

—Mentor name;  
 —Mentor signature and signature date;  
 —Emergency contact name and contact number.

We require successful students to provide basic medical information so that we can assure their health and safety while on site at the National Conservation Training Center. The on-site nurse keeps this information strictly confidential, for use only in an emergency.

**Proposed Revisions**

With this submission, the Service proposes to revise Form 3–2546 to expand options for providing gender identity. We also updated the title of the collection to be Native Youth Climate Adaptation Leadership Congress (from Native Youth Community Adaptation

and Leadership Congress). Finally, we will also seek OMB approval of an additional Form 3–2950 which collects travel and personal identification information for students attending the Congress. This new form will collect the following information:

- Name, contact information, date of birth, and group/school/community name for chaperone;
- Identifying information for groups participants, to include name, date of birth, phone number, and gender (required by airline);
- Airport information;
- Special travel needs;
- Address for travel stipend payments; and
- Additional comments or questions.

The public may request copies of any form contained in this information

collection by sending a request to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer (see **ADDRESSES**).

*Title of Collection:* Native Youth Climate Adaptation Leadership Congress.

*OMB Control Number:* 1018–0176.

*Form Numbers:* Forms 3–2525, 3–2546, 3–2547, 3–2548, 3–2549, and 3–2950.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved information collection.

*Respondents/Affected Public:* Eligible high school or college students interested in applying for the program.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*Frequency of Collection:* On occasion.

*Total Estimated Annual Nonhour*

*Burden Cost:* None.

Activity	Total annual responses	Completion time per response	Total annual burden hours
Application (online) .....	105	4 Hours .....	420
Form 3–2525, Student Medical Information .....	100	30 Mins .....	50
Form 3–2546, Enrollment Form .....	100	18 mins .....	30
Form 3–2547, Parental Consent Form .....	100	12 Mins .....	20
Form 3–2548, Student Conduct Agreement .....	100	12 Mins .....	20
Form 3–2549, Mentor Waiver .....	30	12 Mins .....	6
Form 3–2950, Travel Form .....	100	20 Mins .....	33
<b>Totals</b> .....	<b>635</b>	.....	<b>579</b>

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

**Madonna Baucum,**

*Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2023–04911 Filed 3–9–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333–15–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

[FWS–HQ–MB–2023–N017;  
 FXMB12310900WH0–234–FF09M26000;  
 OMB Control Number 1018–0023]

**Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Migratory Bird Surveys**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are proposing to renew an information collection without change.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before April 10, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to <https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Please provide a copy of your comments to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS: PRB (JAO/3W), 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041–3803 (mail); or by email to [Info\\_Coll@fws.gov](mailto:Info_Coll@fws.gov). Please reference “1018–0023” in the subject line of your comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Madonna L. Baucum, Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, by email at [Info\\_Coll@fws.gov](mailto:Info_Coll@fws.gov), or by telephone at (703) 358–2503.

Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA; 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 5 CFR 1320, all information collections require approval under the PRA. We may not conduct or sponsor and you are not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

On June 22, 2022, we published in the **Federal Register** (87 FR 37353) a notice of our intent to request that OMB approve this information collection. In that notice, we solicited comments for 60 days, ending on August 22, 2022. In an effort to increase public awareness of, and participation in, our public commenting processes associated with information collection requests, the

Service also published the **Federal Register** notice on *Regulations.gov* (Docket FWS–HQ–MB–2022–0077) to provide the public with an additional method to submit comments (in addition to the typical *Info\_Coll@fws.gov* email and U.S. mail submission methods). We received the following comments in response to that notice:

*Comment 1:* From Wyoming Game and Fish Department (Angi Bruce, Deputy Director), received 8/9/2022 by email:

The Wyoming Game and Fish Department (Department) provided the following comment in response to our first question in the **Federal Register** notice (“Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility”):

The data provided from these surveys is utilized by Department biologists and is crucial for the management of migratory game bird populations in the State of Wyoming and across State boundaries. Without this data, it would be difficult for our biologists to set harvest limits and determine proper season dates.

