

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 17, 2023.

**Daniel Rosenblatt,**

*Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter I as follows:

**PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

■ 2. In § 180.960, amend table 1 to the section by adding, in alphabetical order, the polymer “2-Propenoic acid, methyl-, polymer with butyl 2-propenoate and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate compd. with 2-amino-2-

methyl-1-propanol, minimum number average molecular weight (in amu), 22,700” to read as follows:

**§ 180.960 Polymers; exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance.**

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE 1 TO § 180.960

Polymer	CAS No.
* * * * *	* * * * *
2-Propenoic acid, methyl-, polymer with butyl 2-propenoate and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate compd. with 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol, minimum number average molecular weight (in amu), 22,700 .....	1203962–19–9
* * * * *	* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2023–03858 Filed 2–24–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 180**

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2022–0364; FRL–10641–01–OCSPP]

**Zein; Exemption From the Requirement of a Tolerance**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of zein (CAS Reg. No. 9010–66–6) when used as an inert ingredient (stabilizing agent) in pesticide formulations applied to animals. The United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA APHIS), submitted a petition to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), requesting establishment of an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. This regulation eliminates the need to establish a maximum permissible level for residues of zein when used in accordance with the terms of the exemption.

**DATES:** This regulation is effective February 27, 2023. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before April 28, 2023, and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part

178 (see also Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2022–0364, is available at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room and OPP Docket is (202) 566–1744. For the latest status information on EPA/DC services, docket access, visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Daniel Rosenblatt, Registration Division (7505T), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (202) 566–2875; email address: [RDfRNotices@epa.gov](mailto:RDfRNotices@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. General Information**

*A. Does this action apply to me?*

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers

determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

*B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?*

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 through the Office of the Federal Register’s e-CFR site at <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40>.

*C. How can I file an objection or hearing request?*

Under FFDCA section 408(g), 21 U.S.C. 346a(g), any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID number EPA–HQ–OPP–2022–0364 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All objections and requests for a hearing must be in writing, and must be received by the Hearing Clerk on or before April 28, 2023. Addresses for mail and hand delivery of objections and hearing requests are provided in 40 CFR 178.25(b), although the Office of the Administrative Law Judges, which houses the Hearing Clerk, encourages parties to file objections and hearing

requests electronically. See [https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2020-05/documents/2020-04-10\\_-\\_order\\_urgening\\_electronic\\_service\\_and\\_filing.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2020-05/documents/2020-04-10_-_order_urgening_electronic_service_and_filing.pdf).

In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in 40 CFR part 178, please submit a copy of the filing (excluding any Confidential Business Information (CBI)) for inclusion in the public docket. Information not marked confidential pursuant to 40 CFR part 2 may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. Submit the non-CBI copy of your objection or hearing request, identified by docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2022-0364, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- **Mail:** OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/where-send-comments-epa-dockets>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

## II. Petition for Exemption

In the **Federal Register** of May 20, 2022 (87 FR 30855) (FRL-9410-13), EPA issued a document pursuant to FFDCA section 408, 21 U.S.C. 346a, announcing the filing of a pesticide petition (PP IN-11660) by USDA APHIS, 4700 River Road, Unit 149, Riverdale, MD 20737. The petition requested that 40 CFR 180.930 be amended by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of zein (CAS Reg. No. 9010-66-6) when used as an inert ingredient (stabilizing agent) in pesticide formulations applied to animals, limited to not more than 10,000 ppm in the pesticide formulation. That document referenced a summary of the petition prepared by the USDA APHIS, which is available in the docket, <https://www.regulations.gov>. There were no comments received in response to the notice of filing.

## III. Inert Ingredient Definition

Inert ingredients are all ingredients that are not active ingredients as defined in 40 CFR 153.125 and include, but are not limited to, the following types of ingredients (except when they have a pesticidal efficacy of their own): solvents such as alcohols and hydrocarbons; surfactants such as polyoxyethylene polymers and fatty acids; carriers such as clay and diatomaceous earth; thickeners such as carrageenan and modified cellulose; wetting, spreading, and dispersing agents; propellants in aerosol dispensers; microencapsulating agents; and emulsifiers. The term “inert” is not intended to imply nontoxicity; the ingredient may or may not be chemically active. Generally, EPA has exempted inert ingredients from the requirement of a tolerance based on the low toxicity of the individual inert ingredients.

