Number of Frequenc Total Annual Sample Number of Frequency annual per burden non- y of annual Hours per burden size respondents of response response response (hours)	Total annual responses r	Hours per l esponse	Annual burden (hours) re	Hours Annual Number of Frequenc Total Annual A per burden non- y of annual Hours per burden response (hours) respondents response response (hours)	Frequenc y of response i	Total annual esponses	A Hours per Lesponse	unden hours)	Grand total Annual annual burden Hourly v burden estimate Wage (hours) (nours) rate*	Hourly w Wage rate*	Total cost with fringe benefits (33%)	Total annualized cost of respondent burden
<ul> <li>Sources: C Individuals/P, hourly earnin hourly earnin</li> </ul>	* Sources: Department of Labor Wege and Hour Division (http://www.dol.gov/whd/minimumwege.htm). Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment Statistics Survey, May 2021. (https://www.bls.gov/ces/current/ces_stru.htm) individuals/Participant: Federal minimum wage. State, local, or Tribal agency director/supervision: Average hourly earnings of workers in management of companies and enterprises occupations (\$79.46); State or farritory. IT and Data staff: Average hourly earnings of workers in management of companies and enterprises occupations (\$79.46); State or farritory. IT and Data staff: Average hourly earning of workers in local government management (\$48.20); Local SNAP agency frontline staff: Average hourly earnings of workers in local government management (\$48.20); Local SNAP agency frontline staff: Average hourly earnings of workers in local government management (\$48.20); Local SNAP agency frontline staff: Average hourly earnings of workers in local government management (\$48.20); Local SNAP agency frontline staff: Average hourly earnings of workers in local government management (\$48.20); Local SNAP agency frontline staff: Average hourly earnings of workers in community and social services occupations;	age and Hour Divisi imum wage. State, k r and Mathematical unity and social serv	on (http://w ocal, or Trit Occupation	ww.dol.gov/wh oal agency dire is (\$48.63); St oations;	whd/minimumwage.htm). Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment Statistics Survey, May 2021. (https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_stru.htm) director/supervisor: Average hourly earnings of workers in management of companies and enterprises occupations (\$79.46); State or territory. IT and Data staff: Average State or Tribal Operations and Policy staff: Average hourly earnings of workers in local government management (\$48.20); Local SNAP agency frontline staff: Average	e,htm). Buree Average hou erations and	au of Labor urly earning Policy staf	Statistics, js of worke ř: Average	Occupational rs in manage hourly earnin	Employment ment of comp gs of workers	: Statistics St banies and er	urvey, May 20 nterprises oco ernment man	21. (https supations ( agement (	//www.bls.gov/c \$79.46); State o \$48.20); Local S	ies/current r territory   NAP agen	/oes_stru.htm T and Data st icy frontline st	) aff: Average aff: Average

#### Tameka Owens.

Assistant Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2023-08817 Filed 4-25-23; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-30-C

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### **Rural Business-Cooperative Service**

[DOCKET No: RBS-23-CO-OP-0002]

#### Notice of Funding Opportunity for **Rural Cooperative Development Grants** for Fiscal Year 2023

**AGENCY:** Rural Business-Cooperative Service, USDA. ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBCS or the Agency), a Rural Development (RD) agency of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), invites applications for grants under the Rural Cooperative Development Grant (RCDG) program for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023. This notice is being issued to allow applicants sufficient time to leverage financing, prepare and submit applications, and give the Agency time to process applications within FY 2023. Funding of \$5.8 million will be available for FY 2023. Successful applications will be selected by the Agency for funding and subsequently awarded. All applicants are responsible for any expenses incurred in developing their applications.

DATE: Completed applications must be submitted electronically by no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time, June 26, 2023, through www.grants.gov, to be eligible for grant funding. Late or incomplete applications are not eligible for funding under this notice and will not be evaluated.

**ADDRESSES:** All applications must be submitted electronically at www.grants.gov. Additional resources are available at *https://* www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/ rural-cooperative-development-grantprogram.