(2) The Department provided the following comment in response to question 2 in the **Federal Register** notice (“The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used”):

The Department has not conducted an in-depth review of the methodology and assumptions used to determine the “burden” associated with these surveys. However, given that this data has been used historically to successfully manage migratory game birds across State boundaries, the Department appreciates the USFWS efforts and recommends continuing these data collection efforts.

(3) The Department provided the following comment in response to question 3 in the **Federal Register** notice (“Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected”):

The Department appreciates the USFWS’s past efforts to modernize the surveys through consultation with various partners and utilizing new technologies. The Department encourages the USFWS to continue utilizing emerging technologies to further enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the surveys. The Department supports the USFWS efforts to compare old and new data collection methodologies to ensure data integrity and comparability of data sets.

(4) The Department provided the following comment in response to question 4 in the **Federal Register** notice (“How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of response”):

As previously mentioned, the Department appreciates the USFWS’s efforts to modernize data collection procedures and utilize emerging technologies. The Department recommends that the USFWS continue to utilize automated electronic messaging approaches to send surveys to hunters and also remind them to submit this vital data. The Department also recommends that the USFWS provide technical assistance to respondents as necessary to accommodate for some users’ lack of access to, or difficulty using, new technology.

Agency Response to Comment 1, from the Wyoming Game and Fish Department: We have utilized, and are continuing to explore, new technologies to increase efficiencies, reduce costs, and improve data quality in both the online harvest survey and Parts Collection Survey. For example, we are conducting a pilot project to evaluate the efficacy of bird photos submitted by hunters to supplement the Parts Collection Survey, and will be developing a prototype mobile phone app for taking and submitting photos. We have collaborated with State partners and the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies to promote the Harvest Information Program with targeted outreach efforts and materials. We have expanded communication options for hunters to contact us for technical support, including an additional email address and contact form that are monitored by technical support providers, and we have developed a clerical interface with the online survey database so that clerks can access information to assist hunters with technical support. Also, we have collected data from a side-by-side 3-year comparison of both the online and paper surveys and are analyzing those data to evaluate any possible differences in harvest estimates arising from use of the two platforms. This information will be provided to States and other partners when completed, to allow a better understanding of the effects of changing data collection platforms on the time series of migratory bird harvest

provided by the Migratory Bird Harvest Survey.

*Comment 2:* From Andrew Reamer, submitted 6/22/22 by email:

On behalf of the American Economic Association and the Industry Studies Association, I write to request a copy of the draft ICR for the Migratory Bird Information Program and Migratory Bird Surveys—1018–0023, as invited by today’s **Federal Register**. Thank you and we look forward to seeing the materials when they are available. Please feel free to upload them to <https://www.regulations.gov/docket/FWS-HQ-MB-2022-0077>.

*Agency Response to Comment 2:* We provided a draft ICR as requested.

*Comment 3:* Email comment from Jean Publieeer, submitted on 06/22/2022—The commenter did not address the information collection requirements.

*Agency Response to Comment 3:* No response required.

*Comment 4:* Anonymous comment, submitted on 08/15/2022—The commenter did not address the information collection requirements.

*Agency Response to Comment 4:* No response required.

*Comment 5:* From Atlantic Flyway Council (Gray Anderson), submitted 8/21/22 by email:

The Atlantic Flyway Council (AFC) provided the following comment in response to our question 1 in the **Federal Register** notice (“Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility”):

The data obtained from these surveys are crucial for the proper management of migratory game bird populations, and for the provision of hunting opportunity. The Flyway Councils and USFWS maintain a longstanding cooperative partnership to set (and when necessary, adjust) hunting regulations based on the best available scientific information. Without the data on hunter activity and harvest obtained from these surveys, management decisions would be more likely to result in migratory bird populations being higher or lower than desired, and/or could unnecessarily restrict recreational opportunities. Further, the long time series and statistical reliability of the harvest surveys data places migratory game bird hunting on a solid footing against any legal challenges. For these reasons, the AFC firmly believes that continuing to collect the data provided by these surveys is necessary and provides practical utility not only for the USFWS, but also for the AFC’s member agencies.

AFC provided the following comment in response to our question 2 in the **Federal Register** notice (“The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used”):

The methodology and assumptions used to estimate the time burden for this collection of information are not clear to the AFC. However, from our involvement in various aspects of the surveys, the estimates appear reasonably accurate. We do not believe the surveys place a significant burden on respondents, and in any case the benefits provided to wildlife managers and resource users from having this information make it well worth the investment of time and effort needed to collect it.