## IV. Aggregate Risk Assessment and Determination of Safety

Section 408(c)(2)(A)(i) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish an exemption from the requirement for a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is “safe.” Section 408(c)(2)(A)(ii) of FFDCA defines “safe” to mean that “there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information.” This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings but does not include occupational exposure. When making a safety determination for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance FFDCA section 408(c)(2)(B) directs EPA to consider the considerations in section 408(b)(2)(C) and (D). Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing a tolerance or exemption and to “ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue. . . .” Section 408(b)(2)(D) lists other factors for EPA’s consideration in making safety determinations, e.g., the validity, completeness, and reliability of available data, nature of toxic effects, available information concerning the cumulative effects of the pesticide chemical and other substances with a common mechanism of toxicity, and available information concerning

aggregate exposure levels to the pesticide chemical and other related substances, among other factors.

EPA establishes exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance only in those cases where it can be clearly demonstrated that the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide chemical residues under reasonably foreseeable circumstances will pose no harm to human health. In order to determine the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide inert ingredients, the Agency considers the toxicity of the inert in conjunction with possible exposure to residues of the inert ingredient through food, drinking water, and through other exposures that occur as a result of pesticide use in residential settings. If EPA is able to determine that a finite tolerance is not necessary to ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the inert ingredient, an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance may be established.

Consistent with FFDCA section 408(c)(2)(A), and the factors specified in FFDCA section 408(c)(2)(B), EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action. EPA has sufficient data to assess the hazards of and to make a determination on aggregate exposure to zein, including exposure resulting from the exemption established by this action. EPA’s assessment of exposures and risks associated with zein follows.

### A. Toxicological Profile

EPA has evaluated the available toxicity data and considered their validity, completeness, and reliability as well as the relationship of the results of the studies to human risk. EPA has also considered available information concerning the variability of the sensitivities of major identifiable subgroups of consumers, including infants and children. Specific information on the studies received and the nature of the adverse effects caused by zein as well as the no-observed-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL) and the lowest-observed-adverse-effect-level (LOAEL) from the toxicity studies are discussed in this unit.

There are no acute or repeated dose toxicity studies available for zein. However, zein is naturally occurring in food consumed by humans, as it is the primary storage protein in corn. Zein is a prolamine protein and is degraded into amino acids when consumed by mammals. Zein is classified as “generally recognized as safe” (GRAS) by the United States Food and Drug

Administration (FDA) as a direct human food ingredient for use as a surface finishing agent (21 CFR 184.1984) and when used as a component of food-packaging adhesives (21 CFR 175.105). Further, zein is an inactive ingredient in FDA-approved oral drug tablets (<https://precision.fda.gov/uniisearch/srs/unii/80N308T1NN>). Also, zein is used as an alternative to gluten because it is not considered a major food allergen and not expected to result in sensitization. Although allergic reactions to corn can occur, the major allergen is the lipid transfer protein (LTP) rather than the storage protein (*i.e.*, zein).

Corn gluten meal, also known as corn gluten, is the principal protein in corn and consists of mainly zein and glutelin. Corn gluten meal is exempted from the requirement of a tolerance as an animal feed item under 40 CFR 180.950(b).

Since zein is one of the major constituents of corn gluten meal, its toxicity is expected to be low, similar to that of corn gluten meal, due to being commonly found in food consumed by humans. Further, zein is expected to be of low toxicity based on its history of safe use as an inactive ingredient in drugs administered orally and its degradation into amino acids when consumed.

