Blanton, M.D., 43 FR 27616, 27617 (1978).4

Pursuant to the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, a "practitioner" means "a physician licensed to practice medicine in all its branches . . . or other person licensed, registered, or otherwise lawfully permitted by the United States or this State to distribute, dispense, conduct research with respect to, administer or use in teaching or chemical analysis, a controlled substance in the course of professional practice or research." 720 Îll. Comp. Stat. Ann. 570/102(kk) (2022). Further, the Illinois Controlled Substances Act requires that "[e]very person who manufactures, distributes, or dispenses any controlled substances . . . must obtain a registration issued by the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation in accordance with its rules." Id. at 570/302(a).5

Here, the undisputed evidence in the record is that Registrant currently lacks authority to handle controlled substances in Illinois as both his Illinois medical license and his Illinois controlled substance license are suspended. As already discussed, a practitioner must hold a valid controlled substance license to dispense a controlled substance in Illinois. Thus, because Registrant lacks state authority to handle controlled substances, Registrant is not eligible to maintain a

DEA registration. Accordingly, the Agency will order that Registrant's DEA registration be revoked.

#### Order

Pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a), I hereby revoke DEA Certificate of Registration No. FM7946481 issued to Shahid Masood, M.D. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby deny any pending applications of Shahid Masood, M.D., to renew or modify this registration, as well as any other pending application of Shahid Masood, M.D., for additional registration in Illinois. This Order is effective April 21, 2023.

# **Signing Authority**

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on March 15, 2023, by Administrator Anne Milgram. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the Federal Register.

# Heather Achbach,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2023–05807 Filed 3–21–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. 23-8]

# Heather M. Entrekin, DVM; Decision and Order

On August 9, 2022, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to Heather M. Entrekin, DVM (Respondent). OSC, at 1, 3. The OSC proposed the revocation of Respondent's Certificate of Registration <sup>1</sup> because Respondent is "without authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Alabama, the state in which [she is] registered with DEA." *Id.* at 2.

Respondent timely requested a hearing; thereafter, the Government filed and the Chief Administrative Law Judge (CALJ) granted a Motion for Summary Disposition recommending the revocation of Respondent's registration. Order Granting the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition and Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge (Recommended Decision or RD), at 5-7. Respondent did not file exceptions to the RD. Having reviewed the entire record, the Agency adopts and hereby incorporates by reference the entirety of the CALJ's rulings, findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommended sanction and summarizes and expands upon portions thereof herein.

### **Findings of Fact**

On May 19, 2022, the Alabama Board of Veterinary Examiners issued an Order that suspended Respondent's Alabama controlled substance license. RD, at 4; see also Government's Motion for Summary Disposition, Exhibit (GX) 2, Attachment A, at 1. As of November 22, 2022, Respondent's Alabama controlled substance license was still suspended. RD, at 4; GX 2, Attachment B.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, the Agency finds that Respondent is not currently licensed to handle controlled substances in Alabama, the state in which she is registered with the DEA.