AFC provided the following comment in response to our question 3 in the **Federal Register** notice (“Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected”):

The AFC is pleased to note that, in keeping with its comments provided in 2017 on a previous iteration of this information collection request, the USFWS has made significant strides in improving and modernizing its migratory bird harvest surveys over the past 5 years. The transition to an online survey platform appears to be progressing well and has improved data quality and reduced costs, without increasing the burden for respondents. The USFWS has also performed and partnered in various biological, social science, and statistical work to ensure that sample frames and survey question structure are maximizing survey efficiency and data quality, and that wings and tails in the Parts Collection Survey are appropriately classified. We encourage the USFWS to proceed with the side-by-side comparison of old and new survey methodology described in the **Federal Register** notice and we reiterate our commitment to assist the USFWS with identifying and implementing further improvements that will enable the harvest surveys to keep pace with and take advantage of technological advances.

It should also be noted that an important element in data quality and cost control is ensuring the sample frames include all relevant migratory game bird hunters—but only migratory game bird hunters—and that surveyed hunters understand the vital importance of their participation. In this regard, the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies’ Harvest Information Program Communication Plan is a valuable resource and we encourage the USFWS to incorporate appropriate elements of

that plan in its communications with the hunting public.

AFC provided the following comment in response to our question 4 in the **Federal Register** notice (“How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of response”):

As noted above, the USFWS has made good use of appropriate technologies in recent years to enhance data quality, reduce costs, and minimize burden on respondents. As information technology continues to rapidly advance, currently unforeseen methodologies are likely to arise and the entire migratory game bird management community should remain attuned to these opportunities.

Finally, it is important to highlight the AFC’s increasing concern regarding inadequate Federal agency funding for many aspects of migratory bird management, including the harvest surveys program. It is our understanding that one of the reminders for participants to complete the Migratory Bird Hunter Survey has already been cut due to budget constraints, and that additional cuts to sample frames may need to be considered. These changes negatively affect the accuracy and precision of harvest estimates, and further erosion of data quality could increase the risk of negative conservation outcomes. Consequently, along with requesting that the continuation of these surveys be approved from an administrative standpoint, we urge that the necessary financial resources be provided for ongoing implementation.

The AFC greatly values our partnership with the USFWS in monitoring and managing the migratory bird resources so important to our constituents. We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments on this specific aspect of that partnership and we look forward to working with the USFWS to continue to collect and apply harvest surveys data, and to implement further survey improvements if and when necessary.

*Agency Response to Comment 5, from AFC:* We have collected data from a side-by-side 3-year comparison of both the online and paper surveys and are analyzing those data to evaluate any possible differences in harvest estimates arising from use of the two platforms. This information will be provided to States and other partners when completed, to allow a better

understanding of the effects of changing data collection platforms on the time series of migratory bird harvest provided by the Migratory Bird Harvest Survey. We are working with the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies to adopt the Harvest Information Program Communications Plan, and are developing data visualizations and hunter-focused web pages to help hunters and the public understand how we collect harvest data and how we use it in science based harvest and population management.

As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, we invite the public and other Federal agencies to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following:

- (1) Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- (4) How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of response.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

*Abstract:* The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703–711) and the Fish

and Wildlife Act of 1956 (16 U.S.C. 742d) designate the Department of the Interior as the key agency responsible for (1) the wise management of migratory bird populations frequenting the United States, and (2) the setting of hunting regulations that allow appropriate harvests that are within the guidelines that will allow for those populations' well-being. These responsibilities dictate that we gather accurate data on various characteristics of migratory bird harvest. Based on information from harvest surveys, we can adjust hunting regulations as needed to optimize harvests at levels that provide a maximum of hunting recreation while keeping populations at desired levels.

Under 50 CFR 20.20, migratory bird hunters must register for the Migratory Bird Harvest Information Program (HIP) in each State in which they hunt each year. State natural resource agencies must send names and addresses of all migratory bird hunters to the Branch of Monitoring and Information Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Division of Migratory Bird Management, on an annual basis.