Applicants are encouraged to contact the USDA Rural Development State Office for the State where the project will be located in advance of the application deadline to discuss the project and ask any questions about the RCDG program or the application process. Contact information for USDA Rural Development State Office can be found at https://www.rd.usda.gov/ contact-us/state-offices.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Sharp at lisa.sharp@usda.gov, Business

Loan and Grant Analyst, Program Management Division, RBCS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Mail Stop-3226, Room 5160-South, Washington, DC 20250-3226, or call (202) 720-1400. Persons with disabilities that require alternative means for communication should contact the USDA Target Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice); or the 711 Relay Service.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Overview

Federal Awarding Agency Name: Rural Business-Cooperative Service.

Funding Opportunity Title: Rural Cooperative Development Grants. Announcement Type: Notice of

Funding Opportunity.

Funding Opportunity Number: RBCS-RCDG-2023.

Assistance Listing Number: 10.771. Dates: Completed applications must be submitted electronically by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on, June 26, 2023, through *www.grants.gov*, to be eligible for grant funding. Late or incomplete applications are not eligible for funding under this notice and will not be evaluated.

Rural Development Key Priorities: The Agency encourages applicants to consider projects that will advance the following key priorities:

• Assisting rural communities recover economically through more and better market opportunities and through improved infrastructure;

• Ensuring all rural residents have equitable access to RD programs and benefits from RD funded projects; and

• Reducing climate pollution and increasing resilience to the impacts of climate change through economic support to rural communities.

#### A. Program Description

1. Purpose of the Program. The primary objective of the RCDG program is to improve the economic condition of rural areas by helping individuals and businesses start, expand, or improve rural cooperatives and other mutually owned businesses through Cooperative Development Centers.

2. Statutory and Regulatory Authority. The RCDG program is authorized under Section 310B(e) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (CONACT) (7 U.S.C. 1932(e)), as amended by the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018 (Pub. L. 115-334, Title VI, Secs. 6412-15, 6601(a)(1)(B), 6701(c), (d)(1)) and implemented by 7 CFR part 4284, subparts A and F.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, (Pub. L. 117-328, Division A,

Title VII, Section 736) has designated funding for projects in Persistent Poverty Counties (PPC). Persistent poverty counties are defined in Section 736 as "any county that has had 20 percent or more of its population living in poverty over the past 30 years, as measured by the 1990 and 2000 decennial censuses, and 2007-2011 American Community Survey 5-year average, or any territory or possession of the United States." The eligible population in persistent poverty counties includes any county seat of any persistent poverty county that has a population that does not exceed the authorized population limit by more than 10 percent. This provision expanded the current 50,000 population limit to 55,000 for only county seats located in persistent poverty counties.

3. Definitions. The definitions applicable to this notice are published at 7 CFR 4284.3 and 7 CFR 4284.504. In addition, the terms "rural" and "rural area," defined in 7 U.S.C. 1991(a)(13), are incorporated by reference, and will be used for this program instead of the definition of "Rural and rural area" currently published at 7 CFR 4284.3.

Mutually owned business—An organization owned and governed by members who are its consumers, producers, employees, or suppliers.

4. Application of Awards. The Agency will review, evaluate, and score applications received in response to this notice based on the provisions found in 7 CFR 4284.511, 7 CFR 4284.512, 7 CFR 4284.513 and as indicated in this notice. Awards under the RCDG program will be made on a competitive basis using specific selection criteria contained in 7 CFR 4284.513.

#### B. Federal Award Information

Type of Award: Grant.

Fiscal Year Funds: FY 2023. Available Funds: \$5.8 million will be available for FY 2023. RBCS may at its discretion, increase the total level of funding available in this funding round from any available source provided the awards meet the requirements of the statute which made the funding available to the Agency.

Award Amounts: Maximum amount \$200,000.

Anticipated Award Date: September 30, 2023.

Performance Period: The grant performance period should begin no earlier than October 1, 2023 and no later than January 1, 2024 and must include no more than a one-year performance period.

Renewal or Supplemental Awards: None.