#### *B. Toxicological Points of Departure/Levels of Concern*

Once a pesticide's toxicological profile is determined, EPA identifies toxicological points of departure (POD) and levels of concern to use in evaluating the risk posed by human exposure to the pesticide. For hazards that have a threshold below which there is no appreciable risk, the toxicological POD is used as the basis for derivation of reference values for risk assessment. PODs are developed based on a careful analysis of the doses in each toxicological study to determine the dose at which no adverse effects are observed (the NOAEL) and the lowest dose at which adverse effects of concern are identified (the LOAEL). Uncertainty/safety factors are used in conjunction with the POD to calculate a safe exposure level—generally referred to as a population-adjusted dose (PAD) or a reference dose (RfD)—and a safe margin of exposure (MOE). For non-threshold risks, the Agency assumes that any amount of exposure will lead to some degree of risk. Thus, the Agency estimates risk in terms of the probability of an occurrence of the adverse effect expected in a lifetime. For more information on the general principles EPA uses in risk characterization and a complete description of the risk assessment process, see <https://>

[www.epa.gov/pesticide-science-and-assessing-pesticide-risks/overview-risk-assessment-pesticide-program](https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-science-and-assessing-pesticide-risks/overview-risk-assessment-pesticide-program).

Zein toxicity is expected to be low, similar to that of corn gluten meal, because zein is commonly found in food consumed by humans and it is a major component of corn gluten meal. Additionally, zein is expected to be of low toxicity based on its history of safe use as an inactive ingredient in drugs administered orally and its degradation into amino acids when consumed. Therefore, no toxicological endpoints of concern were identified for zein.

#### *C. Exposure Assessment*

1. *Dietary exposure from food and feed uses.* In evaluating dietary exposure to zein, EPA considered exposure under the proposed exemption from the requirement of a tolerance and from existing uses. EPA assessed dietary exposures from zein in food as follows:

Dietary exposure (food and drinking water) may occur from the current pesticidal uses of corn gluten meal as well as the proposed use of zein in/on animals (*e.g.*, indirect exposure by consuming meat from animals treated with pesticide formulations containing zein and drinking water exposures). Zein will be used in pesticide products formulated as baits to attract feral swine only for the use in control programs operated by USDA APHIS Wildlife Services or persons under their authority. In addition, a concentration limit of 10,000 ppm (approximately 1% by weight) is being requested for use of zein as an inert ingredient in pesticide products applied to/on animals. Given the anticipated restricted use pattern and low concentration limit, as well as zein's degradation into amino acids when consumed by mammals, dietary exposure to zein from the proposed use is expected to be low. Dietary exposure may also occur from non-pesticidal exposure (*e.g.*, pharmaceutical uses, consumption of corn products). However, a quantitative dietary exposure assessment was not conducted since a toxicological endpoint for risk assessment was not identified.

2. *From non-dietary exposure.* The term "residential exposure" is used in this document to refer to non-occupational, non-dietary exposure (*e.g.*, textiles (clothing and diapers), carpets, swimming pools, for lawn and garden pest control, indoor pest control, termiticides, flea and tick control on pets and hard surface disinfection on walls, floors, tables).

A restricted use pattern is anticipated (*i.e.*, use in feral swine baits). Therefore, residential exposure is not expected from this proposed use. Residential

exposure may occur from current pesticidal uses of corn gluten meal and non-pesticidal uses of zein (*e.g.*, pharmaceutical products). However, no toxicological endpoint of concern was identified. Therefore, a quantitative assessment for residential exposure was not performed.

3. *Cumulative effects from substances with a common mechanism of toxicity.* Section 408(b)(2)(D)(v) of FFDCA requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance, the Agency consider "available information" concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide's residues and "other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity."

Based on the lack of toxicity in the available database, EPA has not found zein to share a common mechanism of toxicity with any other substances, and zein does not appear to produce a toxic metabolite produced by other substances. For the purposes of this tolerance exemption, therefore, EPA has assumed that zein does not have a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances. For information regarding EPA's efforts to determine which chemicals have a common mechanism of toxicity and to evaluate the cumulative effects of such chemicals, see EPA's website at <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-science-and-assessing-pesticide-risks/cumulative-assessment-risk-pesticides>.