#### Discussion

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), the Attorney General is authorized to suspend or revoke a registration issued under section 823 of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) "upon a finding that the registrant . . . has had his State license or registration suspended . . .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This rule derives from the text of two provisions of the CSA. First, Congress defined the term "practitioner" to mean "a physician . . . or other person licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted, . . the jurisdiction in which he practices . to distribute, dispense, . . . [or] administer . . . a controlled substance in the course of professional practice." 21 U.S.C. 802(21). Second, in setting the requirements for obtaining a practitioner's registration, Congress directed that "[t]he Attorney General shall register practitioners . . . if the applicant is authorized to dispense . . . controlled substances under the laws of the State in which he practices." 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1) (this section, formerly § 823(f), was redesignated as part of the Medical Marijuana and Cannabidiol Research Expansion Act, Public Law 117-215, 136 Stat. 2257 (2022)). Because Congress has clearly mandated that a practitioner possess state authority in order to be deemed a practitioner under the CSA, the DEA has held repeatedly that revocation of a practitioner's registration is the appropriate sanction whenever he is no longer authorized to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the state in which he practices. See, e.g., James L. Hooper, 76 FR 71371 and 71372; Sheran Arden Yeates, M.D., 71 FR 39130, 39131 (2006); Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51104, 51105 (1993); Bobby Watts, M.D., 53 FR 11919, 11920 (1988); Frederick Marsh Blanton, 43 FR 27617.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Illinois Controlled Substances Act also authorizes the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation to discipline a practitioner holding a controlled substance license, stating that "[a] registration under Section 303 to manufacture, distribute, or dispense a controlled substance . . . may be denied, refused renewal, suspended, or revoked by the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation." *Id.* at 570/304(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Registration No. FE4914164 at the registered address of 1360 Montgomery Hwy., Ste. 114, Vestavia Hills, AL 35216–2750. *Id.* at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Agency has no indication that the status of Respondent's license (which is not publically available information) has changed. Prior to the issuance of the RD, Respondent acknowledged that her license was suspended. See Respondent's Response, at 3-4. Following the issuance of the RD, Respondent did not file any Exceptions to indicate that her license had been restored, nor has the Agency to date received any correspondence from Respondent regarding any changes to the status of her license. Accordingly, the Agency finds that Respondent's Alabama controlled substance license remains suspended as of the date of signature of this Order. Respondent may dispute the Agency's finding by filing a motion for reconsideration of findings of fact within fifteen calendar days of the date of this Order with supporting documentation (showing that Respondent was able to dispense controlled substances on or before the date of this Order). Any such motion and response shall be filed and served by email to the other party and to the DEA Office of the Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration at dea.addo.attorneys@dea.gov.

[or] revoked . . . by competent State authority and is no longer authorized by State law to engage in the . . dispensing of controlled substances." With respect to a practitioner, the DEA has also long held that the possession of authority to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the state in which a practitioner engages in professional practice is a fundamental condition 3 for obtaining and maintaining a practitioner's registration. See, e.g., James L. Hooper, M.D., 76 FR 71371 (2011), pet. for rev. denied, 481 F. App'x 826 (4th Cir. 2012); Frederick Marsh Blanton, M.D., 43 FR 27616, 27617 (1978).4

According to Alabama statute, "[e]very person who manufactures, distributes, or dispenses any controlled substance within [the] state or who proposes to engage in the manufacture, distribution, or dispensing of any controlled substance within [the] state

<sup>4</sup> This rule derives from the text of two provisions

must obtain annually a registration issued by the certifying boards in accordance with [their] rules." Ala. Code section 20–2–51(a) (2022); see also Ala, Admin, Code r. 930-X-1.13(1) (2022) ("[alll licensed veterinarians who handle controlled substances must register annually with the State Board and get a state controlled substance number from the Board"). Further, "dispense" means "[t]o deliver a controlled substance to an ultimate user or research subject by or pursuant to the lawful order of a practitioner, including the prescribing, administering, packaging, labeling, or compounding necessary to prepare the substance for that delivery." Ala. Code section 20–2– 2(7) (2022).

Here, the undisputed evidence in the record is that Respondent currently lacks authority to dispense controlled substances in Alabama because her Alabama controlled substance license has been suspended. RD, at 5. As discussed above, an individual must hold an Alabama controlled substance license to dispense a controlled substance in Alabama. RD, at 5-6. Thus, because Respondent lacks authority to handle controlled substances in Alabama, Respondent is not eligible to maintain a DEA registration. See RD, at 6. Accordingly, the Agency will order that Respondent's DEA registration be revoked.

#### Order

Pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a), I hereby revoke DEA Certificate of Registration No. FE4914164 issued to Heather M. Entrekin, DVM. Further, pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100(b) and the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1), I hereby deny any pending applications of Heather M. Entrekin, DVM, to renew or modify this registration, as well as any other pending application of Heather M. Entrekin, DVM, for additional registration in Alabama. This Order is effective April 21, 2023.

# **Signing Authority**

This document of the Drug Enforcement Administration was signed on March 15, 2023, by Administrator Anne Milgram. That document with the original signature and date is maintained by DEA. For administrative purposes only, and in compliance with requirements of the Office of the Federal Register, the undersigned DEA Federal Register Liaison Officer has been authorized to sign and submit the document in electronic format for publication, as an official document of DEA. This administrative process in no

way alters the legal effect of this document upon publication in the **Federal Register**.

