

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Foreign-Trade Zones Board****[B–56–2022]****Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 219; Authorization of Production Activity; Barco Stamping Co. Inc.; (Stamped Metal Products); Yuma, Arizona**

On November 22, 2022, the Greater Yuma Economic Development Corporation, grantee of FTZ 219, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board on behalf of Barco Stamping Co. Inc., within Subzone 219B, in Yuma, Arizona.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (87 FR 73285, November 29, 2022). On March 22, 2023, the applicant was notified of the FTZ Board's decision that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification was authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: March 22, 2023.

Elizabeth Whiteman,*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2023–06269 Filed 3–24–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration****[A–421–815]****Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the Netherlands: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value**

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain preserved mushrooms (preserved mushrooms) from the Netherlands are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of investigation (POI) is January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021.

DATES: Applicable March 27, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alex Cipolla or Benjamin A. Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue

NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4956 or (202) 482–2181, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On November 3, 2022, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** its *Preliminary Determination* in the LTFV investigation of preserved mushrooms from the Netherlands, in which it also postponed the final determination until March 20, 2023.¹ Commerce invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Determination*.

For a complete description of the events that followed the *Preliminary Determination*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is available electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are preserved mushrooms from the Netherlands. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Verification

Commerce conducted verification of the information relied upon in making its final determination in this investigation, in accordance with section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Specifically, Commerce conducted on-site verifications of the third-country sales, U.S. sales, and cost of production responses submitted by Prochamp B.V. (Prochamp).

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this

¹ See *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the Netherlands: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 87 FR 66265 (November 3, 2022) (*Preliminary Determination*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the Netherlands,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

investigation are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as Appendix II.

Changes From the Preliminary Determination

We have made certain changes to the margin calculations for Prochamp since the *Preliminary Determination*. See the Issues and Decision Memorandum for a discussion of these changes.

Adverse Facts Available

As discussed in the *Preliminary Determination*, Commerce assigned an estimated weighted-average dumping margin on the basis of facts available with an adverse inference (AFA) to Okechamp B.V. (Okechamp) pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act.³ There is no new information on the record that would cause us to revisit our decision in the *Preliminary Determination*. Accordingly, for this final determination, we continue to find that the application of AFA pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act is warranted with respect to Okechamp.

In applying AFA, we are assigning the highest rate alleged in the petition as the estimated weighted-average dumping margin to Okechamp. Because this AFA rate is derived from the petition and, consequently, is based upon secondary information, Commerce must corroborate the rate to the extent practicable, pursuant to section 776(c) of the Act.

Because we calculated a zero percent margin for Prochamp, the sole mandatory respondent with a calculated an estimated weighted-average dumping margin in this investigation, and Prochamp's margin calculation does not otherwise provide transaction-specific dumping margins for the purposes of comparison, we are unable to corroborate the highest dumping margin alleged in the petition using information from a mandatory respondent in this investigation. Thus, for purposes of corroboration, we examined evidence supporting the calculations of the highest dumping margin alleged in the petition. As is Commerce's practice, during the LTFV investigation pre-initiation analysis, we examined: (1) the information used as the basis for export price and normal value in the petition; (2) the calculations used to derive the alleged margin; and (3) information from various independent sources

³ See *Preliminary Determination*, 87 FR at 66266, and PDM at 6–10.