#### *D. Additional Safety Factor for the Protection of Infants and Children*

Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA provides that EPA shall apply an additional tenfold (10X) margin of safety for infants and children in the case of threshold effects to account for prenatal and postnatal toxicity and the completeness of the database on toxicity and exposure unless EPA determines based on reliable data that a different margin of safety will be safe for infants and children. This additional margin of safety is commonly referred to as the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) Safety Factor (SF). In applying this provision, EPA either retains the default value of 10X, or uses a different additional safety factor when reliable data available to EPA support the choice of a different factor.

Based on an assessment of zein, EPA has concluded that there are no toxicological endpoints of concern for the U.S. population, including infants and children. Because there are no threshold effects associated with zein, EPA conducted a qualitative assessment. As part of that assessment, the Agency did not use safety factors for

assessing risk, and no additional safety factor is needed for assessing risk to infants and children.

*E. Aggregate Risks and Determination of Safety*

Because no toxicological endpoints of concern were identified, EPA concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to the general population, or to infants and children from aggregate exposure to zein residues.

**V. Other Considerations**

*Analytical Enforcement Methodology*

An analytical method is not required for enforcement purposes since the Agency is not establishing a numerical tolerance for residues of zein in or on any food commodities. EPA is establishing a limitation on the amount of zein that may be used in pesticide formulations. This limitation will be enforced through the pesticide registration process under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (“FIFRA”), 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.* EPA will not register any pesticide formulation for food use that exceeds 10,000 ppm zein in the final pesticide formulation.

**VI. Conclusions**

Therefore, an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance is established for residues of zein (CAS Reg. No. 9010–66–6) when used as an inert ingredient (stabilizing agent) in pesticide formulations applied to animals under 40 CFR 180.930, limited to not more than 10,000 ppm in the pesticide formulation.

**VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

This action establishes a tolerance exemption under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled “Regulatory Planning and Review” (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this action has been exempted from

review under Executive Order 12866, this action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, entitled “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001), or Executive Order 13045, entitled “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled “Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations” (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under FFDCA section 408(d), such as the tolerance exemption in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), do not apply.

This action directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers, and food retailers, not States or Tribes, nor does this action alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(n)(4). As such, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States or Tribal Governments, on the relationship between the National Government and the States or Tribal Governments, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government or between the Federal Government and Indian Tribes. Thus, the Agency has determined that Executive Order 13132, entitled “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), and Executive Order 13175, entitled “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), do not apply to this action. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any

unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*).

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

**VIII. Congressional Review Act**

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 17, 2023.

**Daniel Rosenblatt,**

*Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

Therefore, for the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter I as follows:

**PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

■ 2. In § 180.930, amend table 1 to 180.930 by adding, in alphabetical order, an entry for “Zein (CAS Reg. No. 9010–66–6)” to read as follows:

**§ 180.930 Inert ingredients applied to animals; exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance.**

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE 1 TO 180.930

Inert ingredients	Limits	Uses
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *
Zein (CAS Reg. No. 9010–66–6) .....	Not more than 10,000 ppm in the pesticide formulation .....	Stabilizing agent.
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2023-03831 Filed 2-24-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Administration for Children and Families

#### 45 CFR Part 1336

RIN 0970-AC88

#### Native American Programs

**AGENCY:** Administration for Native Americans (ANA), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule provides a process for ANA grant recipients to request a waiver for part or all of their non-Federal cost share or match (NFS) during a budget period due to emergency circumstances.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on April 28, 2023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carmelia Strickland, Administration for Native Americans, 202-401-6741. Deaf and hearing-impaired individuals may call the Federal Dual Party Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 7 p.m. Eastern Time.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Contents

- I. Background
- II. Statutory Authority
- III. Discussion of Changes From the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to Final Rule
- IV. Discussion of the Final Rule
- V. Comments Received and Response
- VI. Regulatory Process Matters
  - Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995
  - Regulatory Flexibility Act
  - Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act of 1999
  - Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995
  - Federalism Assessment Executive Order 13132
  - Congressional Review
  - Executive Orders 12866 and 13563—Regulatory Impact Analysis

#### I. Background

##### *Native American Programs Act of 1974*

The Native American Programs Act of 1974 (NAPA), Public Law 93-644, was first enacted on January 4, 1975. The last time substantial amendments to the NAPA regulations were made was 1996. Section 802 of the NAPA establishes as its broad statutory purpose the promotion of “the goal of economic and social self-sufficiency for American

Indians, Native Hawaiians, other Native American Pacific Islanders (including American Samoan Natives), and Alaska Natives.” ANA executes this purpose through the provision of project-based financial assistance to Native Americans authorized under sections 803 and 803C of the NAPA, as well as through advocacy on behalf of Native Americans within HHS and with other departments and agencies of the Federal Government “regarding all Federal policies affecting Native Americans,” under section 803B(c) of the NAPA.