The Migratory Bird Hunter Survey is based on the Migratory Bird Harvest Information Program. We randomly select migratory bird hunters and ask them to report their harvests. The resulting estimates of harvest per hunter are combined with the complete list of migratory bird hunters to provide estimates of the total harvest for the species surveyed.

The Parts Collection Survey estimates the species, sex, and age composition of the harvest, and the geographic and temporal distribution of the harvest. Randomly selected successful hunters who responded to the Migratory Bird Hunter Survey the previous year, as well as a sample of hunters who were

not surveyed the previous year, are asked to complete and return a letter if they are willing to participate in the Parts Collection Survey. We provide postage-paid envelopes to respondents before the hunting season and ask them to send in a wing or the tail feathers from each duck or goose that they harvest, or a wing from each mourning dove, woodcock, band-tailed pigeon, or rail that they harvest. We use the wings and tail feathers to identify the species, sex, and age of the harvested sample. We also ask respondents to report the date and location of harvest for each bird on the outside of the envelope. We combine the results of this survey with the harvest estimates obtained from the Migratory Bird Hunter Survey to provide species-specific national harvest estimates.

The combined results of these surveys enable us to evaluate the effects of season length, season dates, and bag limits on the harvest of each species, and thus help us determine appropriate hunting regulations.

The Sandhill Crane Harvest Survey is an annual questionnaire survey of people who obtained a sandhill crane hunting permit. At the end of the hunting season, we randomly select a sample of permit holders and ask them to report the date, location, and number of birds harvested for each of their sandhill crane hunts. Their responses provide estimates of the temporal and geographic distribution of the harvest as well as the average harvest per hunter, which, combined with the total number of permits issued, enables us to estimate the total harvest of sandhill cranes. Based on information from this survey, we adjust hunting regulations as needed.

In fall of 2019, we implemented a new, online platform for the Migratory Bird Hunter Survey. The platform is

optimized for use on multiple devices (computer, tablet, or phone, Android or Apple OS). This online survey platform walks a participant through the process of entering their harvest for a single day and asks for one piece of information at a time, which reduces confusion and the likelihood that the hunter will provide incorrect information. The online system improves data quality and prevents errors (e.g., reporting harvest of the wrong species, or in the wrong State). We will continue to conduct the full paper survey through 2022, in order to ensure that data collected through the online platform is sound, and to provide a side-by-side comparison of harvest estimates that can be used to calibrate the old survey to the new one. This is particularly important for maintaining a continuous time series of harvest estimates, despite changing methodology. Going forward, we will conduct the full survey using the online application but will provide a paper survey by mail to those hunters who request them.

*Title of Collection:* Migratory Bird Information Program and Migratory Bird Surveys, 50 CFR 20.20.

*OMB Control Number:* 1018-0023.

*Form Number:* FWS Forms 3-165, 3-165A through E, and 3-2056J through N.

*Type of Review:* Renewal without change of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents/Affected Public:* States and migratory game bird hunters.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory for HIP registration information; voluntary for participation in the surveys.

*Frequency of Collection:* Annually for States or on occasion for migratory bird hunters.

*Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost:* None.

Collection type/form No.	Number of respondents	Average number of responses each	Number of annual responses *	Average time per response	Total annual burden hours *
<b>Migratory Bird Harvest Information Program (State Governments)</b>					
	49	18	882	129 hours .....	113,778
<b>Migratory Bird Hunter Survey (Individuals)</b>					
Form 3-2056J .....	31,900	1	31,900	4 minutes .....	2,127
Form 3-2056K .....	16,900	1	16,900	3 minutes .....	845
Form 3-2056L .....	8,500	1	8,500	3 minutes .....	425
Form 3-2056M .....	10,200	1	10,200	2 minutes .....	340
Subtotals .....	67,500	.....	67,500	.....	3,737
<b>Parts Collection Survey (Individuals)</b>					
Form 3-165 .....	4,760	22	104,720	5 minutes .....	8,727
Form 3-165A .....	830	5.5	4,565	5 minutes .....	380
Form 3-165B .....	3,600	1	3,600	1 minute .....	60