#### Heather Achbach,

Federal Register Liaison Officer, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2023–05804 Filed 3–21–23; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. 23-2]

# Christina Collins, APRN; Decision and Order

On September 28, 2022, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to Christina Collins, APRN (Respondent). OSC, at 1, 3. The OSC proposed the denial of Respondent's renewal application <sup>1</sup> because Respondent is "without authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Tennessee, the state in which [she is] registered with DEA." *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).

Respondent requested a hearing; <sup>2</sup> thereafter the Government filed and the ALJ granted a Motion for Summary Disposition recommending the denial of Respondent's renewal application for her registration. RD, at 7–8. Respondent did not file exceptions to the RD. Having reviewed the entire record, the Agency adopts and hereby incorporates by reference the entirety of the ALJ's rulings, findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommended sanction and summarizes and expands upon portions thereof herein.

## **Findings of Fact**

On February 28, 2022, the Tennessee Board of Nursing issued a Final Order revoking Respondent's Tennessee license to practice as an Advanced Practice Registered Nurse (APRN license). RD, at 6–7; Government's Submission of Evidence Regarding Proof of Service and Motion for Summary Disposition, Exhibit (GX) D, at 1, 11,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As such, the Agency finds Respondent's arguments regarding the permissive nature of 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), *see* Respondent's Response, at 3–4, to be unavailing. RD, at 5; *see also Bhanoo Sharma, M.D.,* 87 FR 41355, 41356 n.4 (2022).

of the CSA. First, Congress defined the term 'practitioner'' to mean ''a physician . veterinarian . . . or other person licensed, registered, or otherwise permitted, by . . . the jurisdiction in which he practices . . . , to distribute, dispense, . . . [or] administer . . controlled substance in the course of professional practice." 21 U.S.C. 802(21). Second, in setting the requirements for obtaining a practitioner's registration, Congress directed that "[t]he Attorney General shall register practitioners . . . if the applicant is authorized to dispense . . . controlled substances under the laws of the State in which he practices." 21 U.S.C. 823(g)(1) (this section, formerly section 823(f), was redesignated as part of the Medical Marijuana and Cannabidiol Research Expansion Act, Public Law 117-215, 136 Stat. 2257 (2022)). Because Congress has clearly mandated that a practitioner possess state authority in order to be deemed a practitioner under the CSA, the DEA has held repeatedly that revocation of a practitioner's registration is the appropriate sanction whenever he is no longer authorized to dispense controlled substances under the laws of the state in which he practices. See, e.g., James L. Hooper, 76 FR 71371-72; Sheran Arden Yeates, M.D., 71 FR 39130, 39131 (2006); Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51104, 51105 (1993); Bobby Watts, M.D., 53 FR 11919, 11920 (1988); Frederick Marsh Blanton, 43 FR 27617. Moreover, because "the controlling question" in a proceeding brought under 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3) is whether the holder of a practitioner's registration "is currently authorized to handle controlled substances in the [S]tate," Hooper, 76 FR at 71371 (quoting Anne Lazar Thorn, 62 FR 12847 12848 (1997)), the Agency has also long held that revocation is warranted even where a practitioner is still challenging the underlying action. Bourne Pharmacy, 72 FR 18273, 18274 (2007); Wingfield Drugs, 52 FR 27070, 27071 (1987). Thus, it is of no consequence here that the final outcome of the underlying action against Respondent may still be pending. See Respondent's Response, at 3-4. What is consequential is the Agency's finding that Respondent is not currently authorized to dispense controlled substances in Alabama, the state in which she is registered with the DEA. Austin J. Kosier, M.D., 87 FR 4941, 4943 (2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Certificate of Registration No. MC1638696 at the registered address of 6523 Central Avenue Pike, Knoxville, Tennessee 37912. *Id.* at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Respondent's Request for Hearing is dated October 31, 2022, see Administrative Law Judge Exhibit (ALJX) 4, at 1, but was deemed filed on November 1, 2022. Further, although Respondent's Request for Hearing was untimely, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) accepted the filing. Order Granting the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition, and Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge (Recommended Decision or RD), at 2–4.