##### *Goal of This Final Rule: Incorporation of Emergency Waiver Provision*

On December 7, 2021, ANA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to update existing waiver requirements to allow an opportunity to request a waiver of the non-Federal cost share (NFS) in the event of an emergency. 86 FR 69215. The NAPA requires applicants and recipients to provide an NFS of 20 percent of project costs, unless waived by the Commissioner of ANA pursuant to objective criteria established by regulation. Current regulations (45 CFR 1336.50) only permit “applicants” to apply for a waiver of the NFS, which ANA has interpreted as applicants for the initial awards and applicants for non-competing continuation (NCC) awards. The on-going public health emergency has greatly impacted ANA recipients. The pandemic has greatly increased the risk of language and cultural decline among Native communities with many Elders dying from the COVID-19 virus. As tribes began closing their revenue-generating businesses and other governmental operations due to the COVID-19 pandemic, they lost income and in-kind contributions they needed to fund Federal projects requiring a NFS. In addition, planned sources of match support, such as use of tribal-owned facilities from which to operate the project, as part of the NFS, also diminished. ANA’s current cost-share waiver does not allow for a process to address a recipient’s inability to meet the cost-share due to an emergency in the middle of a budget period. This final rule adds a provision (45 CFR 1336.50(b)(2)(ii)) allowing grant recipients to apply for an emergency waiver within the current budget period to remedy this burden.

#### II. Statutory Authority

Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 2991b of the NAPA, ANA is authorized to allow applicants the ability to submit a request for a waiver of the required 20

percent non-Federal cost share or match, subject to ANA regulations.

#### III. Discussion of Changes From the NPRM to Final Rule

The changes made in this final regulation, as compared with the proposed rule, are as follows:

1. The final rule amends the word “follow” to the word “following” in 45 CFR 1336.50(b)(2). The change fixes a typographical error in the NPRM.

2. The final rule removes the word “temporarily” in 45 CFR 1336.50(b)(2)(i). The word had been added to the regulation in the proposed rule to indicate that applicants who sought a waiver would have to apply for the waiver again when applying for the NCC award. But upon further review, ANA believes the word adds confusion rather than clarity. The removal does not change ANA’s process or the substance of the rule.

3. The final rule adds the word “recipient(s)” in 45 CFR 1336.50(b)(2) and (3). The NPRM proposed to add an option for recipients to apply for a waiver but did not add the word recipient to the other paragraphs that cover waiver applications. The final rule adds the word “recipient” to make clear that these sections on waivers cover both applicants and recipients.

4. The final rule adds text in 45 CFR 1336.50(b)(2)(i) that both an applicant for an initial award and an applicant for an NCC award can apply for a waiver. The final rule adds this text to set out explicitly ANA’s interpretation of the current rule and the intention of the NPRM.

5. The final rule changes the NPRM use of the word “should” to “can” in 45 CFR 1336.50(b)(3)(ii). The current regulations use the word “can” in § 1336.50(b)(3)(ii). Changing the word to “should” was a drafting error that inadvertently changed the meaning of one of the criteria of the waiver. ANA never intended to change the criteria for the waiver and the final rule ensures that the criteria remain unchanged.

#### IV. Discussion of the Final Rule

This final rule makes changes to 45 CFR part 1336, subpart E, Financial Assistance Provisions, in § 1336.50. These changes will have no regulatory burden impact but will provide a waiver provision and ensure programmatic success of American Indian, Native Hawaiian, other Native American Pacific Islander (including American Samoan Natives), and Alaska Native-based recipients.