Collection type/form No.	Number of respondents	Average number of responses each	Number of annual responses *	Average time per response	Total annual burden hours *
Form 3–165C .....	320	1	320	1 minute .....	5
Form 3–165D .....	800	1	800	1 minute .....	13
Form 3–165E .....	780	1.5	1,170	5 minutes .....	98
Subtotals .....	11,090	.....	115,175	.....	9,283

**Sandhill Crane Harvest Survey (Individuals)**

Form 3–2056N .....	5,900	1	5,900	1.5 minutes ....	148
Totals .....	84,539	.....	189,457	.....	126,946

\* Rounded.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

**Madonna Baucum,**

*Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2023–04908 Filed 3–9–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333–15–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Land Management**

[BLM\_AK\_FRN\_MO4500169761; F–020174, F–35871, F–35872]

**Notice of Application for Withdrawal Extension; and Public Meeting; Fort Wainwright, AK**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of withdrawal application.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army (Army) has filed an application with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for the extension of the current withdrawal in the Fairbanks North Star Borough and the Southeast Fairbanks Census Area, Alaska. The Army requested an extension of the existing approximately 869,862-acre withdrawal for the Yukon Training Area (formerly Fort Wainwright Yukon Training Range) and the Donnelly Training Areas East and West (formerly Fort Greely East and West Training Ranges) from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws and the mineral leasing and geothermal leasing laws, for 25 years or more, subject to valid existing rights. The existing withdrawal will expire on November 6, 2026. The decision about this application will be made by Congress.

This notice advises the public of an opportunity to comment on this application for a withdrawal extension and to attend a public meeting.

**DATES:** Comments regarding this withdrawal application must be received by June 8, 2023. In addition, the BLM and Army will host public meetings addressing the withdrawal application. The date, time, and location information for the public meetings are listed in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments pertaining to this application for withdrawal extension should be sent to the Alaska State Director, BLM Alaska State Office, 222 West Seventh Avenue, No. 13, Anchorage, Alaska 99513–7504 or by email at [blm\\_ak\\_state\\_director@blm.gov](mailto:blm_ak_state_director@blm.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chelsea Kreiner, BLM Alaska State Office, (907) 271–4205, email [ckreiner@blm.gov](mailto:ckreiner@blm.gov), or you may contact the BLM office at the address above. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or Tele Braille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Military Lands Withdrawal Act (MLWA) of 1999 (Pub. L. 106–65) withdrew approximately 869,862 acres of public land comprising Yukon Training Area, Donnelly Training Area East, and Donnelly Training Area West from all forms of appropriation under public land laws and reserved them for use by the Army. The withdrawal will expire on November 6, 2026, unless extended by Congress. The Army has filed an application for extension of the current withdrawal of approximately 869,862 acres of public lands from all forms of

appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws and the mineral leasing and geothermal leasing laws, for 25 years or more. The purpose of the withdrawal extension is to allow for continued military use of the Yukon Training Area and the Donnelly Training Areas East and West in anticipation of continuing national defense requirements.

The Yukon Training Area covers approximately 246,277 acres and is located approximately 16 miles east-southeast of Fairbanks and immediately east of Eielson Air Force Base. Donnelly Training Areas East and West are located near Fort Greely in the Tanana River valley in central Alaska approximately 80 miles southeast from Fort Wainwright, near the city of Delta Junction in the Southeast Fairbanks Census Area. Donnelly Training Area East is approximately 51,590 acres and Donnelly Training Area West is approximately 571,995 acres. The August 10, 2000, **Federal Register** publication (65 FR 49012) described the approximately 869,862 acres of public lands withdrawn by the MLWA.

The Engle Act (Pub. L. 85–337, 43 United States Code 155–157) requires land withdrawals for defense purposes of more than 5,000 acres in the aggregate for any one defense project or facility to be authorized by Congress through legislation. The MLWA requires the Army to notify the Secretary of the Interior and Congress whether there is a continuing military need for the withdrawn land. The Army and the Department of the Interior (DOI) intend to submit a legislative proposal for extension of the withdrawal and reservation to Congress not later than May 1, 2025.

As required by section 204(b)(1) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(b)(1), and the BLM regulations at 43 CFR part 2300, the BLM is publishing the notice of the Army’s application. While the BLM and the DOI assist the Army with